

Contract No:

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-08SR22470 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Environmental Management (EM).

Disclaimer:

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U. S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

- 1) warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or
- 2) representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or
- 3) endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

C-14/I-129 PRESERVATION AND HOLD TIME SURVEY

M. S. Boerste, URS / Washington Division, Jan. 2009

Executive Summary

Preservation and hold time of radionuclides must account for both nuclear half-lives and non-nuclear loss mechanisms, but variations in the latter are often neglected. Metals-based defaults are inappropriate for long-lived non-metals C-14 and I-129, which are vulnerable to chemical and biological volatilization. Non-acidification is already widely practiced for them. Recommended addition measures from radiological and chemical literature include glass containers where possible, water filtration where possible, headspace minimization, light shielding, cold (4°C) storage and unfiltered water hold time of 28 days. Soil hold time may need to be shortened when water-logged, excessively sandy, or still adjusting to significant new contamination. Table 1 below summarizes recommendations.

Table 1: Summary of C-14/I-129 Preservation and Hold Time Guidance

Matrix	Collected Fraction	Preservation	Hold Time
Water	Total	Min. headspace, 4±2°C, amber glass or glass/PTFE stored dark	28 days
	Dissolved (0.22µ or 0.45µ filter)		180 days
Soil: watery, very sandy, or newly contaminated	Total	Min. headspace, 4±2°C, amber glass or glass/PTFE* stored dark	28 days
Soil: other/typical			180 days

* Normal soil self-shields for light, but small volumes may need control via container or dark storage.

Introduction

Almost all commonly-measured radionuclides are metals. EPA assigns metals preservation and hold time controls to gross alpha and beta, alpha-Radium and Ra-228. Those controls are acidification for water (pH < 2 with HNO₃), nothing or refrigeration for soil (varies by EPA program), and hold time limits of 180 days for both. For example, EPA SW-846 (reference 1) chapter 3 table 3-2 agrees with 9000-Series radiological methods. EPA lacks modern methods for non-metals, but 70s-era drinking water methods (reference 2) for tritium and I-131 avoided acidification and emphasized I-131's very limited 8-day half life.

Physics-based practitioners have often extrapolated EPA's radiological guidance to additional nonmetal nuclides as a half-life-adjusted default without considering biological and chemical limitations. However, Carbon and Iodine in natural media are quite vulnerable to chemical and biological loss over much less than 180 days. Mitigating controls – sometimes even EPA limits – are published for the chemical species though not always the radiological versions. This paper surveys some of them. The radiological community needs a more consistent awareness of changes to default controls needed for C-14 and I-129 samples.

Methodology

Analyte names related to C-14 and I-129 must be identified for a proper literature survey. They need not be exact synonyms or analogs, but should broadly overlap the target nuclide. Table 2 lists such terms used for the literature comparisons to be discussed.

One potential source of confusion deserves special note. Results for C-14 and I-129 are typically reported on a total basis. Water samples can be filtered for later measurement and reporting of nuclides on a dissolved basis. Comparable chemical analytes in literature are sometimes termed "total" merely when non-specified, so discussion of water sample handling must be carefully followed to ascertain filtration status.

Table 2: Comparable Analyte Names for C-14 and I-129

Radiological Analyte	Comparable Chemical Analytes*
(Total) C-14, Radiocarbon	Total Carbon (TC), Total Inorganic Carbon (TIC), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Oil & Grease (O&G) / Hexane Extractable Material (HEM), Petroleum Hydrocarbon Material, Natural Organic Matter (NOM), Extractable Organic Halides (EOX)+
(Total) I-129, Radioiodine^	Iodine, Volatile Iodine, Organic Iodine, Iodide, Iodate
Dissolved C-14, Dissolved Radiocarbon	Dissolved Carbon (DC), Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC), Total Organic Halides (TOX)+
Dissolved I-129, Dissolved Radioiodine	Dissolved Iodine, Dissolved Organic Iodine (DOI), Dissolved Iodide, Dissolved Iodate

^ Can refer to I-131 or both. * Overlaps to radiological, not always internally. + Also comparable to Iodine

