Contract No:

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. 89303321CEM000080 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Environmental Management (EM).

Disclaimer:



A U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL LAB • SAVANNAH RIVER SITE • AIKEN, SC • USA

Characterization of Infrequent Samples from the Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facility: Leak Detection Box (LDB) Drain Cell Sample: February 09, 2022 Sample

J. R. Dekarske

L. N. Oji

March 2022

SRNL-STI-2022-00108, Revision 0

DISCLAIMER

Printed in the United States of America

Prepared for
U.S. Department of Energy

SRNL-STI-2022-00108
Revision 0

Keywords: *Tank Farm*

Retention: ***Permanent***

Characterization of Infrequent Samples from the Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facility: Leak Detection Box (LDB) Drain Cell Sample: February 09, 2022 Sample

J. R. Dekarske
L. N. Oji

March 2022

Savannah River National Laboratory is operated by Battelle Savannah River Alliance for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. 89303321CEM000080.

REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

AUTHORS:

J. R. Dekarske, Separation Sciences and Engineering Date

L. N. Oji, Chemical Flowsheet Development Date

TECHNICAL REVIEW:

W. D. King, Separation Sciences and Engineering, Reviewed per E7 2.60 Date

APPROVAL:

Boyd J. Wiedenman, Manager Date
Separation Sciences and Engineering

Frank Pennebaker, Director, Chemical Processing Date

Azikiwe Hooker, SRMC Tank Farm Facility Engineering Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge assistance in the completion of sample transfers and handling tasks by Shirley Mccollum and Scott Mcdonald and the completion of sample analyses tasks by David DiPrete and Sonia Dyer.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Savannah River Mission Completion Engineering (SRMC-E) requested that the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) analyze the Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facility (CSTF) samples from the following Tank Farm areas: the sump encasement, catch tank, drain cell, and waste tank annulus. In general, these CSTF samples will be analyzed on an infrequent basis and analyses will include detection for total beta/gamma activities, total alpha activity, density, free hydroxide, and pH measurements.

This report presents characterization results for the leak detection box (LDB) February 09, 2022 drain cell sample. The sample was clear and colorless with no visible particulates. The results are measurements for total gamma, total alpha, total beta, density, free hydroxide, and pH.

These analyses were performed in triplicate. A summary of the average analytical results for the LDB sample includes the following.

The directly measured pH for the LDB February 09, 2022 "as-received" drain cell sample was 8.4, the free hydroxide concentration was <0.01 M, and the density was 1.00 g/mL. The total alpha activity for the LDB February 09, 2022 sample is reported as a less than value (upper limits) either because of possible spectral interferences or because there is not much alpha activity in the sample. Thus, the total alpha activity averaged <2.56E+02 dpm/mL. This value is less than 4.83E+03 dpm/mL, which is the procedural limit for non-waste determination.[i]

The total beta activity in the LDB February 09, 2022 drain cell sample is above the instrument detection limits and averaged 3.54E+04 dpm/mL.

The average measured cesium-137 activity (dominant beta emitter) in the LDB February 09, 2022 drain cell sample is 2.80E+04 dpm/mL, which is slightly lower than the total beta activity. The corresponding Ba-137m (dominant gamma emitter) activity, calculated as 94.6% of the Cs-137 values, is 2.65E+04 dpm/mL.

The total empirical activity of the beta and gamma emitting (represented by the sum of total beta and Ba-137m activities) equals 6.18E+04 dpm/mL. This value is less than 8.69E+05 dpm/mL, which is the procedural limit for non-waste determination.[i]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CSTF	Concentration, Storage and Transfer Facility
LDB	Leak Detection Box
LSC	Liquid Scintillation Counting
ND	Not Detected
PMP	Polymethyl pentene
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
SRMC-E	Savannah River Mission Completion-Engineering
TTQAP	Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan
TTR	Technical Task Request

1.0 Introduction

On occasion, Savannah River Mission Completion Engineering (SRMC-E) will request Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) to perform analysis on Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facility (CSTF) samples originating from the sump encasement, catch tank, drain cell, or waste tank annulus per the Technical Task Request (TTR) or email. In February 2022, SRMC-E sent SRNL a sample identified as LDB from a leak detection box. Following the specified TTR[ii], Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan (TTQAP)[iii], and updated request by SRMC-E through email, SRNL tested the sample for Total Alpha and Total Beta by liquid scintillation counting (LSC), Cs-137 by Gamma scan, density, free hydroxide and pH.

2.0 Experimental Procedure

The leak detection box (LDB) drain cell sample was received on 09 February 2022 at SRNL. As the "as-received" sample radiation dose rate was Not Detected (ND) mrem/hr extremity and skin and whole body, the container was moved to a radiological hood for inspection. Approximately 80 mL of sample was collected from the stainless-steel receipt vessel and was transferred into a clear polymethyl pentene (PMP) beaker for visual inspection.[iv]

The sample appearance was clear and colorless with no visible particulates. The sample was not filtered. The density of the sample was measured in triplicate by recording the weight of 2 mL of sample on a balance at 23.5 °C. The sub-samples used for density determination were combined with the original sample. Aliquots of the sample were directly transferred into shielded bottles and submitted in triplicate preparations each for total gamma/beta/alpha, free hydroxide, and pH analyses. The remaining sample was stored in a plastic bottle.

2.1 Quality Assurance

Requirements for performing reviews of technical reports and the extent of review are established in manual E7 2.60[v]. SRNL documents the extent and type of review using the SRNL Technical Report Design Checklist contained in WSRC-IM-2002-00011, Rev. 2[vi]. This review, a design verification done by document review, meets the acceptance criteria to comply with the TTR[ii] requesting this work with a functional classification of Safety Class and per guidance in the TTQAP.[iii] Data are recorded in the electronic laboratory notebook system as Experiment ID M0869-00537-05.[vii]

3.0 Results and Discussion

A photograph of the LDB drain cell sample is provided in Figure 3-1. Separate samples were submitted in triplicate for analysis by each of the following methods: 1) radiochemical analysis (total gamma, beta, and Cs-removed beta and alpha), 2) free hydroxide, and 3) pH. Results are provided in Table 3-1.



