

**Keywords:** *Tank 50*

☐ *Waste Acceptance Criteria*

☐ *Saltstone*

**Retention:** *Permanent*

## **Results for the First Quarter 2010 Tank 50 WAC Slurry Sample: Chemical and Radionuclide Contaminant Results**

M.M. Reigel  
N.E. Bibler

April 2010

Savannah River National Laboratory  
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions  
Aiken, SC 29808

---

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under  
contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



## **DISCLAIMER**

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or
2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or
3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

**Printed in the United States of America**

**Prepared for  
U.S. Department of Energy**

## REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

### AUTHORS:

---

M.M. Reigel, Engineering Process Development	Date
--	------

---

N.E. Bibler, Process Technology Programs	Date
--	------

### TECHNICAL REVIEW:

---

C.C. DiPrete, Analytical Development	Date
--------------------------------------	------

### APPROVAL:

---

A.B. Barnes, Manager Environmental Process Development	Date
---	------

---

S.L. Marra, Manager Environmental & Chemical Process Technology Research Programs	Date
--	------

---

J.E. Occhipinti, Manager Waste Solidification Engineering	Date
--	------

---

L.M. Chandler, Manager Analytical Development	Date
--	------

---

A.W. Wiggins, LWO Process Chemistry	Date
-------------------------------------	------

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report details the chemical and radionuclide contaminant results for the characterization of the 2010 First Quarter sampling of Tank 50 for the Saltstone Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC).<sup>1</sup> Information from this characterization will be used by Liquid Waste Operations (LWO) to support the transfer of low-level aqueous waste from Tank 50 to the Salt Feed Tank in the Saltstone Facility in Z-Area, where the waste will be immobilized. This information is also used to update the Tank 50 Waste Characterization System.

The following conclusions are drawn from the analytical results provided in this report:

- The concentrations of the reported chemical and radioactive contaminants were less than their respective WAC targets or limits unless noted in this section.
- The reported detection limit for Isopar L is greater than the limit from Table 3 of the WAC.
- The reported detection limits for <sup>59</sup>Ni and <sup>94</sup>Nb are above the requested limits from Reference 4. However, they are each below the limits established in Reference 6.
- The reported detection limit for isopropanol is greater than the requested limit from Table 4 of the WAC.
- The reported detection limits for <sup>247</sup>Cm and <sup>249</sup>Cf are above the requested limits from Reference 4. However, they are below the limits established in Reference 6.
- Isopar L and Norpar 13 have limited solubility in aqueous solutions making it difficult to obtain consistent and reliable sub-samples. The values reported in this memo are the concentrations in the sub-sample as detected by the GC/MS; however, the results may not accurately represent the concentrations of the analytes in Tank 50.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES .....	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	vii
1.0 Introduction .....	9
2.0 Experimental Procedure .....	9
3.0 Results and Discussion .....	10
4.0 Conclusions .....	19
5.0 References .....	20

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Chemical Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.1 of the Saltstone WAC.....	11
Table 3-2. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Chemical Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.2 of the Saltstone WAC.....	12
Table 3-3. Results for 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples and WAC Limits for Radionuclide Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.3 of the Saltstone WAC.....	13
Table 3-4. Results for 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples and WAC Targets for Radionuclide Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.4 of the Saltstone WAC.....	14
Table 3-5. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Acceptance Criteria Limits for Chemical Contaminants Impacting Vault Flammability, Listed in Table 3 of the Saltstone WAC.....	16
Table 3-6. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Concentrations of “Other Organics” Impacting Vault Flammability, Listed in Table 4 of the Saltstone WAC.....	16
Table 3-7. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Saltstone Processing Criteria WAC Limits, Listed in Table 5 of the Saltstone WAC.....	16
Table 3-8. Requests for Constituents for TCLP/UHC Support as well as from the TTR for Tank 50 Slurry Samples; Results Not Contained in Previous Tables. ....	17
Table 3-9. Requests from the WSE for Corrosion Species from Tank 50 Slurry Samples; Results Not Contained in Previous Tables.....	17
Table 3-10. Additional Radionuclides Requested for Inventory Reporting Requirements.....	18