Discussion

The literature demonstrates broad linkage of the environmental cycles for Carbon and Iodine. Organo-iodides are often the dominant environmental species of Iodine in water media (reference 3) as well as soil media (reference 4). Fehn, Snyder and Muramatsu (reference 5) even propose that “the strong association of iodine with organic material and the presence of ... ¹²⁹I make the iodine isotopic system useful in tracing and dating organic materials.” The petroleum industry already uses geologic anomalies of stable Iodine to locate hydrocarbon seeps (reference 6). Joint sample collection for C-14 and I-129 at remediation sites is thus well supported, and discussions below on vulnerabilities and controls will assume joint sampling.

Some direct guidance for C-14/I-129 sample controls is available from regulatory agencies. EPA’s Inventory of Radiological Methodologies (reference 7) text recommends: no acid, minimum headspace, cold (4°C), and consideration of glass versus plastic containers. (Options for additional chemicals can be shown to be unnecessary or counterproductive from further literature.) The USGS’ TWRI water sample guidance (reference 8) covers only C-14, but calls for the same controls (mandatory glass) with the addition of dark storage. Indirect regulatory guidance via comparable chemical species like Iodide (reference 9) and TOC (references 1 and 9) limit holding to 28 days. International radiological practice generally follows these collection controls, although short holding is more typically emphasized in water studies (e.g., reference 10).

The primary challenge for Iodine and Carbon containerization is volatility. Initial volatile components need to be retained while further production needs to be inhibited. Any of the regulated volatile or semivolatile organic compounds, any unlisted one or the dissolved CO₂ could carry C-14. Iodomethane or an unlisted volatile organo-iodide could carry I-129 and/or C-14. Elemental iodine sublimates, possibly carrying I-129, and often is in equilibrium with ionic forms. These possibilities argue for a tight seal with minimum headspace (gas phase) plus cooling (lower vapor pressure) per guidance. New volatilization of Carbon and Iodine can arise by means of oxidation (sometimes iodate reduction), photochemical reaction and microbial activity. Vulnerability to oxidation explains acid avoidance (explicit in reference 7) and further supports headspace (oxygen) minimization. Photoreactivity (e.g., organo-iodides in reference 11) explains light-shielding guidance. Microbial degradation further explains cooling (explicit in reference 7) and light shielding. More will be said about these volatilization mechanisms later.

Another consideration with some C-14/I-129 samples is interaction with container material. Organic solvents in samples can penetrate or pass through polymer bottles, carrying radiologic components with them. Similarly, Iodine species have long been known (reference 12) to bind to plastics other than fluoropolymers (PTFE, teflon®) as they do with environmental organic matter. These factors explain guidance recommendations for glass containers.

The significance of microbial activity to Carbon and Iodine volatilization from water has been established by numerous studies. Köhler et al. (reference 13) reported 33-50% losses of NOM over 12 days from stream and soil water by combined light and microbial activity in an incubation experiment, although light was suggested as more important. Campos et al. (reference 14) reported iodate reduction to less stable iodide in surface waters by biological processes at about 100 times the rate of iodide incorporation

into particulate organic carbon, with Iodide showing a resident half-life of 70 days. Amachi et al. (reference 15) reported biologic conversion of such iodide to volatile organic iodine, which could be halted by various forms of microbial inhibition.

Simple filtration has been found by a number of studies to be a highly effective interrupter of microbial activity. Reference 14 stated iodine “volatilization did not occur” after 0.22 μ filtration. Buraglio (reference 16) used 0.45 μ filtration and found stream I-129 values within 10% after up to 15 months of cold, dark storage. Tagami and Uchida (reference 17) found Iodine levels in filtered river water samples stored at 5°C did not change for almost a year. Doctor et al. (reference 18) found that 0.2 μ filtration of stream DIC samples was as effective as common preservatives (poisons) in guarding against fractionation by biological activity over a 9 month period of cold, dark storage.

