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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Aluminum-clad spent nuclear fuel will be transported for processing in the 70-ton nuclear fuel element cask from L Basin to H-canyon. During transport these fuels would be expected to experience high temperature aqueous corrosion from the residual L Basin water that will be present in the cask. Cladding corrosion losses during transport were calculated for material test reactor (MTR) and high flux isotope reactors (HFIR) fuels using literature and site information on aqueous corrosion at a range of time/temperature conditions. Calculations of the cladding corrosion loss were based on Arrhenius relationships developed for aluminum alloys typical of cladding material with the primary assumption that an adherent passive film does not form to retard the initial corrosion rate. For MTR fuels a cladding thickness loss of 33 % was found after 1 year in the cask with a maximum temperature of 260 °C. HFIR fuels showed a thickness loss of only 6% after 1 year at a maximum temperature of 180 °C. These losses are not expected to impact the overall confinement function of the aluminum cladding.
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1.0 Introduction

Aluminum-clad spent nuclear fuel (SNF) will be transported for processing in the 70-ton nuclear fuel element cask from L Basin to H-canyon. During transport these fuels would be expected to experience high temperature aqueous corrosion from the residual L Basin water that will be present in the cask. Therefore, the aluminum cladding will experience a loss of thickness during transport. Since the cladding is needed on the fuel elements to maintain confinement of radionuclides (i.e. maintaining fuel cladding configuration), an evaluation was performed to estimate the corrosion of the aluminum cladding for the SNF in L Basin.

An evaluation was performed previously for Material Test Reactor (MTR) design fuel, which were bounded by the Missouri University Research Reactor and/or High Flux Beam Reactor fuels [1]. The current evaluation was performed for fuel elements for the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR). This document incorporates the previous evaluation for the MTR fuel with that of the HFIR fuel.

2.0 Fuel Condition for Transport

The shipment of the MTR and HFIR fuels differ in their spatial arrangement, heat flux, and maximum temperature [2-4]. These fuels can be characterized as a dispersion of uranium compounds in an aluminum matrix. The fuel elements are clad with one of the aluminum alloys 1100, 5052, or 6061 or their foreign equivalents. The fuel matrix and cladding maintain a metallurgical bond even after irradiation (i.e. maintaining fuel cladding configuration).

The MTR fuels typically consist of aluminum clad uranium aluminide (UAl) or aluminum-uranium oxide (Al-U₃O₈), which is formed as a flat or curved plate or other similarly formed fuel (a nested cylindrical configuration). The aluminum cladding is typically 15 mils thick. For handling, the MTR fuels are bundled in aluminum tubes (6061 or 6063), that are either General Purpose tubes or L Bundles. The tubes would only be nominally drained and not dried prior to transport. Water, at a depth of up to 4 inches, is assumed to remain in the cask following draining after removal from L-Basin. This amount of water is still not sufficient to contact the fuel elements. The cask may contain between 9 to 12 tubes, each containing up to 4 MTR fuel assemblies. The actual number of tubes is driven by the loading requirement which maintains a fuel temperature that does not exceed 260 °C [4].

The HFIR fuel consists of two nested fuel elements. The fuel plates within each element are composed of aluminum-clad Al-U₃O₈. The aluminum cladding has a 12 mil thickness. For storage and transport, each HFIR inner or outer fuel element is placed on an inner or outer aluminum carrier for handling. The carrier remains with the fuel during transport in the cask. The fuel elements are placed on the HFIR cask insert which is designed to hold up to eight fuel elements (alternating inner and outer). Some residual water will be in the cask from the draining of the fuel elements but still not sufficient to contact the fuel elements on the insert. The maximum fuel temperature will be 180 °C for 4 inner HFIR elements and 4 outer HFIR elements [4].

The cladding thickness is reduced by corrosion during reactor operation. Boehmite (Al₃O₇·H₂O), an aluminum oxyhydroxide, grows on the aluminum cladding with typically oxide thickness ranging from 20 to 50 µm [17]. A corresponding metal loss is 23 µm or approximately 1 mil from the formation of this boehmite layer. Since the SNF is stored in L-basin after delivery to the site, general corrosion of the aluminum cladding may occur.¹ This loss of cladding thickness is expected to be insignificant due to the

¹ Pitting corrosion also occurs during storage and will breach the cladding but not impact overall thickness. In 1998, it was estimated that approximately 2% of foreign and domestic research reactor fuels have cladding breaches (excluding Australia, Brazil and Thailand which had poor storage requirements) after cooling times in basins that vary from 1 to 20 years [18]. These fuels are presently stored directly in L-Basin.
thick boehmite oxide layer that resulted during reactor operation. The post-operation, post-storage cladding thickness is assumed to be 11 and 14 μm for the HFIR and MTR fuels, respectively.