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Analytical Development
AA	Atomic Absorption (spectroscopy)
ARP/MCU	Actinide Removal Process/Modular CSSX Unit
CLFL	Composite Lower Flammability Limit
CSSX	Caustic Side Solvent Extraction
DDA	Deliquification, Dissolution and Adjustment
ETP	Effluent Treatment Project
GC/MS	Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
IC	Ion Chromatography
ICP-ES	Inductively coupled plasma – (atomic) emission spectroscopy
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma – mass spectroscopy
L	Liter
LLW	Low Level Waste
LSC	Liquid Scintillation Counting
LWO	Liquid Waste Operations
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MRL	Method Reporting Limit
mg	Milligram
mL	Milliliter
ND	Not Determined
pCi/mL	Picocurie per milliliter
RSD	Relative Standard Deviation
SC	Shielded Cells (Facility)
SDF	Saltstone Disposal Facility
SFT	Salt Feed Tank
SPF	Saltstone Production Facility
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
SRS	Savannah River Site
SVOA	Semi-volatile Organic Analysis
TCLP/UHC	Toxic Characterization Leaching Procedure/Underlying Hazardous Constituent
TIC/TOC	Total inorganic carbon/total organic carbon

TTQAP	Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan
TTR	Technical Task Request
VOA	Volatile organic analysis
WAC	Waste Acceptance Criteria
WCS	Waste Characterization System
WSE	Waste Solidification Engineering
WT %	Weight percent



## 1.0 Introduction

The Saltstone Facility is designed and permitted to immobilize and dispose of low-level radioactive and hazardous liquid waste (salt solution) remaining from the processing of radioactive material at the Savannah River Site.<sup>1</sup> Low-level waste (LLW) streams from the Effluent Treatment Project (ETP), H-Canyon, the DDA (Deliquification, Dissolution, and Adjustment) process, and the decontaminated salt solution product from the Actinide Removal Process/Modular Caustic Side Solvent Extraction (CSSX) Unit (ARP/MCU) process are stored in Tank 50 until the LLW can be transferred to the Saltstone Facility for treatment and disposal. The LLW must meet the specified waste acceptance criteria (WAC) before it is processed into saltstone.<sup>1</sup> The specific chemical and radionuclide contaminants and their respective WAC limits are listed in the current Saltstone WAC.<sup>1</sup>

SRS Liquid Waste Operations (LWO) requested that Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) perform quarterly analysis on saltstone samples.<sup>2</sup> The concentrations of chemical and radionuclide contaminants are measured to ensure the saltstone produced during each quarter is in compliance with the current WAC.<sup>1,3,4</sup> This report documents the concentrations of chemical and radionuclide contaminants for the 2010 First Quarter samples collected from Tank 50 on January 8, 2010 and discusses those results in further detail than the previously issued results report.<sup>5</sup>

## 2.0 Experimental Procedure

On January 8, 2010, five 200-mL samplers (HTF-50-10-2, -3, -4, -5 and -6) were collected from Tank 50 for First Quarter 2010 WAC analyses and delivered to the SRNL Shielded Cells (SC).

At SRNL, slurry samples (~12 mL) from HTF-50-10-3 were transferred to glass vials with Teflon-lined caps. The vials were completely filled to minimize the void space and the volatilization of organics. The aliquots were transferred to the Analytical Development (AD) Organic Analysis Laboratory for semi-volatile and volatile organic analysis (SVOA and VOA respectively). An additional a 10-mL aliquot was used for SVOA analysis to more accurately determine the concentration of Isopar in the sample.

After the samples for organic analyses were obtained, the slurries in the 200-mL samplers were combined into a 2-L high density polyethylene (HDPE) bottle according to the following procedure. Each steel sampler was agitated to disperse any solids in the slurry. After mixing the slurry in the steel sampler, the slurry was transferred to the 2-L HDPE bottle. The transferred slurry was left to settle. A portion of the clear supernate was returned to each steel sampler, mixed to mobilize any remaining solids, and again returned to the 2-L HDPE bottle. Visual inspection of the inside of each 200-mL sampler indicated that all the solids had been removed. The total weight of the transferred slurry was approximately 793 grams.

The 2-L HDPE bottle was agitated to thoroughly mix the solids into the supernate. Aliquots of slurry samples were promptly collected with slurry pipettes to minimize settling effects and placed in HDPE bottles. A three milliliter sample of the slurry was used to determine of the density of the slurry.

Slurry samples were submitted in triplicate to AD laboratories for the following analyses:

- Six-mL aliquots to the AD Ion Chromatography (IC) Laboratory for soluble anion analyses and soluble cation analyses.

