

RECORDS ADMINISTRATION



AGSK

ACC# 734947

DP-MS-78-15
(Revised)

LACTOSE VARIABILITY OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI* IN
THERMALLY STRESSED REACTOR EFFLUENT WATERS

by

K. L. Kasweck

Department of Biology
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, Florida 32901

C. B. Fliermans

Savannah River Laboratory
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company
Aiken, South Carolina 29801

SRL
RECORD COPY

A paper proposed for publication in
Applied and Environmental Microbiology

This paper was prepared in connection with work under Contract No. AT(07-2)-1 with the U.S. Department of Energy. By acceptance of this paper, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U. S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper, along with the right to reproduce and to authorize others to reproduce all or part of the copyrighted paper.

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-76SR00001 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, phone: (800) 553-6847, fax: (703) 605-6900, email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

Available electronically at <http://www.doe.gov/bridge>

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062, phone: (865) 576-8401, fax: (865) 576-5728, email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

LACTOSE VARIABILITY OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI* IN
THERMALLY STRESSED REACTOR EFFLUENT WATERS*

K. L. KASWECK AND C. B. FLIERMANS

*Department of Biology, Florida Institute of Technology,
Melbourne, Florida 32901, and Savannah River Laboratory,
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Aiken, South Carolina 29801*

ABSTRACT

Lactose utilizing and nalidixic acid resistant (nal-R) populations of *Escherichia coli*, having an optimum growth temperature of 37°C, were placed in modified diffusion chambers. The chambers were submerged in the epilimnion and hypolimnion of an 1100-hectare lake (Par Pond) which receives cooling water from a nuclear production reactor. Control chambers were placed in a deep-water reservoir and a flowing streams laboratory, both of which had comparable temperatures to Par Pond. The populations of *E. coli* were sampled regularly for up to three weeks. Viability of the bacteria was determined by dilution plating to nutrient agar followed by replicate plating onto selective medium to determine lactose utilization and nalidixic acid sensitivity. Initial populations of *E. coli* were lactose positive but changed to lactose negative in Par Pond when the reactor was operating (i.e. cooling water from the heat exchangers was being discharged to the lake). This

* The information contained in this article was developed during the course of work under Contract No. AT(07-2)-1 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

alteration occurred most rapidly in the chambers closest to the cooling water discharge point. Such changes did not occur in a deep water reservoir, in Par Pond when the reactor was not operating, or in the flowing streams laboratory. The nalidixic acid resistant characteristic remained stable regardless of the chambers placement or reactor operations. Although the reasons for such alterations are unclear, it appears that lactose negative populations of *E. coli* are selected for in these reactor effluent waters. The loss of the lactose characteristic prevents the recognition and identification of *E. coli* in this cooling lake (when the reactor is operating) and may prevent the assessment of water quality based on coliform recognition.

INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli is recognized as a member of the coliform group of organisms which are used as indicators of fecal pollution (3). No other single bacterium is as well characterized from a biochemical, physiological, and genetic standpoint as *E. coli* and its occurrence and survival have been studied in different aquatic systems (2,4,12). Extensive sampling regimes and water quality assessments have been based on the visualization of a diagnostic characteristic of the coliform group, i.e., lactose utilization through the use of selective media and temperature (14). Although extensive monitoring information is based on measuring lactose utilization, practically nothing is known about the stability of the lactose expression of the coliforms

in situ and specifically for *E. coli*. Recent observations by Gorden and Fliermans (7) on the survival of *E. coli* in a thermally altered reservoir showed the spontaneous occurrence of nonlactose fermenting *E. coli*.

This paper describes the effect of reactor cooling waters on the survival of *E. coli* under *in situ* conditions (in the lake) and the fermentative utilization of lactose. The data contribute to the knowledge of *E. coli* as an indicator organism in stressed habitats.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Sites. Studies were conducted at the Savannah River Plant (SRP) near Aiken, South Carolina, which is a National Environmental Research Park operated for the Department of Energy by E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company. Experiments were conducted on Par Pond, a 1100 hectare lake, (6) in the thermally altered streams at the Flowing Streams Laboratory (9), and at Clark Hill, a 10,000 hectare man-made reservoir on the Savannah River. Ambient temperature water from Par Pond, along with 10% makeup water from the Savannah River, is used to cool a nuclear production reactor. Cooling water is discharged from the reactor's heat exchangers through 11 kilometers of canals and lakes before discharging back into Par Pond at the hot dam (Fig. 1). During reactor operations, the warm arm of Par Pond is generally 7 to 12°C warmer than ambient temperature surface waters common for other southeastern lakes.

