VERIFICATION OF THE DEFENSE WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY'S (DWPF) PROCESS DIGESTION METHODS FOR THE SLUDGE BATCH 8 QUALIFICATION SAMPLE

D. R. Click T. B. Edwards B. J. Wiedenman L.W. Brown

March 18, 2013

Analytical Development Savannah River National Laboratory Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under Contract Number DE-AC09-08SR22470



DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed;

2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or

3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service. Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America

Prepared For U.S. Department of Energy

Key Words: method development, analytical process, SB8, waste compliance

Retention: Permanent

VERIFICATION OF THE DEFENSE WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY'S (DWPF) PROCESS DIGESTION METHODS FOR THE SLUDGE BATCH 8 QUALIFICATION SAMPLE

D. R. Click T. B. Edwards B. J. Wiedenman L.W. Brown

March 18, 2013

Analytical Development Savannah River National Laboratory Aiken, SC 29808

SRNL SAVANNAH RIVER NATIONAL LABORATORY

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under Contract Number DE-AC09-08SR22470

REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

AUTHORS:

D.R. Click, Author, Analytical Development, SRNL	Date
T.B. Edwards, Co-Author, Applied Computational Engineering and Statistics, SRNL	Date
B.J. Wiedenman, Co-Author, Analytical Development, SRNL	Date
L.W. Brown, Co-Author, Analytical Development, SRNL	Date
TECHNICAL REVIEWER:	
C. J. Coleman, Technical Reviewer, Analytical Development, SRNL	Date
APPROVERS:	
C.C. Herman, Manager, Process Technology Programs, SRNL	Date
C. M. Gregory, Manager, Analytcial R&D Programs, SRNL	Date
E. J. Freed, Manager, WS Engineering, SRR	Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF AND FIGURES AND TABLES	VIII
LIST OF ACRONYMS	X
1.0 SUMMARY	12
2.0 EXPERIMENTAL	16
3.0 INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS	
4.0 CONCLUSIONS	
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.0 REFERENCES	
7.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
8.0 APPENDIX A. SUPPORTING INFORMATION	

LIST OF AND FIGURES AND TABLES

Table 3-1. Elemental concentrations of SB8 SRAT Receipt radioactive sludge slurry obtained from ICP-AES	
Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis	10
Table 3-2 Statistical comparison of Aqua Regia Sodium Perovide/Hydroxide Fusion and DWPE Cold Chem	19
method digestions of SBS SRAT Receipt sludge. Digestions not having the same letter are statistically	
different at the 5% significance level	20
Table 3-3 SRAT Receipt Hg digestion results for the AR and DWPE Hg digestion method presented on a	20
weight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis	20
Table 3.4. Elemental concentrations of SBS SDAT Droduct radioactive sludge slurry obtained from ICD AES.	20
analysis of Aqua Regia DWPE Cold Chem method and Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion digestions	
Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total dried solids basis	22
Table 2.5. Statistical comparison of A que Paris. Sodium Derovide/Hudrovide Eusion and DWDE Cold Chem	<i></i>
method digestions of SBS SPAT Product sludge. Digestions not having the same font are statistically	
different at the 5% significance level	23
Table 2.6 SP AT Droduct Hg digastion results for the AD and DWDE Hg digastion method presented on a	23
voight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis	23
Table 2.9. Elemental concentrations of APC standard from ICD AES analysis of Acus Pagia Sodium	23
Table 5-6. Elemental concentrations of AKO standard from ICI - AES analysis of Aqua Kegia, Sourdin	
SPAT Beagint Semple. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt0/) total solids basis	26
Table 2.0. Elemental concentrations of ADC standard from ICD AES analysis of Asua Dagia, Sadium	20
Table 5-9. Elemental concentrations of AKO standard from recta analysis of Aqua Regia, Sodium	
Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DwPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently with SB8	27
SRAT Product sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (wt%) total solids basis.	27
Table 3-10. Elemental concentrations of ARG standard from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia, Sodium	
Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DWPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently with SB8	•
SRAT Product sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total solids basis.	28