- Three-mL aliquots to the AD Organic Analysis Laboratory for measurement of tetraphenylborate and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).
- Six-mL aliquots to the AD Wet Chemistry Laboratory for Total Inorganic Carbon/ Total Organic Carbon (TIC/TOC) analyses.
- Approximately 70-mL aliquots were removed from the 2-L HDPE bottle. After each 70-mL aliquot was prepared, it was divided into one 50-mL and one 20-mL sample and sent to AD Radiochemistry Laboratory for radiochemical separations and analyses. The subsamples were required in order to stay within the dose limits and hood limits for beta radiation.
- Twelve-mL aliquots of filtered supernate were prepared by filtering aliquots of supernate using a 0.45 micron syringe filter. The filtered supernate samples were then submitted to the AD Wet Chemistry Laboratory for TIC/TOC analyses and Total Base analyses.
- Twelve-mL aliquots were sent to the AD Dissolution Laboratory for digestion using an aqua regia method. Visual inspection of the digested sample by the AD Task Supervisor indicated that all the solids had dissolved. Aliquots of dissolved slurries were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma-(atomic) emission spectroscopy (ICP-ES), inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS), and atomic absorption spectroscopy (AA) for Hg, As, K, Na, and Se.

### 3.0 Results and Discussion

The following tables contain the results for the 2010 First Quarter WAC analyses. Each table provides the analyte of interest, the method used for measuring that analyte, the average concentration of the analyte based on triplicate samples (unless otherwise noted), the %RSD of the average, and, if applicable, the WAC target or limit for the analyte concentration. Several of the contaminants were either not detected in the slurry samples or detected at values below the method reporting limit (MRL). For those analytes, the result is preceded by a “<” which indicates the result is an upper limit based on the sensitivity of the method used to analyze the individual analyte.

Tables 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4 are based directly on attachments 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, and 8.4, respectively, of the WAC.<sup>1</sup>

**Table 3-1. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Chemical Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.1 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<u>Chemical Name</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Concentration (mg/L)</u>	<u>% RSD</u>	<u>WAC Limit (mg/L)</u>
Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	IC	<5.00E+01	--	7.13E+03
Carbonate (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-2</sup> )	TIC	1.01E+04	0.87	1.45E+05
Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	IC	1.40E+02	3.21	9.68E+03
Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	IC	<1.00E+02	--	4.94E+03
Free Hydroxide (OH <sup>-</sup> )	Total base	2.31E+04 <sup>a</sup>	1.42	1.91E+05
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	IC	1.24E+05	8.14	5.29E+05
Nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	IC	7.23E+03	3.75	2.59E+05
Oxalate (C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> )	IC	1.06E+03	3.82	3.30E+04
Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> )	ICP-ES	7.57E+02	0.96	3.56E+04
Sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> )	IC	6.50E+03	3.25	6.89E+04
Arsenic (As)	AA	<9.90E-02	--	7.50E+02
Barium (Ba)	ICP-ES	<1.44E+00	--	7.50E+02
Cadmium (Cd)	ICP-ES	<4.39E-01	--	3.75E+02
Chromium (Cr)	ICP-ES	4.22E+01	0.65	1.50E+03
Lead (Pb)	ICP-MS	2.21E-01	7.80	7.50E+02
Mercury (Hg)	AA	9.87E+00	3.20	3.25E+02
Selenium (Se)	AA	<1.98E-01	--	4.50E+02
Silver (Ag)	ICP-ES	<1.01E+00	--	7.50E+02
Aluminum (Al)	ICP-ES	3.65E+03	0.50	1.41E+05
n-Butanol	VOA	<5.00E-01 <sup>b</sup>	--	2.25E+03
Isobutanol	VOA	<5.00E-01 <sup>b</sup>	--	2.25E+03
Isopropanol	VOA	<5.00E-01 <sup>b</sup>	--	2.25E+03
Phenol	SVOA	<1.00E+01 <sup>b</sup>	--	7.50E+02
Isopar L	SVOA	<2.78E+01 ppm <sup>b, c</sup>	--	1.50E+02 ppm
Total organic carbon	TOC	3.99E+02	0.66	5.00E+03
Tetraphenylborate (TPB anion)	HPLC	<5.00E+00	--	7.50E+02

a. Measurement performed on filtered supernate samples.

b. Measurement performed on duplicate samples rather than triplicate samples.

c. Result is calculated from the reported concentration of < 33 mg/L and the density of the slurry sample.

