

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-96SR18500 with the U. S. Department of Energy.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

**Available for sale to the public, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161,
phone: (800) 553-6847,
fax: (703) 605-6900
email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/help/index.asp>**

**Available electronically at <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>
Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062,
phone: (865)576-8401,
fax: (865)576-5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov**

Leak Path Factor Evaluation: A MELCOR Application for Nonreactor Nuclear Facilities

L. M. Polizzi

Washington Safety Management Solutions LLC
Washington Group International
Aiken, South Carolina

Abstract

This paper presents a Leak Path Factor (LPF) analysis for a postulated fire accident on a building containing plutonium powder when the resulting outside release is partly through the ventilation/filtration system and partly through other pathways such as building access doorways. When analyzing an accident scenario involving the release of radioactive powders inside a building, various pathways for the release to the outside environment can exist.

This study is presented to show how the multiple building leak path factors (combination of filtered and unfiltered releases) can be evaluated in an integrated manner to assess the magnitude of the source term to be used in the consequence analysis.

The core of the analysis is to calculate the leak path factor, which represents the fraction of respirable radioactive powder that is made airborne that leaves the building through the various pathways. The computer code of choice for this determination is MELCOR¹. The analysis results can be used for the transport and dispersion of powder material released to the atmosphere and to estimate the resulting dose that is received by the downwind receptors of interest.

This work can be used as model for performing analyses for systems similar in nature where releases can propagate to the outside environment via filtered and unfiltered pathways. This example provides guidance to analysts outlining the essential steps needed to perform a sound and defensible analysis.

Introduction

Subpart B of the Nuclear Safety Management Rule² requires preparation of Documented Safety Analyses for Department of Energy nuclear facilities, including those of the complex, multi-volume Hazard Category 2 type. Facilities of this nature may pose significant in-facility transport, or Leak Path Factor, issues to analysts, particularly as mission, operational modes and transfer of material decision-making often takes place in parallel to aggressive accident analysis schedules for meeting the 10 CFR 830 deadlines.

This paper provides an overview of the accident analysis and specifically, the LPF for a generic non-reactor nuclear facility. While graded approach principles³ would not suggest explicitly calculating the LPF for all nuclear facilities, there is a subset for which insights may be gained

into facility response and accident management. Thus, the facility is intended to be representative of the complex, Category 2 type where additional effort can be productive towards reducing overly conservative LPF values. The example facility contains multiple rooms where the chief Material-at-Risk is plutonium oxide powder.

Overview of MELCOR Computer Code

MELCOR 1.8.5 was developed by Sandia National Laboratories under support of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC). MELCOR is a fully integrated, engineering-level computer code whose primary purpose is to model the progression of accidents in light water reactor nuclear power plants. MELCOR is a mature code that is exposed to a wide spectrum of user worldwide, and it can be intelligently adapted to evaluate the Leak Path Factor for accident conditions in non-reactor nuclear facilities using its robust built-in models capabilities in aerosol dynamics.

MELCOR modeling is general and flexible, making use of a “control volume” approach in describing the plant/facility system. The various code packages have been written using a modular structure with well-defined interfaces between them.

The MELCOR code is composed of a large number of modules that together model the major systems of a nuclear reactor. The great majority of these models are not required for Leak Path Factor analyses, and when performing LPF studies for non-reactor nuclear facilities the modules used are then reduced to those which will enable the modeling of the release and transport of aerosolized materials.

Analyses

An ideal non-reactor nuclear facility is the subject of this study. A two-floor building is analyzed where two rooms are selected to have fire-induced release of plutonium oxide powder. The two fire locations are selected to show how the analysis results can be affected by the location of the fire with respect to other rooms and proximity to outside doors. The first step to be taken in this analysis is the creation of a MELCOR mathematical model of the facility to analyze, and then the assessment of the LPF results.

A schematic arrangement of the facility subject of this analysis is shown in Figures 1 and 2.




Figure 1 – Sketch of Building First Floor




Figure 2 – Sketch of Building Second Floor

The figures above show also the various building external volume will be used to simulate the building external environment and ventilation sources and sinks.