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AD	Analytical Development
AR	Aqua Regia
ARG	Analytical Reference Glass
CC	Cold Chem
CPC	Chemical Process Cell
DI	De-Ionized
DWPF	Defense Waste Processing Facility
ICP-AES	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Atomic Emission Spectroscopy
LWO	Liquid Waste Operations
PF	Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion
SB	Sludge Batch
SME	Slurry Mix Evaporator
SRAT	Sludge Receipt and Adjustment Tank
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
WAPS	Waste Acceptance Product Specification
C-XRD	Contained - X-Ray Diffraction

1.0 SUMMARY

For each sludge batch that is processed in the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF), the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) performs confirmation of the applicability of the digestion methods used by the DWPF lab for elemental analysis of Sludge Receipt and Adjustment Tank (SRAT) receipt samples and SRAT product process control samples.^{1,2} DWPF SRAT samples are typically dissolved using a room temperature HF-HNO₃ acid dissolution (i.e., DWPF Cold Chem Method (CC), see DWPF Procedure SW4-15.201) and 17 elements are analyzed by inductively coupled plasma – atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). Additional sludge is digested using an oxidizing mixture of acids (according to SW4-15.204 based on a test method from the Environmental Protection Agency's SW-846 publication) and the resulting solution is analyzed for mercury by cold vapor atomic absorption. In recent years, the DWPF has implemented the use of the Sodium Peroxide/Sodium Hydroxide Fusion Dissolution (PF) method on material from either the SRAT Product or SRAT Receipt as necessary to dissolve elements contained in species that are sparingly soluble in acid (i.e., boehmite) or slow to dissolve. The PF has been used in addition to the CC method for at least the first ten SRAT Product batches of SB4, SB5, and SB6.

This report contains the results and comparison of data generated from ICP-AES analysis of AR, PF and CC method digestions and Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption analysis of Hg digestions from the DWPF Hg digestion method of Sludge Batch 8 (SB8) SRAT Receipt and SB8 SRAT Product samples. The SB8 SRAT Receipt and SB8 SRAT Product samples were prepared in the SRNL Shielded Cells, and the SRAT Receipt material is representative of the sludge that constitutes the SB8 Batch or qualification composition. This is the sludge in Tank 51 that is to be transferred into Tank 40, which will contain the heel of Sludge Batch 7b (SB7b), to form the SB8 Blend composition.

Observations and results from digesting SRAT Receipt material using the DWPF process digestion method (i.e, CC method) and Hg digestion method include the following:

- White solids remained in the solutions generated from the AR and CC digestions after all acid addition steps and dilutions were performed. The solids from the CC soutions were recovered by filtration and analyzed by contained x-ray diffraction (C-XRD). Calcium aluminum fluoride (CaAlF₆), sodium iron fluoride (NaFeF₃) and chiolite (Na₅Al₃F₁₄) were identified in the solids recovered from both the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product CC digestion method solutions.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Al concentration is observed in the mean of PF digestions when compared to the AR and CC method means, the PF mean is ~3% larger than the CC mean and the CC mean is ~9% larger than the AR mean.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Fe concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the CC mean is ~3.5% larger than the AR mean and 2% larger PF mean.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Mn concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the CC mean is ~9.5% larger than the AR mean and 3% larger than the PF mean..
- The mean Ni concentration is ~7% and ~4% higher from the CC digestion relative to the PF and AR digestions, respectively.
- Thorium must be determined from the PF digestion, thorium has preceiptated from solution as calcium thorium fluoride ($Ca_{0.5}Th_{0.5}F_3$) in previous process digestion verification campaigns.

- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) smaller U concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the PF mean is ~9% larger than the CC mean and the AR mean is ~13% larger.
- A statistical difference in the means was also noted for the minor elements (<0.2 wt%) Cr, Cu, K, Li, Mg and Ti (see Table 3-2).
- The average Hg result from the AR digestions is ~21% larger than the average measured concentration in solutions obtained using the DWPF Hg digestion method.

Observations and results from digesting SRAT Product material using the DWPF process digestion method (i.e, CC method) and Hg digestion method include the following:

- White solids remained in the solutions generated from the AR and CC digestions after all acid addition steps and dilutions were performed.
- Thorium must be determined from the PF digestion, thorium has preceiptated from solution as calcium thorium fluoride (Ca_{0.5}Th_{0.5}F₃) in previous process digestion verification campaigns.
- A statistical difference in the means was noted for the minor elements Cr, Cu, K, Li, Mg and Ti (see Table 3-5).
- The average Hg result from the AR digestions is ~11% larger than the average measured concentration in solutions obtained using the DWPF Hg digestion method.