**Table 3-2. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Chemical Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.2 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<u>Chemical Name</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Concentration (mg/L)</u>	<u>% RSD</u>	<u>WAC TARGET (mg/L)</u>
<b>Boron (B)</b>	ICP-ES	2.80E+01	0.42	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Cobalt (Co)</b>	ICP-MS	6.57E-02	10.4	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Copper (Cu)</b>	ICP-ES	<4.06E-01	--	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Iron (Fe)</b>	ICP-ES	1.32E+02	1.80	<b>6.00E+03</b>
<b>Potassium (K)</b>	AA	1.38E+02	0.86	<b>3.67E+04</b>
<b>Lithium (Li)</b>	ICP-ES	<1.08E+00	--	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Manganese (Mn)</b>	ICP-ES	8.39E+01	1.27	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Molybdenum (Mo)</b>	ICP-ES	3.14E+01	0.38	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Nickel (Ni)</b>	ICP-ES	6.19E+00	2.98	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Silicon (Si)</b>	ICP-ES	5.49E+01	6.49	<b>1.29E+04</b>
<b>Strontium (Sr)</b>	ICP-ES	1.52E-01	1.80	<b>9.00E+02</b>
<b>Zinc (Zn)</b>	ICP-ES	4.83E+00	0.51	<b>9.75E+02</b>
<b>Benzene</b>	VOA	<1.50E-01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>3.75E+02</b>
<b>Methanol</b>	VOA	b	b	<b>2.25E+02</b>
<b>Toluene</b>	VOA	<1.50E-01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>3.75E+02</b>
<b>TributylPhosphate (TBP)</b>	SVOA	<1.00E+00 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>3.00E+02</b>
<b>EDTA</b>	HPLC	<1.00E+02	--	<b>3.75E+02</b>
<b>Norpar 13</b>	SVOA	<1.00E-01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>1.0E-01</b>

a. Measurement performed on duplicate samples rather than triplicate samples.

b. Currently, a routine method for detecting this species does not exist in AD.

As indicated in Tables 3-1 and 3-2, all of the contaminants are within the WAC limits. However, Isopar L and Norpar 13 have negligible solubility in aqueous solutions, which makes it difficult to obtain reliable sub-samples of the original sample. The values reported in these tables are the concentrations as detected by the GC/MS but may not necessarily be an accurate representation of the concentrations of these analytes in Tank 50.

**Table 3-3. Results for 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples and WAC Limits for Radionuclide Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.3 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<b><u>Radionuclide</u></b>	<b><u>Method</u></b>	<b><u>Average Concentration (pCi/mL)</u></b>	<b><u>% RSD</u></b>	<b><u>WAC LIMIT (pCi/mL)</u></b>
<b>Tritium (<sup>3</sup>H)</b>	Tritium counting	5.57E+02	8.17	<b>5.63E+05</b>
<b>Carbon-14 (<sup>14</sup>C)</b>	C-14 Liquid scintillation	<9.46E+02	--	<b>1.13E+05</b>
<b>Nickel-63 (<sup>63</sup>Ni)</b>	Ni-59/63	1.78E+02 <sup>a</sup>	32.2	<b>1.13E+05</b>
<b>Strontium-90 (<sup>90</sup>Sr)</b>	Sr-90 Liquid scintillation	4.83E+04	10.0	<b>2.25E+07</b>
<b>Technetium-99 (<sup>99</sup>Tc)</b>	Tc-99 Liquid scintillation	3.13E+04	8.29	<b>4.22E+05</b>
<b>Iodine-129 (<sup>129</sup>I)</b>	I-129 (w/ separation) Liquid scintillation	5.43E+00 <sup>a</sup>	1.76	<b>1.13E+03</b>
<b>Cesium-137 (<sup>137</sup>Cs)</b>	Gamma Scan	9.79E+06	0.96	<b>4.75E+07</b>
<b>Uranium-233 (<sup>233</sup>U)</b>	ICP-MS	<6.97E+01	--	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Uranium-235 (<sup>235</sup>U)</b>	ICP-MS	3.66E-01	6.24	<b>1.13E+02</b>
<b>Plutonium-241 (<sup>241</sup>Pu)</b>	Pu238/241 Liquid scintillation	<7.61E+02	--	<b>8.38E+05</b>
<b>Total Alpha</b>	Liquid Scintillation Counting	<1.45E+04	--	<b>2.50E+05</b>

a. Result is from two measurements.

None of the radionuclide contaminants in Table 3-3 exceed the WAC limit.

As shown in Table 3-4, none of the radionuclide contaminants exceed the targets listed in the latest revision of the WAC. However, in a memo from LWO, the detection limits for several radionuclides were lowered in order to accommodate future inventory reporting requirements.<sup>4</sup> The reported limits of <sup>59</sup>Ni and <sup>94</sup>Nb are above the limits requested by LWO (6.59E+00 and 2.00E-03 pCi/mL respectively).<sup>4</sup> However, the reported detection limits are below the detection limits established by AD.<sup>6</sup>