Soils generally accumulate Iodine, though they do off-gas (CH₃I) and can be leached, all with important microbiological contributions. Whitehead (reference 19) found that soil organic matter binds iodine more effectively than mineral ion-exchangers like clay, while a sandy soil can volatilize half to all its iodine in 30 days. Sheppard et al. (reference 20) reported a dynamic partitioning of Iodine between soil organics and DOC under flooded conditions. Muramatsu and Amachi teams (references 21-23) concluded that “accumulation of iodine in soils was explained by the effects of microorganisms,” including CH₃I-producing, Iodide-oxidizing and Iodate-reducing strains.

Antimicrobial measures inhibit both Iodine sorption and any volatilization (references 22-23). Since natural freezing is effective (reference 24), the artificial version applied to Volatile Organic Carbon (VOC) samples in recent years (reference 1 method 5035) might be worth considering for C-14/I-129 in extreme cases. However, traditional cooling (4°C) goes a long way with much simpler logistics. In practice, most soil samples for iodine are stable for most of a year to several years (reference 25).

Conclusion

Iodine and Carbon are linked in the environment and share vulnerabilities to loss from samples, supporting the practice of joint sampling for C-14 and I-129. Volatility is the main preservation concern, although penetration of polymer containers can occur. Acid addition would create artificial losses via rapid oxidation and must be avoided. Natural degradation with volatilization continues in samples via photochemistry and microbial action, unless they are inhibited. Preservation controls from existing guidance for C-14/I-129 and comparable chemical analytes include: glass containers where possible, water filtration where possible, minimum headspace, light shielding and cold storage.

Hold times for properly preserved C-14/I-129 samples are not directly regulated, so extensions of the 28-day chemistry times may be freely taken when warranted. Literature documents *filtered* water samples (dissolved constituents) lasting comfortably beyond the 180 days applicable to metals and their radionuclides. Stability in soils is generally much greater than in water, except when waterlogged, very sandy or still adjusting to significant new contamination, and literature supports a general 180-day limit. Unfiltered water and those extreme soil situations would be safer with the 28-day chemistry limit.

Recommendations are summarized in Table 1 of the executive summary.

References

- 1) EPA, “Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods,” publication SW-846, third edition, update IV, January 3, 2008, URL: <http://www.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/testmethods/sw846/online/index.htm>
- 2) EPA, “Prescribed Procedures for Measurement of Radioactivity in Drinking Water,” EPA-600/4-80-032, August 1980, URL (document search): <http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyNET.EXE?ZyActionL=Register&User=anonymous&Password=anonymous&Client=EPA&Init=1>
- 3) Amachi, S., Murumatsu, Y. and Kamagata, Y., “Radioanalytical Determination of Biogenic Volatile Iodine Emitted from Aqueous Environmental Samples,” **Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry**, Vol. 246, No. 2, 20000
- 4) Yamaguchi, N., et al., “Inorganic Iodine Incorporation into Soil Organic Matter: Evidence from Iodine K-edge X-Ray Absorption Near-Edge Structure,” **Journal of Environmental Radioactivity**, Article in Press, Available online 21 July 2008