The previous DWPF process digestion method verification report issued by SRNL for SB7b (SRNL-STI-2011-00475) recommended Al, Fe, Mn, Ni and Th concentrations be determined by ICP-ES analysis of PF digestion preps in addition to the CC preps and monitored over time. Observations in that report highlighted statistically significant differences between the means of the elements from individual preparation methods, and in some cases large relative differences between the means. Visual observations indicated that some components were not dissolving in an expeditious manner.

In regards to the DWPG Hg digestion method, past documented studies indicate the DWPG Hg digestion method is adequate for dissoving Hg from sludge slurry³, the reason for the difference seen in the present study is unclear and may warrant further study.

Based on the comparison of analytical data contained in this report for SB8, the previous report, and not knowing the exact "blend" composition, SRNL recommends the following:

- Al and Th concentrations must be determined solely by ICP-AES analysis of PF preparations. Fe, Mn and Ni can be determined from either the CC or PF method preparations but monitored closely and the highest measured concentration between the two digestions should be used.
- DWPF should investigate if comparisons between the elemental concentrations of the Slurry Mix Evaporator (SME) product (adjusted for frit addition) obtained by the mixed acid and peroxide fusion digestion and the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product elemental concentrations obtained *via* the DWPF CC method provide insight into the adequacy of the CC method for analysis of the SRAT Product. If a consistent difference in elemental concentrations is revealed, another type of digestion (i.e. sodium peroxide/hydroxide fusion) should be used to determine the concentration of the element in question. Particular emphasis should be placed on monitoring Al, Fe, Ni, Mn and Th concentrations in SB8.
- The mean Hg result from AA analysis of samples prepared by the DWPF Hg digestion method was ~21% lower than AR digestions for SRAT Receipt material and ~11% lower for SRAT Product material. An additional dissolution study should be performed on the SB8 Waste Acceptance Product Specification (WAPS) sample by SRNL which consists of the final

composition of the sludge (the SB8 Blend) to determine if and how this difference will manifest itself in processing.

- Significant foaming can occur during performance of the DWPF Hg digestion method when concentrated sulfuric acid is added to the sludge slurry resulting in loss of sample or analyte. The DWPF should specify in operating procedure SW4-15.201, that sulfuric acid must be added slowly and drop-wise.
- The DWPF Hg digestion method is based upon SW846, a method used for samples containing a significant amount of organic material. Since the DWPF does not have a significant amount of organic material in the feed, the DPWF should consider using a 6 M HCl/concentrated HNO₃ acid digestion mixture in the future for Hg analysis. The chloride concentration would be nearly equivalent to the 10 weight percent hydroxylamine, 12 weight percent sodium chloride solution used in the DWPF digestion scheme if scaled to a 1 g sludge slurry sample size. As an alternative, a concentrated nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide digestion could be performed (see SRNS-STI-2009-00315) and has been shown to give equivalent results.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL

The radioactive sludge slurry used in this study for verification of the DWPF CC method is from the three liter qualification sample of Tank 51 sludge slurry received at SRNL on September 20, 2012 (HTF-51-12-80). The sample was washed to a projected target composition provided by the Tank Farm.⁴ The washed slurry was then used in DWPF Chemical Process Cell (CPC) simulations with the resulting SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product samples being used for the digestion evaluations.

DWPF Cold Chem Process Digestion Method Verification

The sludge samples were dissolved in quadruplicate in the SRNL Shielded Cells facility in a manner similar to the DWPF CC method, and by PF and AR digestion. The CC method digestion (see DWPF Procedure SW4-15.201) involved adding 25 mL of concentrated HF to radioactive sludge slurry (3.5 – 4.0 g for the SRAT Receipt at 22.7 wt% total solids and 3.5 – 4.0 g for the SRAT Product at 31.5 wt% total solids) and stirring for 1 hr. Then, 25 mL of concentrated HNO₃ was added and the mixture was stirred for an additional 30 minutes. Undissolved/precipitated white solids remained in each digestion bottle after all the acid addition was complete. Each sample was then diluted with de-ionized (DI) water to 250 mL in a pre-weighed volumetric flask. The density of the solution was obtained from the weight of the 250 mL of solution. Approximately 15 g of solution was taken from the 250 mL volumetric flask and added to a 100 mL volumetric flask and subsequently diluted with DI water.