**Table 3-4. Results for 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples and WAC Targets for Radionuclide Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.4 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<b><u>Radionuclide</u></b>	<b><u>Method</u></b>	<b><u>Average Concentration (pCi/mL)</u></b>	<b><u>%RSD</u></b>	<b><u>WAC TARGET (pCi/mL)</u></b>
<b>Sodium-22 (<sup>22</sup>Na)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<1.22E+00	--	<b>1.25E+04</b>
<b>Aluminum-26 (<sup>26</sup>Al)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<1.55E-01	--	<b>2.88E+03</b>
<b>Cobalt-60 (<sup>60</sup>Co)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	5.68E+00	8.29	<b>1.13E+06</b>
<b>Nickel-59 (<sup>59</sup>Ni)</b>	Ni-59/63	<1.00E+01	--	<b>1.13E+05</b>
<b>Selenium-79 (<sup>79</sup>Se)</b>	Se79	3.00E+02	24.2	<b>1.90E+04</b>
<b>Niobium-93m (<sup>93m</sup>Nb)</b>	ICP-MS	2.23E+02	3.22	<b>2.85E+06</b>
<b>Niobium-94 (<sup>94</sup>Nb)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<2.99E-01	--	<b>1.53E+04</b>
<b>Molybdenum-93 (<sup>93</sup>Mo)</b>	ICP-MS	1.00E+05	3.22	<b>1.18E+07</b>
<b>Ruthenium-106 (<sup>106</sup>Ru)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<5.99E+00	--	<b>1.13E+06</b>
<b>Antimony-125 (<sup>125</sup>Sb)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	3.22E+03	4.00	<b>2.25E+06</b>
<b>Tin-126 (<sup>126</sup>Sn)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	8.81E+01	3.90	<b>1.80E+04</b>
<b>Cesium-134 (<sup>134</sup>Cs)</b>	Gamma Scan	<2.48E+02	--	<b>1.13E+06</b>
<b>Cesium-135 (<sup>135</sup>Cs)</b>	ICP-MS	6.37E+01	6.40	<b>1.13E+06</b>
<b>Cerium-144 (<sup>144</sup>Ce)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<7.75E+00	--	<b>1.13E+05</b>
<b>Promethium-147 (<sup>147</sup>Pm)</b>	Pm147/Sm151 Liquid scintillation	<4.13E+02	--	<b>5.63E+06</b>
<b>Samarium-151 (<sup>151</sup>Sm)</b>	Pm147/Sm151 Liquid scintillation	3.55E+02	8.48	<b>2.25E+04</b>
<b>Europium-152 (<sup>152</sup>Eu)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<1.37E+00	--	<b>7.28E+01</b>
<b>Europium-154 (<sup>154</sup>Eu)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	1.84E+02	2.81	<b>2.25E+06</b>
<b>Europium-155 (<sup>155</sup>Eu)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<4.55E+00	--	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Radium-226 (<sup>226</sup>Ra)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<2.59E+01	--	<b>7.97E+03</b>
<b>Thorium-229 (<sup>229</sup>Th)</b>	ICP-MS	<1.53E+03	--	<b>1.63E+05</b>
<b>Thorium-230 (<sup>230</sup>Th)</b>	ICP-MS	<1.52E+02	--	<b>6.26E+03</b>
<b>Thorium-232 (<sup>232</sup>Th)</b>	ICP-MS	<8.29E-03	--	<b>2.88E+03</b>
<b>Uranium-232 (<sup>232</sup>U)</b>	U232	5.22E+00	45.4	<b>1.71E+05</b>
<b>Uranium-234 (<sup>234</sup>U)</b>	ICP-MS	1.43E+02	3.54	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Uranium-236 (<sup>236</sup>U)</b>	ICP-MS	1.77E+00	13.6	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Uranium-238 (<sup>238</sup>U)</b>	ICP-MS	1.77E+00	0.86	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Neptunium-237 (<sup>237</sup>Np)</b>	ICP-MS	9.48E+00	21.8	<b>2.50E+05</b>

**Table 3-4 (continued). Results for 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples and WAC Targets for Radionuclide Contaminants Listed in Attachment 8.4 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<b><u>Radionuclide</u></b>	<b><u>Method</u></b>	<b><u>Average Concentration (pCi/mL)</u></b>	<b><u>%RSD</u></b>	<b><u>WAC TARGET (pCi/mL)</u></b>
<b>Plutonium-238 (<sup>238</sup>Pu)</b>	Pu238/241 Pu alpha PHA	2.81E+03	41.9	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Plutonium-239 (<sup>239</sup>Pu)</b>	Pu238/241 Pu alpha PHA	6.98E+01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Plutonium-240 (<sup>240</sup>Pu)</b>	Pu238/241 Pu alpha PHA	6.98E+01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Plutonium-242 (<sup>242</sup>Pu)</b>	ICP-MS	<2.75E+01	--	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Plutonium-244 (<sup>244</sup>Pu)</b>	ICP-MS	<1.28E-01	--	<b>7.02E+04</b>
<b>Americium-241 (<sup>241</sup>Am)</b>	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	5.65E+02	7.41	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Americium-242m (<sup>242m</sup>Am)</b>	Am/Cm	3.48E-01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>3.68E-01</b>
<b>Americium-243 (<sup>243</sup>Am)</b>	Am/Cm	1.27E+01	20.0	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Curium-242 (<sup>242</sup>Cm)</b>	Am/Cm	2.88E-01 <sup>a</sup>	--	<b>1.13E+04</b>
<b>Curium-244 (<sup>244</sup>Cm)</b>	Am/Cm	2.21E+03	28.7	<b>2.50E+05</b>
<b>Curium-245 (<sup>245</sup>Cm)</b>	Am/Cm	<9.37E+00	--	<b>2.25E+05</b>

