This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-96SR18500 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied: 1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or 2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or 3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service. Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Application of Polyurethane Foam for Impact Absorption and Thermal Insulation for Radioactive Materials Packagings.

A. C. Smith

Savannah River National Laboratory Westinghouse Savannah River Company Aiken, South Carolina 29808 (803) 725 2943, allen.smith@srs.gov

G. A. Abramczyk
Savannah River National Laboratory
Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Aiken, South Carolina 29808
(803) 725 2996, glenn.abramczyk@srs.gov

J. S. Bellamy Savannah River National Laboratory Westinghouse Savannah River Company Aiken, South Carolina 29808 (803) 725 1083, steve.bellamy@srs.gov P. S. Blanton Savannah River National Laboratory Westinghouse Savannah River Company Aiken, South Carolina 29808 (803) 725 3738, paul.blanton@srs.gov

W. L. Daugherty Savannah River National Laboratory Westinghouse Savannah River Company Aiken, South Carolina 29808 (803) 725 2849, william.daugherty@srs.gov

S. L. Williamson
General Plastics Manufacturing Co.
Tacoma, WA 98409
(253) 473-5000,
Sharon_williamson@generalplastics.com

Abstract

Polyurethane foam has been widely used as an impact absorbing and thermal insulating material for large radioactive materials packages, since the 1980's. With the adoption of the regulatory crush test requirement, for smaller packages, polyurethane foam has been adopted as a replacement for cane fiberboard, because of its ability to withstand the crush test. Polyurethane foam is an engineered material whose composition is much more closely controlled than that of cane fiberboard. In addition, the properties of the foam can be controlled by controlling the density of the foam. The conditions under which the foam is formed, whether confined or unconfined have an affect on foam properties. The study reported here reviewed the application of polyurethane foam in RAM packagings and compared property values reported in the literature with published property values and test results for foam specimens taken from a prototype 9977 packaging. The study confirmed that, polyurethane foam behaves in a predictable and consistent manner and fully satisfies the functional requirements for impact absorption and thermal insulation.

Introduction

Polyurethane foam has been used as the overpack impact absorbing and thermal insulating material for over twenty years. The polyurethane is typically foamed in place. That is, it is injected as a two-component liquid and reacts, rising and hardening to form a rigid foam structure. Alternatively, foam components can be produced separately and assembled into the package overpack. Applications have included both Type A and Type B packages of all sizes. Among the packages which employ polyurethane foam are:

TRUPACT I
TRUPACT II
T-3
Patriot (fresh fuel package)
BUSS Cask
AT-400
RH-TRU 72
MH-1A
HIFR

The packages listed vary in size from very large packages, such as the TRUPACTs to small, drum-size packages. Most are Type B packages, but the Patriot is a Type A fresh fuel package.

Review of Published Data

A review of Safety Analysis Reports for Packagings and other literature yielded data on the properties reported for past applications of polyurethane foam. The crush stress and thermal conductivity are the principal properties of importance for radioactive materials packaging overpack performance.

Crush Strength

In order to evaluate the consistency of urethane foam properties from batch to batch, the published values from the various references can be compared with the properties tabulated by General Plastics for the various densities of Last-A-Foam FR-3700. Where possible, values for the density of foam employed in the 9977 were considered. However, the degree of consistency between the General Plastics data and that from other sources is indicative of the ability to obtain consistent, predictable properties, even though it is for other densities.

When foam materials are crushed, the initial response is elastic, with crushing beginning typically at about 10% strain, and the stress remaining nearly constant up to over 50%. Above 50% to 60% strain, the slope of the stress-strain curve increases rapidly. For purposes of comparison of the information from the various sources, the stress for 10% and 20% strain is tabulated below.

Table 1 shows that, for given density, crush properties are highly consistent for materials from a wide range of sources and are generally consistent with current published information.

For the recent 9977 General Purpose Fissile Package development, General Plastics prepared specimens from material taken from the drum sidewall and from the bottom of a prototype package, Figures 1 and 2. The structural specimens were nominally 2 in. square and 1 in. thick and enabled testing for both parallel-to-rise and perpendicular-to-rise orientations. Tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D1621-94.

Table 1. Comparison of Published Data with Last-A-Foam Reference Data

	_	10% Strain,		20% Strain		
Application	Density lbm/ft3	Package Foam Crush Stress, psi	General Plastics Last -A- Foam Stress, psi	Package Foam Crush Stress, psi	General Plastics Last -A- Foam Stress, psi	
9977	16		776 (766 perp)		802	
Sandia CRETE	16.23	767	776	767	802	
Sandia CRETE	29	2320	2249			
Seo, et al	29	2030	2249	2300	2469	
TRUPACT- II	8.25	235	228 (for 8 lbm/ft3)	235	221	
Sandia BUSS	18	1000	958	1250	1002	
AT-400	30	2500	2390			
RH-TRU 72-B	11.5	376	430	376	430	
MH-1A ('87 last-a- foam data)	4	88	96			
MH-1A ('87 data)	15	700	691	750	710	
HIFR ('87)	17	960	865	1000	900	

Table 2. Summary and Comparison of Crush Test Results for SN-6

Specimen Sample Location	Test orientation relative to foam rise	In-situ sample			Batch Sample "free rise"		
		Density	Stress at 10% strain,	Nominal stress at 10% strain*	Density	Stress at 10% strain	Nominal stress at 10% strain**
		(lbm/ft ³)	(psi)	(psi)	(lbm/ft ³)	(psi)	(psi)
Sidewall	Parallel	16.73	726.4	841	15.46	651.3	730
Sidewall	Perpendicular	17.83	816.8	952	16.29	692.5	795
Bottom	Parallel	16.8	732.8	847	16.27	706.8	800

^{*} Nominal stress corresponds to interpolated data from GP handbook at measured density of SN-6 sample.