For detailed steps of the PF digestion, see ADS procedure 2502.⁵ For detailed steps of the AR digestion, see ADS procedure 2226.⁶

Two replicate dissolutions of the analytical reference glass (ARG) standard were performed concurrently with each set of digestions for quality control purposes. The ARG results are then evaluated by comparing the measured results against a two sigma variation of the standard deviation associated with measured concentrations obtained from a round-robin consensus study. For the SRAT Receipt material, Ti and Zr were low and flagged as being outside of the 2 sigma limits for the AR digestions. Silicon was not measured from the AR due to low silica solubility in a mixture of concentrated HCl and HNO₃. Ca was flagged outside the 2 sigma limits (slightly high) for the PF digestions, Ca is a contaminant in the fusion reagents (as can be seen from the blank data). For the Cold Chem method, Aluminum (Al) and Ca were flagged outside the 2 sigma limits.

For the SRAT product material, Zr was flagged outside of the 2 sigma limits for the AR digestions as well as Ti. No elements were flagged outside of the 2 sigma limits for the CC digestions. Ca was flagged as being outside of the 2 sigma limits for the PF digestions. Additional quality control measures included ICP-AES analyses of a multi-element standard as a check for ICP-AES accuracy independent of digestions. Elements in this standard included Al, Fe, Mn, Ni, Na and S. All measured values were within 10% of the known concentrations for these elements in the standard analyzed concurrently with the CC, PF and AR digestions.

The residual solids from each type of sample (SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product) were recovered by filtration and analyzed by Contained X-Ray Diffraction (C-XRD).

Hg Method Validation

For each sample, approximately 10 grams of sludge slurry was digested by the method outlined in SW4-15.204 (without the third dilution). Serial dilutions were used to produce an effective volume of

approximately 50,000 mL. An additional sample was spiked with a NIST Traceable 1,000 mg/L Hg standard at approximately 10X the actual Hg concentration contained in the diluted sludge slurries for QA/QC purposes. The samples were measured using Cold-Vapor Atomic Absorption. Significant foaming can occur when concentrated sulfuric acid is added to the sludge slurry resulting in loss of sample or analyte. The first digestion attempt os a SRAT Receipt sample resulted in foam over of the sample which required that the digestion be repeated with slow addition of sulfuric acid. The DWPF should specify in operating procedure SW4-15.201, that sulfuric acid must be added slowly and dropwise. The first digestion attempt of a SRAT Receipt sample resulted in foam over of the sample which was repeated.

.

3.0 INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The DWPF is currently processing and immobilizing radioactive sludge slurry into a durable borosilicate glass. The DWPF has already processed eight sludge batches (Sludge Batch 1A, Sludge Batch 1B, Sludge Batch 2, Sludge Batch 3, Sludge Batch 4, Sludge Batch 5, Sludge Batch 6, and Sludge Batch 7a) and is currently processing a ninth (Sludge Batch 7b). A sludge batch is defined as a single tank of sludge or a combination of sludges from different tanks that has been or will be qualified before being transferred to DWPF. Thus, following the sludge batch preparation plan of the Liquid Waste Organization (LWO), the qualified sludge in Tank 51 is to be blended with the heel of the previous sludge batch planning) is to be combined with the heel of the previous sludge batch in DWPF to yield the "blend" composition. The next batch of radioactive sludge slurry to be processed by the DWPF is SB8. The subject of this report is the SB8 material from Tank 51 that is being qualified at SRNL and the material on which the DWPF process digestion method will be verified.