The Flowing Streams Laboratory at SRP is a greenhouse laboratory housing six artificial streams (9). Duplicate streams receive water directly from the parent stream and reflect its temperature fluctuations. Additional streams are maintained at temperatures of 2.5°, 5.0°, 7.5°, and 12.5°C above the parent stream. The six streams are reflective of once-through cooling systems and have *in situ* temperatures similar to the temperatures observed at different locations of Par Pond.

Clark Hill is approximately 130 river kilometers upstream from SRP on the Savannah River. The reservoir, which serves as a source for hydroelectric power, receives no thermal effluent from any power plants. For these studies, Clark Hill served as a control system where the lactose characteristic could be observed in an additional deep water system.

Permanent stations were established at each of the sampling sites. Stations at Par Pond were located at different distances from the input, while stations at the Flowing Streams Laboratory were ambient temperature and 12.5°C above ambient. A single station was located in the deepest portion of Clark Hill. Temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and oxidation/reduction potentials were measured for each depth at each selected station (Table I) with a Hydrolab Surveyor Multiprobe Analyzer (Hydrolab Corp., Austin, Texas). Study sites and sampling locations were selected in order to compare results of experiments conducted during various thermal alterations .

Lactose Variation Studies. A clinical isolate of *E. coli* F⁻ (1533-68) with an optimal growth temperature of 37°C ± 1° was obtained from the National Center for Disease Control (CDC, Atlanta, Georgia). This strain had previously and repeatedly been shown by CDC and our laboratory to be lactose positive (lac +) and genetically stable for the measured diagnostic character. Over 4 × 10⁴ cfu were tested for reversion and mutation frequency of the lactose expression without observing a single revertant or mutant colony. For several of the studies, this strain which was nalidixic acid sensitive (nal-S) was modified to produce a nalidixic acid resistant (nal-R) substrain by direct selection for nal-R mutants on nutrient agar containing 200 µg/ml of nalidixic acid. Cultures were grown from a single colony in nutrient broth (Difco) at 37°C for 24 hours. Cells were aseptically washed three times in 0.01M phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.2) and concentrated by centrifugation. In order to determine initial population densities and genetic stability of lac (+) and nal-R characters, washed cells were diluted in filter-sterilized buffer (0.22 µm), plated on nutrient agar, incubated for 12 to 18 hours at 37°C, then replicated (11) to Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar (Difco) with and without 100 µg/ml nalidixic acid.

E. coli populations of a known density (ca. 4 × 10¹⁰ cfu/ml) were aseptically placed in sterile diffusion chambers (5). The chambers were then attached to stainless steel chains and lowered into the water column at each of the stations. At each station, duplicate chambers were located in the epilimnion (one meter

below the surface) and in the hypolimnion (one meter above the sediments) except at Clark Hill where equal sampling depths were maintained. Stations within Par Pond were chosen to represent the extreme conditions where genetic variation had been detected previously (7). Thermal control chambers were maintained in the artificial streams of the Flowing Streams Laboratory at ambient temperatures and at 12.5°C above ambient.

Samples were taken from duplicate chambers twice daily (approximately every 12 hours) over the study periods. During sampling, chambers were removed from the lake, agitated for mixing, and sampled aseptically with a sterile syringe. The samples were then transferred into sterile plastic tubes, and the chambers were returned to the lake. Samples were immediately returned to the laboratory, diluted in sterile phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), and plated as previously described. Colonies from replicated plates were differentiated into one of the four following classes: (1) lac (+) and nal-R, (2) lac (+) and nal-S, (3) lac (-) and nal-R, (4) lac (-) and nal-S. Throughout the study, colonies were picked at random, restreaked, and tested in duplicate with the A.P.I. 20 E System (Analytab Products, Inc., Plainview, New York) in order to confirm speciation of the wild type *E. coli*.

RESULT

Physical and chemical parameters measured at the sampling stations during both reactor conditions indicate differences

between locations, particularly when the reactor was operating (Table I). Chambers placed in the epilimnion when the reactor was operating exposed the *E. coli* populations to warm aerobic waters with a neutral pH and low conductivity. Populations in the hypolimnion were exposed to anaerobic, cooler waters having generally low oxidation/reduction potential and relatively higher conductivity. When the reactor was not operating, the chambers were exposed to waters with neutral pH and low conductivity both at Par Pond and Clark Hill. The $\Delta T = 12.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ stream at the Flowing Streams Laboratory had temperatures comparable to Station I at Par Pond when the reactor was operating.