Table 1 summarizes the data for each fuel type that is pertinent to the corrosion assessment of the cladding for transport between L- and H-areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>HFIR</th>
<th>MTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36 - 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum temperature (°C)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial cladding thickness (mils)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operation, post-storage cladding thickness (mils)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.0 High Temperature Aqueous Corrosion of Aluminum Cladding

In the 70-ton cask during transport, water is present on the aluminum cladding surface as a residual or adsorbed layer and as water vapor due to the elevated temperature from decay heat. General corrosion of aluminum in a saturated water vapor environment and in water is similar. General attack is the predominant corrosion mode at high temperatures as observed in vapor corrosion tests at SRNL [5]. Even in the presence of pits through the cladding, aluminum oxidation or corrosion is expected to be preferential over that of the fuel meat. Surface characterization of Al-UAlₓ specimens reacted with saturated water vapor revealed a uniform corrosion layer of hydrated aluminum oxide, similar to that observed on aluminum, with no indication of localized attack [6]. At temperatures above 80°C, aluminum forms boehmite as the hydrated aluminum oxide. Similarly for Al-U₃O₈, the corrosion behavior was considered the same as that of the aluminum matrix, since the reaction rate of U₃O₈ with water is very small by comparison [7].

Hilton from Argonne National Laboratory evaluated SNF oxidation data [6]. The oxidation rate data in his report were from literature data [5, 7-16] and were limited to pure oxygen and water environments with low conductivity and negligible impurity levels, which would represent baseline corrosion kinetics and be representative of corrosion in L Basin water. Hilton developed Arrhenius equations for both aluminum and aluminum-matrix uranium aluminate dispersions and uranium silicides. The Arrhenius corrosion rate equation for aluminum, which was determined from a regression analysis (R = 0.95) of data for aluminum alloys 6061 and 8001 is:

\[
K = 4.29 \exp\left((-32.8 \pm 1.8 \text{ kJ/Mole})/RT\right) \quad \text{(mg metal lost/cm²/hr)}
\]

(Equation 1)

where R is the gas constant and T is the temperature (°K). The applicable temperature range of the rate expression is 25 to 360 °C. Equation 1 provides general corrosion rates for water and saturated water vapor and is conservative since no passivation or oxide film growth is assumed. Data used in developing this equation above 100°C was from coupons placed in autoclave systems, although high pressures will not develop in the cask since it is vented.

Using the rate expression, corrosion losses to the cladding were calculated for times up to one year over the temperature range of 100 to 300 °C. An aluminum density of 2.7 mg/cm³ was used for these calculations. Figure 1 shows the results of these calculations as a plot of cladding loss (mils) versus time (hours). The expected time of exposure of the fuels in the 70-ton cask is not anticipated to be extended beyond a couple of months. Conservative extended times were evaluated to assess the impact on the cladding thickness. For the MTR fuels, a maximum temperature of 263 °C was determined from a heat
load of 2,750 watts [4]. As seen in the figure, the MTR fuels would experience cladding thickness losses of approximately 2.5 and 5 mils after storage in the cask for 6 months and 1 year, respectively. For the HFIR fuels, the loading requirement for a maximum fuel temperature is 180 °C. The cladding thickness loss at 6 months and 1 year for HFIR fuel would be 0.3 and 0.7 mils, respectively.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1**  Aluminum cladding losses calculated from Arrhenius rate expression developed by Hilton [6]

From an experimental study by Lam et al, high Mg content aluminum (5XXX series) cladding alloys were found to be subject to aggressive "break-away" corrosion behavior [5]. This phenomenon, if it would occur, may challenge the cladding confinement function for these alloys in a high temperature vapor environment for extended exposures. For this reason, it is recommended that fuel should not be stored in a configuration where it is exposed to high temperature water vapor for more than 120 days.

Since the fuel has been stored in water for variable lengths of time, pitting corrosion may have occurred and led to possible breaches. These breaches are expected to be minor in L Basin fuel since the water chemistry is well controlled, which would minimize corrosion. These minor breaches have been previously evaluated for impact on containment during shipping and found to be negligible [18].

In general the cladding confinement function will not be compromised for either the MTR or HFIR fuel after up to one year of storage in the 70-ton cask. The MTR fuel will see a loss of 33% of cladding thickness while the HFIR fuel would only experience a loss of approximately 6% from original thickness. These losses would be conservative since the no oxide is assumed to form, which would passivate the aluminum and slow any additional corrosion.
4.0 Conclusions
Corrosion loss calculations were performed for aluminum-clad spent nuclear fuels to assess the impact during transport from L Basin to H-canyon in a 70-ton nuclear fuel element cask. These calculations were based on Arrhenius relationships developed for aluminum alloys typical of cladding material. For MTR fuels a cladding thickness loss of 33% was found after 1 year in the cask. HFIR fuels showed a thickness loss of only 6%. These losses are not expected to impact the overall confinement function of the aluminum cladding.
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