a. Result is from a single value.

The values for <sup>93m</sup>Nb and <sup>93</sup>Mo in Table 3-4 are estimated from the ICP-MS result for mass 93. The entire signal at mass 93 is assigned to <sup>93</sup>Zr, and since it is in secular equilibrium with <sup>93m</sup>Nb, the maximum activity of the <sup>93m</sup>Nb is equal to that of the <sup>93</sup>Zr. The specific activity of <sup>93</sup>Zr (2.51E-03 Ci/g) is used when calculating the activity concentration of <sup>93m</sup>Nb. Similarly, <sup>93</sup>Mo is estimated by assigning all of mass 93 to <sup>93</sup>Mo and using the specific activity of <sup>93</sup>Mo to calculate the concentration. The concentration of <sup>135</sup>Cs is calculated by assigning all of the mass at 135 to cesium. <sup>126</sup>Sn and <sup>126</sup>Sb are in secular equilibrium for this sample; therefore their activities are equal. As a result, the measured activity of <sup>126</sup>Sb was used for the <sup>126</sup>Sn concentration since <sup>126</sup>Sb was detected and <sup>126</sup>Sn was below the MDL. Since no analyte was detected at mass 229 and because the <sup>229</sup>Th and <sup>230</sup>Th isotopes have identical electronic structures, the MDL measured for <sup>230</sup>Th was used for the MDL for <sup>229</sup>Th. The activity concentrations are then calculated from the specific activities for <sup>229</sup>Th and <sup>230</sup>Th. It is assumed all the mass detected at mass 244 is <sup>244</sup>Pu. The Pu alpha Pulse Height Analysis (PHA) method does not resolve the alpha activities of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>240</sup>Pu. To determine the maximum concentration of each radionuclide, the total activity is assigned to each radionuclide separately. As shown in Table 3-4, the reported activity is below the WAC limit for each radionuclide.

Tables 3-5 and 3-6 list the chemical contaminants that impact vault flammability. These chemicals must be monitored to ensure flammable gases do not contribute more than 10% of the Composite Lower Flammability Limit (CLFL).<sup>1</sup>

**Table 3-5. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Acceptance Criteria Limits for Chemical Contaminants Impacting Vault Flammability, Listed in Table 3 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<u>Chemical Name</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Concentration (mg/L)</u>	<u>% RSD</u>	<u>WAC Limit</u>
Isopar L	SVOA	<2.78E+01 ppm <sup>a</sup>	--	1.10E+01 ppm
Tetraphenylborate (TPB anion)	HPLC	<5.00E+00	--	5.00E+00 mg/L
Ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	IC	<5.00E+01	--	2.12E+02 mg/L

a. Result is calculated from the reported concentration of < 33 mg/L and the density of the slurry sample.

**Table 3-6. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Concentrations of “Other Organics” Impacting Vault Flammability, Listed in Table 4 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<u>Chemical Name</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Concentration (mg/L)</u>	<u>% RSD</u>	<u>WAC Concentrations</u>
n-Butanol	VOA	<5.00E-01	--	0.75 mg/L
Tributylphosphate	SVOA	<1.00E+00	--	1.0 mg/L
Isopropanol	VOA	<5.00E-01	--	0.25 mg/L
Methanol	a	a	--	0.25 mg/L
Norpar 13	SVOA	<1.00E-01	--	0.1 mg/L

a. Currently, a routine method for detecting this species does not exist in AD.

None of the species considered in Tables 3-5 or 3-6 are above the WAC limit with the exception of Isopar L and isopropanol, respectively. Although the reported detection limits for these analytes are greater than the WAC limit for vault flammability, they are below the WAC limits for accident analysis as shown in Table 3-1. The higher detection limits were expected based on current AD capabilities as documented in the TTQAP.<sup>3</sup> As previously discussed, the insolubility of Isopar L and Norpar 13 makes sub-sampling difficult, therefore the reported results are not necessarily representative of the concentration of these analytes in the Tank 50 sample received by SRNL.