The statistical results for the SB8 samples generated from this study are provided in Appendix A. The results were generated using JMP Version 7.0.2.⁷ The plots of this exhibit show a 95% confidence interval for the mean (a mean diamond) of each set of measurements. For each element, the mean concentration of the samples by each digestion method is provided, and means that are not connected by the same letter in the listing of the exhibit are significantly different at a significance level of 5%. For example consider the SRAT Receipt Fe measurements. The results of the exhibit indicate that the mean of the CC results differs from the means of the PF and AR results. For the Na and Zr comparisons, the JMP output from an analysis of variance of the measurements for two digestions is provided, and only the 95% confidence mean diamond of each digestion is shown. Overlap marks show for each diamond, and overlap marks in one diamond that are closer to the mean of another diamond than that diamond's overlap marks indicate that those two groups are not different at the 95% confidence level. The visual comparisons are supported by an F test that compares the means of the AR and CC digestions for Na and Zr. If the p value is less than 0.05, then the means are statistically different at the 5% level. For example, from Exhibit A1, there is a statistical difference in the AR and CC means for Na for the SRAT Product and the SRAT Receipt samples, though a small relative difference.

The results from each type of digestion are summarized in

Table 3-1 for the SRAT Receipt and Table 3-4 for the SRAT Product samples. The ICP-AES results of the seventeen elements that are analyzed by the DWPF lab are presented on a weight percent (wt%) of total solids basis. A statistical comparison of the means for the SRAT Receipt results of all three digestions could not be performed for B, K, Li, Na, Si or Zr. B, Li and K were below the ICP-AES detection limits in the PF digestions. Na is added as part of the reagents used for PF digestions so only the means for the CC and AR digestions were compared. Si is leached from the ICP-AES instrument due to the presence of HF in the CC digestions and Si is known to not dissolve well in AR digestions. The Zr result from the AR digestions is inaccurate, HF is needed to completely dissolve Zr and this can readily be seen from the ARG-1 analysis results as well. For the SRAT Product in addition to B, Na, Si and Zr, K and Li concentrations were less than the detection limit and therefore only the means of the AR and CC digestion results were compared.

Summaries of the statistical comparisons from appendix A are shown in Table 3-2 and Table 3-5. Digestion types not having the same letter are statistically different at the 5% significance level. Consider the SRAT Product Fe results, the averages of the Fe measurements by PF and AR method digestions are statistically the same, but these means are statistically different from the average obtained from the DWPF CC digestion. The averages from duplicate digestion of ARG-1 glass are presented and compared

for each digestion type for the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product samples in Table 3-7 through Table 3-10.

During verification of the DWPF CC method for previous sludge batches, boehmite (AlO(OH)), muscovite (K,Na)(Al, Mg, Fe)₂(Si_{3.1}Al_{0.9})O₁₀(OH)₂, silicon dioxide (SiO₂), dipotassium sodium aluminum fluoride (K₂NaAl₃F₁₂), dipotassium aluminum pentafluoride (K₂AlF₅), aluminum fluoride (AlF₃), chiolite (Na₅Al₃F₁₄), cryolite (Na₃AlF₆), sodium magnesium aluminum hexafluoride (NaMgAlF₆), iron zirconium hexafluoride (FeZrF₆), disodium iron aluminum heptafluoride (Na₂FeAlF₇), and calcium thorium fluoride (Ca_{0.5}Th_{0.5}F₃) have been found.⁸ As mentioned in the summary section of this report for SB8, white solids were present in the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product solutions prepared using the CC digestion method. The solids were recovered by filtration and analyzed by C-XRD. Calcium aluminum fluoride (CaAlF₆), sodium iron fluoride (NaFeF₃) and chiolite (Na₅Al₃F₁₄) were identified in the solids recovered from both the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product CC digestion method solutions. These species are forming during the process digestion method and are precipitating from solution.

 Table 3-1. Elemental concentrations of SB8 SRAT Receipt radioactive sludge slurry obtained from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia, DWPF Cold Chem method and Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion digestions. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis.