The data in Table 2 demonstrate the changes in population density of *E. coli* during the time the bacteria were suspended in the various habitats. The data demonstrate that comparable levels of survival occurred in all the habitats over a 96-hour period regardless of reactor influences. The survival of *E. coli* was generally better in the hypolimnion than in the epilimnion. The population densities reflect measurements on duplicate chambers and have been shown in previous studies to have standard deviations within 10% of the arithmetic mean (5).

At each of the designated time intervals, the percentage of lactose utilizing *E. coli* was measured (Table 3). The data indicate that epilimnion populations all demonstrated a more rapid appearance of lac (-) cells than hypolimnion populations at the same site.

When the reactor was operating, the emergence of lac (-) cells occurred most rapidly near the discharge point of the cooling water (Station I), and dominated the population by 72 hours (Fig. 2a). When the reactor was operating, the lac (-) character appeared within 72 hours in all the chambers suspended in Par Pond regardless of their position (Fig. 2a,b,c). By 96 hours, epilimnion populations at Stations I and II were totally lac (-) as well as the hypolimnion populations at Station I (Fig 2a,b). Lac (-) populations were not observed in chambers placed in Par Pond when the reactor was not operating nor in the streams of the Flowing Streams Laboratory nor in Clark Hill.

Lac (+) and lac (-) colonies picked at random from enumeration plates were consistently identified as *E. coli* by using the API 20E System (Analytab Products, New York). The nal-R character remained stable for all the populations tested regardless of the chamber depth, station, reactor operating condition, or sampling site.

DISCUSSION

The experimental design and use of diffusion chambers provide a means for continually sampling a given microbial population subjected to *in situ* conditions over an extended period of time and the subsequent measuring of the response of those microorganisms. The simple design of the chambers affords extensive flexibility to study the responses of both axenic and mixed populations of microorganisms under *in situ* conditions.

The data indicate that an emergence of lactose negative *E. coli* occurs from a lactose positive population when the latter is placed in sterile diffusion chambers and subjected to *in situ* aquatic conditions peculiar to the effluent discharged from this nuclear production reactor. Although only 4×10^4 cfu were tested for reversion and mutation frequency, the spontaneous reversion and mutation rate for stable genes is generally 10^{-7} to 10^{-8} cfu (11). Similar frequencies are assumed for the lactose expression in this strain of *E. coli*. The observed effect is not merely a direct temperature phenomenon because *E. coli* placed in chambers subjected to similar temperatures in other natural systems did not vary in their lactose utilization characteristic. Additionally, chambers placed in deeper cooler waters during reactor operating conditions varied in their lactose characteristic but to a slower degree than those in the overlying warmer epilimnion waters. It is of interest that the data in Fig. 2 suggest that changes in the lactose expression of the *E. coli* population occur in a sequential manner with distance from the cooling water discharge location. The epilimnion populations closest to the discharge location are affected first.

Although "a cooling water factor" is suggested by the data, the studies in Par Pond with reactor operating and not operating were not conducted concurrently. Experiments were initially conducted with the reactor not operating. When the reactor had been operating for 30 days and the physical and chemical conditions appeared to stabilize in the lake, the "reactor operating" experi-

ments were begun. Thus, it is unlikely that a pulse of "a cooling water factor" moved down the lake in a step-wise fashion. Furthermore, subsequent dye releases into Par Pond at the cooling water discharge location indicate that the dye remains in the top two meters of the water column, during the 6 hour study period. Additionally, the dye studies demonstrated that the travel time to Station I was less than five minutes, and travel time to Station II was 90 minutes. No data are available for Station V. Thus, the cooling water input may be primarily a surface phenomenon and of sufficient magnitude to have affected Stations I and II by the time the chamber studies began.

Several possible reasons for the lactose variability may be put forward. Plating on nutrient agar, once the *E. coli* was removed from the chambers, might have selected for a lac (-) population since no substrate was present to induce the enzyme system for lactose utilization. However, such plating was consistently done for all sets of samples so controls and test samples would have the same selectivity. The high initial cell densities might have masked the presence of a lac (-) substrain present in the initial population. The exposure to conditions in the cooling water may select against lac (+) cells with lac (-) cells present initially in the population, either increasing, maintaining, or decreasing less slowly than lac (-) cells. Such a differential in growth would cause the lac (-) cells to become dominant.