- 5) Fehn, U., Snyder, G. and Muramatsu, Y., "Iodine as a Tracer of Organic Material: ¹²⁹I results from Gas Hydrate Systems and Fore Arc Fluids," **Journal of Geochemical Exploration**, Vol. 95, Issues 1-3, October-December 2007
- 6) Tedesco, S., "The Use of Iodine Surface Geochemistry to Define Pennsylvanian Sandstone Channels and Arbuckle Reservoirs in Eastern Kansas," Presentation at AAPG Annual Meeting, 2003, URL: http://www.atoka.com/pdf/AAPG_PAPER_2003_Iodine_Surface_Geochemistry.pdf
- 7) EPA, "Inventory of Radiological Methodologies: For Sites Contaminated with Radioactive Materials," EPA 402-R-06-007, October 2006, URL: <http://www.epa.gov/narel/analysis.html>
- 8) USGS, "Chapter A5: Processing of Water Samples, version 2.2," **Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations Reports, Book 9: Handbooks for Water-Resources Investigations, Section A: National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data**, September 2004, URL: http://water.usgs.gov/owq/FieldManual/chapter5/html/Ch5_contents.html
- 9) MI Dept. of Enviro. Quality, "Sampling and Analysis - Attachment 4: Sample Preservation, Sample Handling, and Holding time Specifications," RRD Operational Memorandum No. 2, October 2004, URL: http://www.deq.state.mi.us/documents/deq-rrd-OpMemo_2_Attachment4.pdf
- 10) Gilfedder, B., "Observations of Iodine Speciation and Cycling in the Hydrosphere," dissertation, Ruprecht-Karls-University, Heidelberg, Germany, February 2008, URL: http://deposit.ddb.de/cgi-bin/dokserv?idn=988051303&dok_var=d1&dok_ext=pdf&filename=988051303.pdf
- 11) Wong, G., and Cheng, X., "The Formation of Iodide in Inshore Waters from the Photochemical Decomposition of Dissolved Organic Iodide," **Marine Chemistry**, vol. 74, Issue 1, April 2001.
- 12) Kennedy, J. and Besses, G., "Comparison of Adsorption of Iodine-131-throxine to Glass and Plastic Containers," **Journal of Nuclear Medicine**, 8, 1967, URL: <http://jnm.snmjournals.org/cgi/reprint/8/3/226.pdf>
- 13) Köhler, S., et al., "Photochemical and Microbial Processing of Stream and Soil Water Dissolved Organic Matter in a Boreal Forested Catchment in Northern Sweden," **Aquatic Sciences**, vol. 64, 2002.
- 14) Campos, M., et al., "A Comparison of Dissolved Iodine Cycling at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Station and Hawaii Ocean Time-series Station," **Deep-sea Research Part 2: Topical Studies in Oceanography**, Vol. 43, no. 2-3, 1996
- 15) Amachi, S., et al., "Radiotracer Experiments on Biological Volatilization of Organic Iodine from coastal Seawater," *Geomicrobiology Journal*, Vol. 24, Issue 7, October 2004
- 16) Buraglio, N., "Accelerator Mass Spectrometry of ¹²⁹I and Its Application in Natural Water Systems," **Comprehensive Summaries of Uppsala Dissertations from the Faculty of Science and Technology 570**, Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, 2000, URL: <http://www.diva-portal.org/demo/theses/abstract.xsql?dbid=1077>
- 17) Tagami, K. and Uchida, S., "Sample Storage Conditions and Holding Times for the Determination of Total Iodine in Natural Water Samples by ICP-MS," **Atomic Spectroscopy**, vol. 26, no. 6, 2005
- 18) Doctor, D., et al., "Carbon Isotope Fractionation of Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) due to Outgassing of Carbon Dioxide from a Headwater Stream," **Hydrological Processes**, vol. 22, 2008, URL: <http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/19023>
- 19) Whitehead, D., "The Volatilisation, from Soils and Mixtures of Soil Components, of Iodine Added as Potassium Iodide," *European Journal of Soil Science*, Volume 32, Issue 1, Online July 2006
- 20) Sheppard, M., "Factors Influencing the Soil Sorption of Iodine," **Water, Air and Soil Pollution**, vol. 83, no. 1-2, 1995
- 21) Muramatsu, Y. and Yoshida, S., "Effects of Microorganisms on the Fate of Iodine in the Soil Environment," **Geomicrobiology Journal**, Volume 16, Issue 1, February 1999.
- 22) Muramatsu, Y. and Amachi, S., "Influence of Microbial Activities on the Behavior of Iodine in Soil," **Goldschmidt Conference Abstracts**, 2007, URL: www.the-conference.com/conferences/2007/gold2007/abstracts/A697.pdf
- 23) Amachi, S., et al., "Microbial Participation in Iodine Volatilization from Soils," **Environmental Science and Technology**, 37(17), September 2003
- 24) Bostock, A., Shaw, G. and Bell, J., "The Volatilisation and Sorption of ¹²⁹I in Coniferous Forest, Grassland and Frozen Soils," **Journal of Environmental Radioactivity**, Vol. 70, Issues 1-2, 2003
- 25) Goudge, C., Letter to Editor, "Iodine Debate (Part 2)," **Geotech.org**, Members Forum, URL: <http://www.geotech.org/survey/geotech/iodebat2.html>