Digestion	Aqua l	Regia	Na ₂ O ₂ /	NaOH	DWPF Col	ld Chem
Method \rightarrow		-	Fus	Fusion		
		"	Avg			"
Element	Avg Wt%*	%RSD [#]	Wt%*	%RSD [#]	Avg Wt%*	%RSD [#]
Al	4.87E+00	1.25E+00	5.50E+00	9.96E-01	5.33E+00	9.12E-01
В	<1.56E+01		5.32E-02	3.05E+00	6.28E-02	5.12E+01
Ca	1.12E+00	7.29E-01	1.30E+00	4.57E+00	1.14E+00	1.14E+00
Cr	9.24E-02	4.24E-01	1.11E-01	7.05E+00	9.70E-02	7.67E-01
Cu	5.02E-02	5.39E-01	2.49E-02	1.33E+00	2.39E-02	1.89E+00
Fe	1.71E+01	4.77E-01	1.73E+01	1.10E+00	1.77E+01	2.83E-01
K	8.93E-02	4.20E+00	2.83E-01	6.33E+00	9.32E-02	1.26E+01
Li	6.28E-03	1.43E+00	1.34E-02	1.36E+00	5.82E-03	6.74E+00
Mg	1.64E-01	3.05E-01	1.62E-01	3.25E+00	1.78E-01	1.06E+00
Mn	6.10E+00	3.14E-01	6.53E+00	1.09E+00	6.71E+00	4.95E-01
Na	1.69E+01	4.83E-01	NA	NA	1.75E+01	2.86E-01
Ni	8.20E-01	4.56E-01	8.00E-01	1.19E+00	8.57E-01	8.78E-01
Si	NA	NA	9.07E-01	1.61E+00	NM	NM
Th	6.37E-01	6.15E-01	5.90E-01	4.62E+00	5.82E-01	3.69E+00
Ti	1.15E-02	4.34E-01	1.40E-02	1.43E+01	1.20E-02	5.61E+00
U	2.64E+00	4.90E-01	2.53E+00	4.76E+00	2.31E+00	5.22E+00
Zr	4.49E-02	2.50E+01	NA	NA	1.43E-01	1.19E+00

^{*}All averages are based upon four replicate dissolutions and ICP-AES determinations. NA = Not applicable due to low solubility in the ptrep or element present as a contaminant from the reagents or supplies used during preparation. # RSD is the percent relative standard deviation for the measurements. NM = not measured, HF leaches Si from the ICP-AES sample introduction system.

Table 3-2 Statistical comparison of Aqua Regia, Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion and DWPF Cold Chem method digestions of SB8 SRAT Receipt sludge. Digestions not having the same letter are statistically different at the 5% significance level.

Element	Statistical
	Comparisons
	(AR, PF CC)
Al	C, A, B
В	*, A, A
Ca	B, *, B
Cr	B, A, B
Cu	A, B, C
Fe	B, B, A
K	B, A, B
Li	B, A, B
Mg	B, B, A
Mn	C, B, A
Na	A, *, B
Ni	B, C, A
Si	*, *, *
Th	A, B, B
Ti	B, A, AB
U	A, A, B
Zr	A, *, B

*Comparison of the means was not applicable due to low solubility of the element in the acids used for the preparation, element present as a contaminant from the reagents or supplies used during preparation or, the elements were below the minimum detection level.

The Hg results obtained using the DWPF Hg digestion method and the AR digestion for the SRAT Receipt sample are contained in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3 SRAT Receipt Hg digestion results for the AR and DWPF Hg digestion method presented on a weight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis.

Method of Digestion	Average Wt% (%RSD)	Spike Recovery	% Relative Difference (AR to DWPF Hg Method)
DWPF Hg Digestion Method	1.59 (2.1)	101%	~21%
Aqua Regia	1.96 (2.2)	No Spiked Sample	

For the SRAT Receipt sample:

• White solids remained in the solutions generated from the AR and CC digestions after all acid addition steps and dilutions were performed. The solids from the CC solutions were recovered by filtration and analyzed by C-XRD. Calcium aluminum fluoride (CaAlF₆), sodium iron fluoride

 $(NaFeF_3)$ and chiolite $(Na_5Al_3F_{14})$ were identified in the solids recovered from both the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product CC digestion method solutions.

- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Al concentration is observed in the mean of PF digestions when compared to the AR and CC method means, the PF mean is ~3% larger than the CC mean and the CC mean is ~9% larger than the AR mean.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Fe concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the CC mean is ~3.5% larger than the AR mean and 2% larger PF mean.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) larger Mn concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the CC mean is ~9.5% larger than the AR mean and 3% larger than the PF mean..
- The mean Ni concentration is ~7% and ~4% higher from the CC digestion relative to the PF and AR digestions, respectively.
- Thorium must be determined from the PF digestion, thorium has preceiptated from solution as calcium thorium fluoride (Ca_{0.5}Th_{0.5}F₃) in previous process digestion verification campaigns.
- A statistically significant (at the 5% level) smaller U concentration is observed in the mean of CC digestions when compared to the AR and PF method means, the PF mean is ~9% larger than the CC mean and the AR mean is ~13% larger.
- A statistical difference of means was also noted for the minor elements (<0.2 wt%) Cr, Cu, K, Li, Mg and Ti.
- The average Hg result from the AR digestions is ~21% larger than the average measured concentration in solutions obtained using the DWPF Hg digestion method.