Additionally, some factor(s) in the cooling water effluent may have forced the conversion of lac (+) cells to lac (-) cells. However, the dilution of the cells in phosphate buffered saline prior to plating should have diluted such factors; thus, a greater number of lac (+) cells would have been present in the greater dilutions. Such was not the case since colonies from all dilutions were consistent in their lactose utilizing ability. Although levels of lactose in Par Pond have not been measured, no *a priori* data suggest that the levels of lactose changed during reactor operations and affected the ecosystem.

A phage or transmissible plasmid may explain the observed phenomenon, since phage ϕ X174 has been reported to reduce β -galactosidase synthesis in *E. coli* (8). A loss of β -galactosidase would prevent the hydrolyzation of lactose and subsequent utilization. If ϕ X174 were present in the aquatic system, the pore sizes of the diffusion chambers, 0.4 μ m, would not exclude the phage. The differences observed in lactose variability between reactor operating and not operating conditions may be explained by the presence of phage, because the high temperatures that occur in the reactor subject the cooling water to high temperatures (>70°C) and appear to lyse entrained microorganisms (Fliermans, unpublished results). If these microorganisms harbor the appropriate phage particles, then their lysis may release these particles into the aquatic ecosystem. Such an hypothesis does not appear to explain the differences among chambers and stations. Additionally, no evidence

of phage of prophage induction has been observed. The *E. coli* strain used is resistant to infection by MS II phage. This resistance indicates that it does not harbor the F factor either chromosomally or as a plasmid (10). With the plasmid mobilization techniques of Skerman *et al.* (13), this strain of *E. coli* was shown to be free of detectable transmissible plasmids (S. Richardson, personal communication).

In these experiments, the appearance of lac (-) cells was always accompanied by nalidixic acid resistant cells. Because nalidixic acid resistance maps at 30 minutes and the lactose operon is located at approximately eight minutes on the *E. coli* genome (1), it appears that the observed phenomenon is localized. The absence of lac (-) cells in Par Pond when the reactor is not operating, in the Flowing Streams Laboratory, and in Clark Hill where no reactor input occurs indicates a cooling water effect of some kind.

It is not clear whether the observations are due to genotypic or phenotypic changes since lac (-) cells could repress the lactose operon at the transcriptional or translational level. Lac (-) cells may have lost all or part of their lactose operon during replication of DNA. Regardless of the type of change(s) occurring in the population or the cause, the result is the same. That is, it is not possible to easily detect and quantify *E. coli* by standard methods (14) in Par Pond when the reactor is operating. The applicability of this research is broad if the other coliform

bacteria in these systems respond like *E. coli*. The assessment of water quality based on coliform measurements in lakes such as Par Pond would result in data which would indicate that the water quality is better than it really is. The data further suggest that the use of standard coliform measurements in natural waters, without establishing the stability of the diagnostic characteristic *in situ*, may lead to erroneous results.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Bachmann, J., K. Brooks Low, and A. L. Taylor. 1976. Recalibrated linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Bacteriol. Rev.* 40:116-167.
2. Baross, J. A., F. J. Hanus and R. Y. Morita. 1975. Survival of human enteric and other sewage microorganisms under simulated deep-sea conditions. *Appl. Microbiol.* 30:309-318.
3. Faust, M. A. 1976. Coliform bacteria from diffuse sources as a factor in estuarine pollution. *Water Res.* 10:619-627.
4. Faust, M. A., A. E. Aotoky and M. T. Hargadon. 1975. Effects of physical parameters on the *in situ* survival of *Escherichia coli* MC-6 in an estuarine environment. *Appl. Microbiol.* 30:800-806.
5. Fliermans, C. B. and R. W. Gorden. 1977. Modification of membrane diffusion chambers for deep water studies. *Appl. Microbiol.* 33:207-210.
6. Fliermans, C. B., R. W. Gorden, T. C. Hazen and G. W. Esch. 1977. *Aeromonas* distribution and survival in a thermally altered lake. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 33:114-122.
7. Gorden, R. W. and C. B. Fliermans. 1978. Survival and viability of *Escherichia coli* in a thermally altered reservoir. *Water Research* 12:343-352
8. Ghosh, A. and R. K. Poddar. 1977. Reduced synthesis of β -galactosidase in *Escherichia coli* infected with phage ϕ X174. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 23:1069-1077.
9. Harvey, R. S. 1973. A flowing stream laboratory for studying the effects of water temperature on the ecology of stream organisms. *Assoc. Southeast Biological Bull.* 20:3-7.
10. Hayes, W. 1968. *Genetics of bacteria and their viruses*, 2nd ed, John Wiley and Son, New York.
11. Lederberg, J. and E. M. Lederberg. 1952. Replica plating and indirect selection of bacterial mutants. *J. Bacteriol.* 63:399-406.