The locations selected for the two fires are volumes 500 and 130 as shown in Figure 1. These are also the location where the fire-induced release of plutonium oxide takes place. A simple block diagram shown in Figure 3 is generated to synthesize the two sketches for used in the MELCOR analysis.




Figure 3 – Building Block Diagram

It has to be noted that the direction of the flow shown in Figure 3 is an assumed positive direction for MELCOR to initialize the simulation. The code will assign the proper flow direction based on the computed actual flow.

The building internal air temperature used in the analysis is 294 K (70 °F), and the building outside environment temperature used is 283 K (50 °F). The wind speed and direction used to simulate an overall pressure differential across the building are 2.24 m/s (5 mph) and wind from the south. Ideally the wind speed should be consistent with the meteorological conditions used in subsequent atmospheric dispersion analysis.

The wind pressure effects on the building are evaluated using the ASHRAE⁴ methodology and are applied to model environmental influence. The building wind pressure is calculated from the dynamic head equation written in the form:

$$\Delta P_w = c_w \rho \frac{V_w^2}{2}$$

Where:

ΔP_w = Localized air pressure change due to wind

c_w = Wind pressure coefficient

V_w = Wind speed

ρ = Air density

The wind coefficient c_w varies with wind direction relative to building surfaces. With wind impinging normally on a wall $c_w = +0.7$. Wind parallel to a wall produces a wind coefficient of -0.35 and on the downwind side of the building a coefficient of -0.4 is used. Using these wind pressure coefficients, the pressure difference across the facility can be calculated.

The effective fire power used in both volumes is given in Figure 4. This is simulated by input of mass and energy into a control volume (very small mass with large specific energy vs. time)




Figure 4 – Fire Power Profile

The release of the plutonium oxide powder is modeled to take place 3000 s after the fire start to assure the fire has already released energy into the volumes where the fire is located. The amount of powder released for the MELCOR simulation is 1.0 g of Pu²³⁸. Releasing a small amount of material is generally in the conservative direction⁵. The calculated value of the LPF can decrease for a larger amount of material released because of enhanced agglomeration of smaller particles. Generally for fire analyses, the effects of agglomeration are not significant. Agglomeration effects can be important for non-energetic events in which there is no ventilation flow and wind-induced pressure forces on the building are the driving mechanism for air exchange. Figure 5 shows the results of a parametric study on agglomeration effects for this type of situation. Specifically, Figure 5 shows the increased effects of agglomeration through lower LPF values that occur as the quantity of airborne mass of plutonium powder increases⁵.




Figure 5 – Influence of spilled mass on LPF

The plutonium oxide powder particle size distribution used is obtained from experimental data⁶.

Maximum aerosol particle diameter = 3 μm

Minimum aerosol particle diameter = 0.003 μm

Volume-equivalent mass median particle diameter = 2.3 μm

Geometric standard deviation of the particle size distribution = 2

With the parameters described above approximately 63% of the airborne particles distribution is smaller than 3 μm (10 μm Aerodynamic Equivalent Diameter) and respirable.

The Probability Density Function for a lognormal distribution used in MELCOR is

$$PDF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} d_p \ln(\sigma)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln^2(d_p/d_m)}{\ln^2(\sigma)}}$$

Where:

d_p is the distributed variable particle diameter,
 d_m is the volume-equivalent mass median particle diameter, and
 σ is the geometric standard deviation

Figure 6 shows the initial distribution of Pu^{238} powder particles used in the analyses.




Figure 6 – Lognormal distribution of Pu^{238} Powder Particles

A total of 1 g of Pu^{238} powder with this particle size distribution is initially made airborne in the analyses. The accident analysis that is required to estimate the amount of respirable material that is made airborne during the fire is outside the scope of this paper.

The filtration system selected for this study is set to be resistant to hot gases generated by fires (sand filter type) and the efficiency used for the system is 0.995, with ventilation remaining operational during the fire event.

With all this information at hand, the MELCOR building model can be built to evaluate the various contributors to the building overall leak path factor.

It is advisable to have individual environmental volumes for each pathway leading out of the building. This is important to assess the contribution to the overall LPF from the various flow paths.

