

SRNS-TR-2009-00219 Rev.0 Page 1 of 6 June 2009

THE EFFECT OF INCREASING TEMPERATURE ON keff FOR FISSILE MATERIAL OUTSIDE REACTORS

S. Kessler Criticality Safety Engineering

Samer D. Kahook, Technical Reviewer Criticality Safety Engineering

David G. Erickson, Manager Criticality Safety Engineering

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared by Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC (SRNS), subject to the warranty and other obligations of that contract with the United States Department of Energy (DOE).

Release to and Use by Third Parties.

As it pertains to releases of this document to third parties, and the use of or reference to this document by such third parties in whole or in part, neither SRNS, DOE, nor their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants or personal services contractors

- I. make any warranty, expressed or implied,
- II. assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed herein, or
- III. represent that use of the same will not infringe privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trademark, name, manufacture or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply endorsement, recommendation, or favoring of the same by SRNS, DOE, or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants or personal services contractors. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES & FIGURES

LIST OF ACRONYMS & SYMBOLS

THE EFFECT OF INCREASING TEMPERATURE ON KEFF FOR FISSILE MATERIAL OUTSIDE REACTORS

PURPOSE

Nuclear Criticality Safety Evaluations typically employ room temperature cross sections, material densities, and dimensions. Processes that have been and are in development for conversion of legacy wastes in tanks, e.g., Waste Treatment Project (WTP) at the Hanford Remediation Site and Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) at the Savannah River Site, utilize melters that operate at elevated temperatures, 1500 to 1900 ^ºC. The applicability of room temperature data to processes such as these has been questioned. Also questioned was the applicability of room temperature data for the analyses across the Savannah River Site (SRS) where the temperature may be elevated, such as in a postulated fire. This analysis was performed to examine the effect of temperature over the relatively small range encountered in normal and abnormal operations at SRS that does not include DWPF melters.

SCOPE

 $\overline{}$

This analysis documented herein is limited to fast systems of fissile metal and oxide cylinders on concrete at temperatures no greater than 640 ^ºC, the melting point of plutonium. Because thermal expansion data for various types of structural materials was not readily available, structural materials were not included in the analysis.

METHODOLOGY

Both MCNP and SCALE were used for this analysis. The models consisted of fissile metal and oxide cylinders, with an $H/D=1$, on concrete. Masses used in the models were 4.4 Kg of plutonium metal, 5 Kg of plutonium oxide, 8 Kg of uranium metal, and 10 Kg of uranium oxide. Cross section libraries available with the MCNP code include a limited number of cross sections that have been corrected for elevated temperatures. Furthermore, these MCNP supplied cross sections are limited to a selected number of fissile isotopes.¹ Unlike MCNP, SCALE corrects the cross sections based on the temperature of the medium as input by the user. In developing the calculational models utilized herein, the following was employed:

- *Non fissile elements were modeled at room temperature (293 K). This includes all nuclides employed to define the air and concrete regions, as well as the oxygen in the oxide systems.*
- \checkmark Only the cross sections of ²³⁵*U* and ²³⁹*Pu* were employed at higher *temperatures. The examined cross sections (MCNP cross sections) and their corresponding temperatures are listed in Table 1 for ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu. Note further that the temperatures of Table 1 were also investigated with SCALE for consistency.*
- \checkmark The fissile material was assumed to be pure, i.e. pure ^{235}U and ^{239}Pu . *Inclusion of fissionable materials or other contaminants will reduce keff with temperature so modeling the fissile material as pure is conservative.*
- *The cylinder is modeled with H/D=1 in order to minimize leakage, and hence enhance the system's calculated keff. Furthermore, by allowing H/D=1, any potential reactivity changes would be enhanced as well.*

¹ Temperature dependent cross sections can be generated with NJOY.

- *Volumetric expansion of the fissile system (metal and oxide) as a consequence of higher temperatures was modeled. The mass was conserved by appropriately varying the density. The density, was modeled as follows:*
	- *The theoretical density of the oxide systems was utilized herein in order to maximize any reactivity changes that may occur due to temperature variations.*
	- *Allotropic phases of Pu were included in these studies.*
- *Thermal expansion data for plutonium metal and oxide were obtained from the Plutonium Handbook, A Guide to the Technology, Volumes I and II, edited by O. J. Wick.*
- *Thermal expansion data for uranium metal were obtained from A/CONF.15/P/49, High Temperature Properties of Uranium and Its Alloys, and thermal expansion data for uranium oxide were obtained from ORNL/TM-2000/351, Thermophysical Properties of MOX and UO2 Fuels Including the Effects of Irradiation.*

Results of these parametric studies are presented in Tables 2 and 3 and 4. Table 2 lists the calculated k_{eff} from both MCNP and SCALE for uranium metal and oxide, and Table 3 for plutonium oxide. Table 4 shows the calculated k_{eff} from both MCNP and SCALE for plutonium metal including the effect of the phase changes.

CONCLUSION

For these simple fast systems containing pure 235 U and 239 Pu, there appear to be slight increases in k_{eff} at various temperature steps, primarily for plutonium metal and oxide these changes are within the analysis uncertainty. The effect of temperature on other fissile and fissionable materials was not examined in this study. With the exception of plutonium oxide, the largest calculated k_{eff} is obtained using room temperature cross sections, densities, and dimensions. Although both MCNP and SCALE calculate slightly higher k_{eff} values at intermediate temperatures, the overall effect is a slight decrease over the entire temperature range.

Temperature $\vec(C)$	Cross Section		
	Uranium	Plutonium	
25	92235.60c	94239.60c	
117	92235.12c	94239.12c	
200	92235.13c	94239.13c	
300	92235.14c	94239.14c	
475	92235.15c	94239.15c	
637	92235.16c	94239.16c	

Table 1: MCNP Cross Sections

Contract No:

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished under Contract No. DE-AC09-08SR22470 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Disclaimer:

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U. S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied: 1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or 2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or 3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service. Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Table 2: Calculated keff for Uranium

Table 3: Calculated keff for Plutonium Oxide

[emperature (C)	Density $(g/cm3)$	$MCNP = σ$	$SCALE \pm \sigma$
25	11.460	0.578 ± 0.001	0.577 ± 0.001
17	11.454	0.574 ± 0.001	0.580 ± 0.002
200	11.449	0.578 ± 0.001	0.577 ± 0.001
300	11.443	0.579 ± 0.001	0.577 ± 0.001
475	11.432	0.577 ± 0.001	0.571 ± 0.001
637	11.422	0.577 ± 0.001	0.576 ± 0.001

Table 4: Calculated keff for Plutonium Metal

Temperature $\vec(C)$	Density (g/cm^3)	Phase	$MCNP \pm \sigma$	$SCALE \pm \sigma$
25	19.810	α	0.789 ± 0.001	0.786 ± 0.002
117	19.735		0.788 ± 0.001	0.784 ± 0.002
	17.740	β	0.741 ± 0.001	0.736 ± 0.002
200	17.695		0.739 ± 0.001	0.736 ± 0.002
	17.155		0.726 ± 0.001	0.720 ± 0.003
300	17.112	γ	0.724 ± 0.001	0.719 ± 0.002
	15.918	δ	0.694 ± 0.001	0.693 ± 0.002
452	15.933		0.695 ± 0.001	0.689 ± 0.002
	15.990	δ [']	0.697 ± 0.001	0.696 ± 0.002
475	16.007		0.698 ± 0.001	0.696 ± 0.002
	16.406	ε	0.706 ± 0.001	0.700 ± 0.002
637	16.337		0.706 ± 0.001	0.702 ± 0.002