12. McFeters, A. and D. G. Stuart. 1972. Survival of coliform bacteria in natural waters: field and laboratory studies with membrane filter chambers. *Appl. Microbiol.* 24:805-811.
13. Skerman, F. J., S. B. Formal, and Stanley Falkow. 1972. Plasmid-associated enterotoxin production in *Escherichia coli* isolated from humans. *Infection and Immunity* 5: 622-624.
14. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 1976. 14th ed., pp. 982-986, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.

TABLE 1. *Physical and chemical parameters measured at the stations used for lactose variability studies in diffusion chambers*

Station	Depth (m)	Temp (°C)	Eh (mv)	D.O. (ppm)	pH	Cond. ($\mu\text{mho}/\text{cm}^2$)
<i>Habitat: Par Pond, Reactor Operating</i>						
I	1	33.0	380	7.4	6.9	70
	7	25.0	450	0.4	6.6	80
II	1	30.0	330	7.2	6.9	70
	9	23.0	160	0.3	6.5	100
V	1	28.5	310	7.9	7.9	80
	15	19.0	N.D. ^a	0.2	6.7	120
<i>Habitat: Par Pond, Reactor Not Operating</i>						
I	1	30.5	290	8.4	8.0	80
	7	25.0	390	0.3	6.1	90
II	1	30.5	300	8.2	7.9	80
	9	23.5	400	0.3	6.2	110
V	1	29.0	280	8.5	8.3	70
	15	17.0	400	0.3	6.7	110
<i>Habitat: Flowing Streams Laboratory</i>						
$\Delta T = 0^\circ\text{C}$	Surface	21.9	N.D.	6.0	5.9	22
$\Delta T = 12.5^\circ\text{C}$	Surface	34.5	N.D.	7.5	5.9	21
<i>Habitat: Clark Hill - No Reactor</i>						
	1	30.5	325	5.8	8.8	370
	5	28.5	315	5.9	8.8	370
	10	21.0	340	3.8	8.8	330
	15	17.5	350	3.4	8.8	310
	20	17.0	330	2.1	8.8	325
	25	16.0	340	2.0	8.8	345
	30	15.5	350	1.6	8.8	370
	35	14.5	340	1.1	8.8	350
	40	13.5	335	0.8	8.8	350
	45	13.0	360	0.8	8.8	390
	50	13.0	350	0.8	8.8	430

a. N.D. = Not Determined.

TABLE 2. Population densities (cfu/ml) of *E. coli* from diffusion chambers placed in selected habitats for different periods of time