Table 3-7 provides results for the processing criteria for transfers into the Saltstone Facility.

**Table 3-7. Results for the 1st Quarter 2010 Tank 50 Slurry Samples for Saltstone Processing Criteria WAC Limits, Listed in Table 5 of the Saltstone WAC.**

<u>Processing Criterion</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>%RSD</u>
pH > 10	Calculated	>13	--
2.5 M < [Na <sup>+</sup> ] < 7.0 M	ICP-ES/AA	4.03 M	0.18
Total Insoluble Solids <15 wt%	Calculated	0.147 wt%	--

All of the results contained in Table 3-7 fall within the general processing criteria. The pH was calculated using the free base concentration (OH<sup>-</sup>). The value for the total insoluble solids was calculated by Engineering Process Development of SRNL from experimentally determined values for total solids and dissolved solids in the slurry supernate.<sup>10</sup>



Table 3-8 provides constituents listed in the Technical Task Request but not contained in the WAC.

**Table 3-8. Requests for Constituents for TCLP/UHC Support as well as from the TTR for Tank 50 Slurry Samples; Results Not Contained in Previous Tables.**

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Value</u> <u>(mg/L, unless stated otherwise)</u>	<u>%RSD</u>
Antimony (Sb)	ICP-ES	<9.29E+00	--
Beryllium (Be)	ICP-ES	<5.97E-02	--
Cyanide (CN)	a.	a.	--
Thallium (Tl)	ICP-MS	1.62E-01	46.0
Density (slurry)	Measured (23.5 °C)	1.1865 g/mL	0.23
Total Beta	LSC	1.00E+07 pCi/mL	1.63
Total Solids	Measured	24.08%	0.76

a. Currently, a routine method for detecting this species does not exist in AD.

The results from Table 3-8 are used in a series of calculations performed by the SRNL Engineering Process Development group to support TCLP/UHC testing by a certified laboratory.<sup>8</sup> The density of the slurry was measured at 23.5 °C. An estimate of the maximum concentration of the natural nonradioactive element Tl in the sample could only be determined by measuring the detection limits for Tl using ICP-MS. Natural Tl is composed of two isotopes, <sup>203</sup>Tl and <sup>205</sup>Tl with fraction abundances of 0.295 and 0.705, respectively. The highest measured detection limit was for <sup>205</sup>Tl. This highest detection limit for elemental Tl was then calculated by dividing the result for <sup>205</sup>Tl by its fractional abundance.

The tank corrosion species listed in Table 3-9 were requested by Waste Solidification Engineering (WSE).<sup>a</sup> Specific gravity was calculated by dividing the measured density of the slurry (given in Table 3-8 at 23.5 °C) by the density of water at the same temperature.<sup>11</sup>

**Table 3-9. Requests from the WSE for Corrosion Species from Tank 50 Slurry Samples; Results Not Contained in Previous Tables.**

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Value</u>	<u>%RSD</u>
Specific Gravity	a	1.1896	--
Ba-137m	b	9.26E+06 pCi/mL	0.96
Total Gamma	c	9.27E+06 pCi/mL	--

a. Calculated from the measured density of slurry and density of water at 23.5 °C [11].

b. Calculated from the measured concentration of Cs-137.

c. Calculated from the sum of measured gamma emitters.

The radionuclide <sup>137m</sup>Ba is the radioactive daughter of 94.6% of the beta decay of <sup>137</sup>Cs. 5.3% of the <sup>137</sup>Cs decays to stable <sup>137m</sup>Ba. The half-life the parent radionuclide, <sup>137</sup>Cs, is 5x that of the daughter, <sup>137m</sup>Ba, therefore the two radionuclides are in secular equilibrium. Radionuclides in

<sup>a</sup> Requested in an electronic mail message from S. D. Hevel on December 20, 2007. (See page 30 of WSRC-NB-2007-00189.)

secular equilibrium have the same activity associated with decay. Thus the activity of  $^{137m}\text{Ba}$  is 94.6% of the activity of the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  or  $9.26\text{E}+06$  pCi/mL. The activities calculated for total gamma and  $^{137m}\text{Ba}$  are expected to be close for this sample because the total gamma activity is dominated by  $^{137m}\text{Ba}$ , the radioactive daughter of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ . The total gamma activity was calculated by summing the measured gamma activity of the major gamma emitters:  $^{60}\text{Co}$ ,  $^{125}\text{Sb}$ ,  $^{126}\text{Sn}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  (via  $^{137m}\text{Ba}$ ),  $^{154}\text{Eu}$ , and  $^{241}\text{Am}$ .

Table 3-10 provides results for additional radionuclides not listed in the WAC but which now require quantification in order to support inventory reporting requirements.