^{**} Nominal stress corresponds to interpolated data from GP handbook at measured density of batch sample.



Figure 1. A 9977 package was sectioned to obtain in-situ specimens for material property tests.



Figure 2. Thermal conductivity specimens were taken from the section of the side wall shown in Figure 1.

Thermal Conductivity

Polyurethane foam is an excellent thermal insulator. This characteristic is beneficial for minimizing the thermal challenge for containment systems under fire conditions. For packages whose contents generate significant heat, the package must permit dissipation of the internal heat generated to the environment. A higher thermal conductivity is important for this purpose. The foam specified must have a high enough thermal conductivity to maintain acceptable interior temperatures, but still provide adequate thermal protection during a fire.

The General Plastics data shows a linear relationship between density and thermal conductivity. This dependence on density is supported by the data from other sources. Thermal conductivity values reported in several sources were compared with the published GP Last-A-Foam property data.

Table 3. Comparison of Published Thermal Conductivity Data with Last-A-Foam Reference Data

Application	Density lbm/ft3	k, Btu/hr ft F, @ ca.	k, General Plastics
		75F	Last-A-Foam
			(2007)
TRUPACT II	8.25	0.0193	0.0217
(1989)			
72-B (2001)	11.5	0.0188	0.025
MH-1A ('87)	15	0.0194	0.0273
Piping Tech. &	16	0.022	0.0281
Prods data			
9977*	18.48	0.02844	0.0283

^{*}Side wall perpendicular to rise.

The table shows that there is variation in the reported values of thermal conductivity from source to source and batch to batch. For example, the material employed in the TRUPACT-II is General Plastics Last-A-Foam, but the reported value of thermal conductivity differs from the General Plastics published data by 11%. Variations in material composition among manufacturers will result in differences in thermal conductivity for material from the different sources. The changes associated with elimination of Freon as the blowing agent (i.e., the bubble producing agent) may account for some of the difference between older applications and present data. As the data in Table 2 shows, the installed density is typically greater than the free-rise density, for a given installation. Since thermal conductivity is directly related to density, the thermal conductivity of the foam installed in the package will be greater that that of the free-rise sample by a corresponding amount.

It is recognized that the thermal conductivities for polyurethane foam of the densities considered here are quite low, so that all are very good thermal insulators. Studies of the effects of thermal properties on thermal response of packages have shown that differences in thermal conductivity on the order of those shown here have little effect on the performance of the package in a fire event, References 12 and 13. Accordingly, the thermal response of the packages will not be greatly affected by the variations from batch to batch or for differences in parallel-to-rise or perpendicular-to-rise values.

Conclusions

Polyurethane foams can be produced in a wide range of densities. The properties of the foam are largely dependent on the density, so that control of the density permits control of structural and thermal properties.

For structural properties, the material is well characterized, with consistent and predictable properties. As a result, for a given density, the properties from differing lots are closely comparable. For the structural properties, this is observed over a range of materials from various sources.

For thermal conductivity, material behaves consistently, with thermal conductivity varying with density. However, samples from different sources exhibit much greater variability than for the structural properties. Accordingly, thermal conductivity measurements for the "as installed" material are recommended for new package designs.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-96SR18500 with the U. S. Department of Energy. DISCLAIMER This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

References

- 1. "General Plastics Last -A-Foam FR-3700 for Crash & Fire Protection of Nuclear Material Shipping Containers", General Plastics Manufacturing Company, Tacoma, WA, October 2002.
- 2. Goods, S. H., Neuschwanger, C. L., Henderson, C., and Skala, D. M., "Mechanical Properties and Energy Absorption Characteristics of a Polyurethane Foam", Sandia Report SAND97-8490, March 1997.
- 3. Wellman, G. W., "Transportation System Impact Limiter Design Using Rigid Polyurethane Foam", Sandia Report SAND84-2271, June 1985.
- 4. "TRUPACT-II Safety Analysis Report, Rev. 0", NuPac (Areva), 1989
- 5. Seo, K. S., Cho, C. H., and Hong, S. I., "Evaluation of the Shock Absorption Properties of Rigid Polyurethane and Polystyrene Foam Used in a Small Transport Package", International Journal of Radioactive Materials Transport, Vol 16, No. 2, pp 145-150, 2005.
- 6. Mourao, R. P. and Neto, M. M., "The Use of Castor Oil Polyurthane Foam in Impact Limiters for Radioactive Materials Packages", International Journal of Radioactive Materials Transport, Vol 14, No. 1, pp 49-61, 2003.

- 7. "Safety Analysis Report for the Remote-Handled Transuranic 72-B Waste Shipping Package", Nuclear Packaging, Inc., and Packaging Technology, Inc., August 2001.
- 8. "Safety Analysis Report for the MH-1A Cask Packaging", Westinghouse Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, 1987.
- 9. "Safety Analysis Report for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory HIFR Cask", General Nuclear Systems, Inc., 1987.
- 10. Gibson, L. J. and Ashby, M. F., "Cellular Solids Structure and Properties", Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997
- 11. Couture, S. and Hafner, R., "Celotex Replacement Study", Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCRL-ID-151168, October 2002.
- 12. Smith, A. C., "Effect of Thermal Properties on Thermal Response of Packages", International Journal of Radioactive Materials Transport, V 10, N 4, 1999.
- 13. Smith, A. C., "Post Fire Transient Temperature Distribution in a Drum Type Package with Heat Generation", Proceedings of the 2006 ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference, ASME PVP2006/ICPVT11-93040, July 2006.