Station	Depth (m)	Time (hr)							
		0	12	24	36	48	72	96	120
<i>Habitat: Par Pond - Reactor Operating</i>									
I	1	4.1×10^{10a}	ND ^b	5.5×10^9	ND	7.7×10^8	3.7×10^8	5.5×10^7	ND
	7	4.1×10^{10}	ND	3.1×10^{10}	ND	1.6×10^{10}	6.9×10^9	1.1×10^9	ND
II	1	4.1×10^{10}	ND	2.1×10^{10}	ND	1.7×10^{10}	1.5×10^9	1.6×10^8	ND
	11	4.1×10^{10}	ND	1.5×10^{10}	ND	2.1×10^{10}	7.4×10^9	1.6×10^9	ND
V	1	4.1×10^{10}	ND	1.6×10^{10}	ND	1.7×10^{10}	5.5×10^9	4.9×10^9	ND
	15	4.1×10^{10}	ND	1.4×10^{10}	ND	1.6×10^{10}	4.5×10^9	9.7×10^8	ND
<i>Habitat: Par Pond - Reactor Not Operating</i>									
I	1	2.1×10^{10}	1.1×10^{10}	5.5×10^9	2.2×10^9	4.3×10^8	3.1×10^8	9.1×10^7	4.7×10^7
	7	2.1×10^{10}	8.4×10^{10}	7.1×10^{10}	4.4×10^{10}	9.1×10^9	8.1×10^9	9.3×10^8	2.6×10^8
II	1	2.1×10^{10}	1.5×10^{10}	7.2×10^9	4.1×10^9	6.5×10^8	3.7×10^8	5.7×10^7	1.9×10^7
	11	2.1×10^{10}	7.7×10^{10}	5.1×10^{10}	3.6×10^{10}	1.1×10^{10}	2.4×10^9	6.6×10^8	3.8×10^8
V	1	2.1×10^{10}	2.2×10^{10}	ND	1.9×10^9	5.9×10^8	7.6×10^7	3.1×10^7	9.4×10^6
	15	2.1×10^{10}	6.3×10^9	5.1×10^9	2.8×10^9	9.3×10^8	7.3×10^8	4.1×10^8	ND
<i>Habitat: Flowing Streams Laboratory</i>									
$\Delta T = 0^\circ C$		4.1×10^{10}	ND	5.0×10^{10}	ND	1.6×10^{10}	5.7×10^9	4.1×10^8	ND
		4.1×10^{10}	ND	2.1×10^{10}	ND	2.1×10^9	2.9×10^8	6.7×10^7	ND
<i>Habitat: Clark Hill - No Reactor</i>									
I	1	7.2×10^{10}	1.1×10^{10}	1.2×10^9	7.1×10^8	4.7×10^8	7.7×10^7	4.8×10^7	ND
	15	7.2×10^{10}	5.3×10^{10}	4.5×10^{10}	3.1×10^{10}	1.7×10^{10}	1.6×10^9	2.6×10^8	ND

a. All population estimates are means of duplicate chambers.

b. ND = Not determined.

TABLE 3. Percentage of *E. coli* that remained lactose positive [Lac (+)] when placed in selected habitats for different periods of time

Station	Depth (m)	Time (hr)						
		0	12	24	48	72	96	120
<i>Habitat: Par Pond - Reactor Operating</i>								
I	1	100 (123) ^a	100 (269)	100 (180)	82 (116)	26 (156)	0 (211)	ND ^b
	7	100 (123)	100 (296)	100 (121)	100 (317)	46 (242)	0 (188)	ND
II	1	100 (123)	100 (318)	100 (77)	96 (171)	72 (256)	0 (322)	ND
	11	100 (123)	100 (315)	100 (126)	100 (140)	82 (202)	52 (188)	ND
V	1	100 (123)	100 (297)	100 (318)	100 (172)	89 (157)	62 (227)	ND
	15	100 (123)	100 (145)	100 (271)	100 (184)	95 (250)	89 (100)	ND
<i>Habitat: Par Pond - Reactor Not Operating</i>								
I	1	100 (160)	100 (242)	100 (319)	100 (411)	100 (302)	100 (187)	100 (112)
	7	100 (160)	100 (117)	100 (159)	100 (214)	100 (181)	100 (231)	100 (269)
II	1	100 (160)	100 (221)	100 (171)	100 (191)	100 (91)	100 (114)	100 (281)
	11	100 (160)	100 (159)	100 (110)	100 (212)	100 (56)	100 (120)	100 (240)
V	1	100 (160)	100 (211)	ND	100 (118)	100 (191)	100 (300)	100 (171)
	15	100 (160)	100 (141)	100 (110)	100 (212)	100 (138)	100 (219)	ND
<i>Habitat: Flowing Streams Laboratory</i>								
$\Delta T = 0^\circ C$		100 (140)	ND	ND	ND	ND	100 (124)	ND
$\Delta T = 12.5^\circ C$		100 (140)	ND	ND	ND	ND	100 (54)	ND
<i>Habitat: Clark Hill - No Reactor</i>								
I	1	100 (144)	100 (333)	100 (247)	100 (161)	100 (176)	100 (166)	ND
	15	100 (144)	100 (253)	100 (317)	100 (258)	100 (153)	100 (218)	ND

a. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of colonies tested for lactose utilization.

b. ND = Not determined.




Fig. 1. Par Pond system showing the ambient and thermal (shaded) temperature regions. Sampling stations are numbered.




Fig. 2. Lactose changes of *E. coli* in Par Pond at Station I(a) Station II(b), and Station V(c) when the reactor is operating. The numbers in parentheses represent the number of colonies replicated to EMB plates.