Figure 3-1. Photograph of the LDB drain cell Sample in Plastic Bottle

Table 3-1. Results for February 09, 2022 LDB drain cell sample: Total Alpha, Total Beta, Total Gamma scan, Density, pH, and Free Hydroxide.

Test	Replicate 1	Replicate 2	Replicate 3	Average	%RSD
Total Alpha (dpm/mL) (one sigma %uncertainty)	*<2.48E02 (MDA)	*<2.53E02 (MDA)	*<2.56E02 (MDA)	*<2.56E02 (MDA)	N/A
Cs-Removed Alpha (dpm/mL) (one sigma % uncertainty)	*<3.68E01 (MDA)	*<3.69E01 (MDA)	*<3.69E01 (MDA)	*<3.69E01 (MDA)	N/A
Total Beta (dpm/mL) (one sigma % uncertainty)	3.56E04 (10%)	3.54E04 (10%)	3.51E04 (10%)	3.54E04	0.71
Cs-Removed Beta (dpm/mL) (one sigma % uncertainty)	6.87E02 (14%)	7.39E02 (14%)	6.78E02 (14%)	7.01E02	4.70
Cs-137 (dpm/mL) (one sigma % uncertainty)	2.83E04 (5.00%)	2.85E04 (5.00%)	2.71E04 (5.00%)	2.80E04	2.71
**Ba-137m (dpm/mL)	2.68E04	2.70E04	2.56E04	2.65E04	2.71
**Sum of Total Beta and Ba-137m (dpm/mL)	6.24E04	6.24E04	6.07E04	6.18E04	1.52
pH (one sigma uncertainty)	8.56 (10%)	8.39 (10%)	8.38 (10%)	***8.44	***21.6
Free Hydroxide (M) (one sigma uncertainty)	<0.01 (20%)	<0.01 (20%)	<0.01 (20%)	*<0.01	---
Density (g/mL)	0.995	0.996	0.996	0.995	0.03

*Note: Results were below detectable limit for quantification by method, therefore result is upper limit based on sensitivity of the analysis method. Thus, the average value reported is the highest "<" result. All one sigma % uncertainties are as reported with the analytical methods. **Note: Ba-137m activity is calculated as 94.6% of the Cs-137 value. ***Note: pH average and %RSD calculated by conversion of pH replicates to $[H^+]$.

4.0 Conclusions

The LDB drain cell sample characterization indicated low to moderate gamma and beta activity in the sample and no measurable alpha activity. The density was 1.00 g/mL, the pH was 8.4, and the free hydroxide was <0.01 M. Total alpha and total beta/gamma activity is less than the respective values of 4.83E+03 dpm/ml and 8.69E+05 dpm/mL for non-waste determination.

5.0 References

[i] "Tank Farm Transfer Control Program, Pump Tank Transfer Jet Control Program, and Waste Tank Chemical Cleaning Program", WRSC-TR-2002-00403, Rev. 32, August 2021
[ii] Technical Task Request, "Infrequent CSTF Samples", X-TTR-H-0101, Rev. 1, June 2021.
[iii] L. N. Oji, S. C. Lucatero, "Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan for the Analysis of Infrequent Samples from the Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facility", SRNL-RP-2020-00565, Rev.1, July 2021.
[iv] K. Taylor-Pashow: ELN: E7518-00472-07 (Electronic Notebook (Production)); SRNL, Aiken, SC 29808 (2022).
[v] "Technical Reviews," E7 Manual, Procedure 2.60, Rev. 18, 2019.
[vi] "Savannah River National Laboratory Technical Report Design Check Guidelines", WSRC-IM-2002-00011, Rev.2, 2004.
[vii] J. R. Dekarske: ELN: M0869-00537-05 (Electronic Notebook (Production)); SRNL, Aiken, SC 29808 (2022).

Distribution:

Cj.bannochie@srnl.doe.gov
William.bates@srnl.doe.gov
marion.cofer@srnl.doe.gov
alex.cozzi@srnl.doe.gov
connie.herman@srnl.doe.gov
brady.lee@srnl.doe.gov
Joseph.Manna@srnl.doe.gov
Gregg.Morgan@srnl.doe.gov
frank.pennebaker@srnl.doe.gov
William.Ramsey@srnl.doe.gov
Marissa.Reigel@srnl.doe.gov
eric.skidmore@srnl.doe.gov
michael.stone@srnl.doe.gov
william.swift@srnl.doe.gov
Boyd.Wiedenman@srnl.doe.gov
bill.clark@srs.gov
jeffrey.crenshaw@srs.gov
james.folk@srs.gov
Curtis.Gardner@srs.gov
timothy.littleton@srs.gov
Anna.Murphy@srs.gov
tony.polk@srs.gov
Anthony.Robinson@srs.gov
mark-a.smith@srs.gov
patricia.suggs@srs.gov
thomas.temple@srs.gov
celia.aponte@srs.gov
timothy.baughman@srs.gov
Richard.Edwards@srs.gov
Azikiwe.hooker@srs.gov
Ryan.McNew@srs.gov
phillip.norris@srs.gov
Christine.Ridgeway@srs.gov
Azadeh.Samadi-Dezfouli@srs.gov
Vijay.Jain@srs.gov
Bruce.wiersma@srnl.doe.gov
arthur.wiggins@srs.gov
Keisha.martin@srs.gov

Records Administration (EDWS)