For Hg, there is a statistical difference between the means obtained from analysis of samples prepped from AR versus the DWPF Hg digestion method, as well as a relatively large statistical difference. The reason for the difference in Hg concentration values measured from AR digestions versus the DWPF Hg digestion method is unclear. Four samples were submitted for analysis and a fifth sample was spiked with an additional 5 ppm Hg. The spike recovery was 101%, indicating that the measured concentration in the four samples submitted was measured correctly. Documented past method verification studies indicate the DWPG Hg digestion method is adequate for dissoving Hg from sludge slurry.³ A Hg dissolution study should be performed on the SB8 WAPS sample by SRNL which consists of the final composition of the sludge (the SB8 Blend) to determine if and how this difference will manifest itself in processing.

A statistical comparison of means from the results of duplicate ARG-1 samples digested concurrently with the SRAT Receipt samples is not icluded. For the AR digestions, the measured mean Cu and Ti concentrations were greater than 10% different compared to the known concentration in ARG-1. Si and Zr are not considered because the means of these elements are expected to be greater than 10% different. For the PF digestions, the measured mean concentration for Ca was greater than 10% different than the known concentration in ARG-1. Ca is a contaminant in the PF reagents. For the CC digestions, the measured mean Mg concentration was greater than 10% different compared to the known Mg concentration in ARG-1. Although no fluoride salts containing Mg were identified by C-XRD this time, Mg can complex and with fluoride and form insoluble species which may explain the low recovery of Mg in this case.

Table 3-4 contains the elemental concentrations of the SB8 SRAT Product sample.

Digestion	Aqua	Regia	Na ₂ O ₂ /NaOH		DWPF Cold Chem	
Method \rightarrow			Fus	Fusion		
Element	Avg Wt%^	%RSD [#]	Avg Wt%*	%RSD [#]	Avg Wt%*	%RSD [#]
Al	4.40E+00	1.50E+00	4.66E+00	6.56E+00	4.44E+00	4.27E-01
В	1.52E-02	3.64E+00	<1.51E-02		1.46E-01	1.88E+01
Ca	9.86E-01	1.94E+00	1.17E+00	6.37E+00	8.90E-01	2.64E+00
Cr	8.29E-02	1.76E+00	9.18E-02	6.41E+00	8.63E-02	8.89E-01
Cu	4.13E-02	1.54E+00	2.47E-02	7.99E+00	2.16E-02	5.08E+00
Fe	1.48E+01	1.66E+00	1.60E+01	6.64E+00	1.50E+01	6.37E-01
Li	8.19E-02	1.69E+00	<2.74E-01		1.08E-01	8.84E-01
K	1.32E-02	3.68E+00	<1.31E-02		5.20E-03	5.44E-01
Mg	1.49E-01	1.72E+00	1.39E-01	5.90E+00	1.51E-01	1.47E+00
Mn	5.61E+00	1.73E+00	5.82E+00	6.62E+00	5.70E+00	1.17E+00
Na	1.48E+01	1.41E+00	NA	NA	1.53E+01	7.55E-01
Ni	7.15E-01	1.85E+00	7.72E-01	6.43E+00	7.20E-01	9.72E-01
Si	NA	NA	9.25E-01	8.46E+00	NM	NM
Th	5.56E-01	1.71E+00	5.17E-01	5.66E+00	2.32E-01	2.88E+01
Ti	1.00E-02	1.65E+00	1.17E-02	7.03E+00	1.03E-02	0.00E+00
U	2.17E+00	1.69E+00	2.10E+00	7.15E+00	2.17E+00	7.86E-01
Zr	7.23E-02	1.49E+01	NA	NA	1.01E-01	1.73E+00

Table 3-4. Elemental concentrations of SB8 SRAT Product radioactive sludge slurry obtained from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia, DWPF Cold Chem method and Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion digestions. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total dried solids basis.