**Table 3-10. Additional Radionuclides Requested for Inventory Reporting Requirements.**

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Average Concentration</u> <u>(pCi/mL)</u>	<u>%RSD</u>	<u>REQUESTED</u> <u>TARGET</u> <u>(pCi/mL)</u>
Potassium-40 ( $^{40}\text{K}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<2.38E+00	--	1.00E+04
Silver-108m ( $^{108m}\text{Ag}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<8.02E-01	--	1.00E+04
Barium-133 ( $^{133}\text{Ba}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<9.50E-01	--	1.00E+04
Bismuth-207 ( $^{207}\text{Bi}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<7.61E-01	--	1.00E+04
Actinium-227 ( $^{227}\text{Ac}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<1.66E+01	--	1.00E+04
Radium-228 ( $^{228}\text{Ra}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<2.05E+00	--	1.00E+04
Thorium-228 ( $^{228}\text{Th}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<2.52E+01	--	1.00E+04
Protactinium-231 ( $^{231}\text{Pa}$ )	Gamma scan (Cs removed)	<4.06E+01	--	1.00E+04
Curium-247 ( $^{247}\text{Cm}$ )	Am/Cm	<4.48E+00	--	1.43E-11
Californium-249 ( $^{249}\text{Cf}$ )	Am/Cm	<4.68E+00	--	1.33E-10
Californium-251 ( $^{251}\text{Cf}$ )	Am/Cm	<3.04E+00	--	1.00E+02

As shown in Table 3-10,  $^{247}\text{Cm}$  and  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  surpass the LWO requested targets.<sup>4</sup> However, the reported detection limits are below the detection limits established by AD.<sup>3,6</sup>

## 4.0 Conclusions

The following conclusions are drawn from the analytical results provided in this report:

- The concentrations of the reported chemical and radioactive contaminants were less than their respective WAC targets or limits unless noted in this section.
- The reported detection limit for Isopar L is greater than the limit from Table 3 of the WAC.
- The reported detection limits for  $^{59}\text{Ni}$  and  $^{94}\text{Nb}$  are above the requested limits from Reference 4. However, they are each below the limits established in Reference 6.
- The reported detection limit for isopropanol is greater than the requested limit from Table 4 of the WAC.
- The reported detection limits for  $^{247}\text{Cm}$  and  $^{249}\text{Cf}$  are above the requested limits from Reference 4. However, they are below the limits established in Reference 6.
- Isopar L and Norpar 13 have limited solubility in aqueous solutions making it difficult to obtain consistent and reliable sub-samples. The values reported in this memo are the concentrations in the sub-sample as detected by the GC/MS; however, the results may not accurately represent the concentrations of the analytes in Tank 50.

## 5.0 References

1. Ray, J. W., "Waste Acceptance Criteria for Aqueous Waste Sent to the Z-Area Saltstone Production Facility (U)," X-SD-Z-00001, Revision 9, September 2009.
2. Staub, A.V., "Saltstone Formulation, Quarterly Analyses, TCLP Preparation - Jan to Oct 2010." HLW-SSF-TTR-2010-0001, Rev. 0, January 2010.
3. Reigel, M.M., "Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan for the Tank 50 Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) Analyses", SRNL-RP-2009-01467, Revision 0, February 2010.
4. Staub, A.V., "Minimum Detection Limits for Saltstone Quarterly WAC Analyses." LWO-WSE-2009-00163, June 2009.
5. Reigel, M.M. and Bibler, N. E., "Tables Containing Results for the First Quarter 2010 Tank 50 WAC Slurry Sample: Chemical and Radionuclide Contaminant Results," SRNL-L3100-2010-00016, Revision 0, March 2010.
6. DiPrete, C. C., "Overview of Capability to Measure Radionuclides of Interest for Saltstone", SRNL-L4000-2009-00028, June 2009.
7. Zeigler, K. E., and Bibler, N. E., "Analytical Study Plan for All Tank 50 Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) Quarterly Samples," SRNL-ADD-2008-00026, Revision 0, February 2008.
8. Reigel, M. M. "Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan for SRNL Support of TCLP Preparation and Analysis for Saltstone," SRNL-RP-2010-00101, February 2010.
9. Fowler, R. C., "Tank 50 Compliance Plan for Transfers to Saltstone," X-WCP-H-00014, Rev. 7, January 2008.
10. Zeigler, K. E., Bibler, N. E., and DiPrete, D. P., "Characterization of Tank 50 Slurry for Saltstone Waste Acceptance Criteria," April 2007 Samples, WSRC-STI-2007-00554, November 2007.
11. Lide, D. R. ed., *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, March 2009, page 6-4.