For both fire locations (volumes 500 and 130) the ventilation flow rates used in the analysis are $0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ for the air supply and $0.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ for the air exhaust, and all building doors are kept closed with small gaps.

A MELCOR analysis is performed separately for each fire location and the results provided show how the total building LPF is accounted for.

Figure 7 shows the MELCOR calculated LPF (total LPF and individual contributions) for a fire in volume 500.




Figure 7 – Leak Path Factor Summary – Fire in Volume 500

Figure 7 shows that the contribution to the LPF due to the doors (West + South) is larger than the contribution due to the filter system release. That is caused by the model geometry and flows established by the MELCOR simulation for the given boundary conditions. The volume 500 area has a pathway closely connected to the outside environment through the West door, consequently the fire induced pressure inside the volume 500 space causes most of the aerosolized release to occur through the West door (much less goes out the South door). The filter in the ventilation system significantly reduces the amount of aerosolized material that exits the building through this pathway. All other pathways not shown contribute negligibly to the atmospheric release. It is expected to have a larger amount of aerosolized material leaving the volume 500 area toward the outside through the West door then through the filter system and the South door because of the fire-induced pressurization inside the volume 500 area.

Figure 8 combines the unfiltered and unfiltered contributions of the leak path factor.




Figure 8 – Unfiltered and Filtered Contribution to the LPF - Fire in Volume 500

A new analysis is now performed where the fire is in volume 130, the north east side of the building. In this case, volume 130 is not closely connected to and door leading to the outside of the building. All the parameters used in this new analysis are the same. This new arrangement shows how the results change with a different fire location.

Figure 9 shows the MELCOR calculated LPF (total LPF and individual contributions) for a fire in volume 130. The value of the calculated LPF is much smaller.




Figure 9 – Leak Path Factor Summary – Fire in Volume 130

Figure 9 also shows that the contribution to the LPF due to the filter system release is larger than the contribution due to the release from the North door. That is caused by the model geometry and flows established by the MELCOR simulation for the given boundary conditions. Volume 130 has doors closely connected to the building inside, and the North door is not closely connected to volume 130. As a consequence the amount of aerosolized material leaving the volume 130 toward the filter system is larger than the amount exiting by the North door. All other pathways not shown contribute negligibly to the atmospheric release.

Concluding Remarks

The results given in this paper is time-dependent Leak Path Factor for a fire-induced release of plutonium powder. The approach used in the analysis is simple and it is based on a careful evaluation of the various contributions to the leak path factor for the facility. The use of a time-dependent LPF is a viable methodology to evaluate a time-dependent source term for the subsequent consequence analysis. This results in more realistic doses. The use of MELCOR offers a robust method to assess the amount of material that can be released because of accidents found in non-reactor nuclear facilities.

Using the methodology presented in this paper, the chief benefit that can be found is the possible integration of source term evaluation and its consequence analysis. This work can be used as model for performing analyses for systems similar in nature where releases can propagate to the

outside environment via filtered and unfiltered pathways. The methodology provides guidance to analysts outlining the essential steps needed to perform a sound and defensible analysis.

References

1. R.O. Gauntt et al., “*MELCOR Computer Code Manuals*,” Version 1.8.5, NUREG/CR-6119 Rev. 2, SAND2000-2417/1, May 2000.
2. The Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 830 *Nuclear Safety Management*, Subpart B, *Safety Basis Requirements*, January 10, 2001.
3. Department of Energy, DOE-STD-3009-94, Change Notice No. 1, January 2000, *Preparation Guide for U.S. Department of Energy Nonreactor Nuclear Facility Safety Analysis Reports*, July 1994.
4. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, *Handbook of Fundamentals*, 1997 Edition.
5. L.M. Polizzi, N. Flouras, “Leak Path Factor Study at Savannah River Site,” Safety Analysis Working Group (SAWG) Workshop 2000, Santa Fe, NM, April 28 – May 4, 2000.
6. S.L. Sutter, J.W. Johnson, J. Mishima, “Aerosols Generated by Free Fall Spill of Powders and Solutions in Static Air,” NUREG/CR-2139, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA, December 1981.