*All averages are based upon four replicate dissolutions and ICP-AES determinations. NA = Not applicable due to low solubility in the prep or element present as a contaminant from the reagents or supplies used during preparation. #%RSD is the percent relative standard deviation for the measurements. NM = not measured, HF leaches Si from the ICP-AES sample introduction system.

A statistical comparison of means from SB8 SRAT product digestions is presented in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5. Statistical comparison of Aqua Regia, Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion and DWPF Cold Chem method digestions of SB8 SRAT Product sludge. Digestions not having the same font are statistically different at the 5% significance level.

Element	Statistical
	(AR, PF, CC)
Al	A, A, A
В	B, *, A
Ca	B, *, B
Cr	B, A, AB
Cu	A, B, C
Fe	A, A, A
K	C, *, B
Li	A, *, B
Mg	AB, B, A
Mn	A, A, A
Na	A, *, B
Ni	A, A, A
Si	*, *, *
Th	A, A, B
Ti	B, A, B
U	A, A, A
Zr	A, *, B

*Comparison of the means was not applicable due to low solubility of the element in the acids used for the preparation, element present as a contaminant from the reagents or supplies used during preparation or, the elements were below the minimum detection level.

The Hg results obtained using the DWPF Hg digestion method and the AR digestion for the SRAT Product sample are contained in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6 SRAT Product Hg digestion results for the AR and DWPF Hg digestion meth	10d
presented on a weight percent (Wt%) of total dried solids basis.	

Method of Digestion	Average Wt% (%RSD)	Spike Recovery	% Relative Difference (AR to DWPF Hg Method)
DWPF Hg Digestion Method	0.64 (5.7)	78%	~11%
Aqua Regia	0.71 (1.8)	No Spiked Sample	

For the SRAT Product sample:

- White solids remained in the solutions generated from the AR and CC digestions after all acid addition steps and dilutions were performed. The PF method resulted in clear solutions with no apparent solids.
- Thorium must be determined from the PF digestion, thorium has preceiptated from solution as calcium thorium fluoride ($Ca_{0.5}Th_{0.5}F_3$) in previous process digestion verification campaigns.

- A statistical difference of means was noted for the minor elements (<0.2 wt%) Cr, Cu, K, Li, Mg and Ti.
- The average Hg result from the AR digestions is 11% larger when compared to the DWPF Hg digestion method average.

For Hg, there is a statistical difference between the means obtained from Cold-Vapor Atomic Absorption analysis of samples prepped from AR versus the DWPF Hg digestion method, as well as a large relative difference. Four samples were submitted for analysis and a fifth sample was spiked with an additional 5 ppm Hg. The spike recovery was 78%, within standard analytical protocol of $\pm 25\%$ for spike recovery. It is beyond the scope of this report to determine how accurate the DWPF Hg digestion method needs to be in order for the DWPF to take credit for removing enough Hg during the CPC processing in the SRAT.

A statistical comparison of means from the results of duplicate ARG-1 samples digested concurrently with the SRAT Product samples was not performed. However, relative differences are listed in Table 3-9 and Table 3-10. For the AR digestions, the Ti average was greater than 10% difference compared to the known concentration of Ti in ARG-1. Si and Zr are not considered because the means of these elements are expected to be greater than 10% different. For the PF digestions, the average for Ca was greater than 10% different than the knownconcentrations in ARG-1. Ca is a contaminant in the PF reagents. For the CC digestions, the averages for all elements fell within 10% of the accepted ARG-1 elemental concentrations.

Table 3-7. Elemental concentrations of ARG standard from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia,
Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DWPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently
with SB8 SRAT Receipt Sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total solids basis.
Agua Regia*

		Aqua Kegia		
Element	Average Wt%	%RSD	Standard Value	% Relative Difference (Measured <i>vs</i> Standard Value)
Al	2.38E+00	1.49E+00	2.50E+00	-5.0
В	2.58E+00	1.10E+00	2.69E+00	-4.1
Ca	1.04E+00	6.83E-01	1.02E+00	1.5
Cr	6.45E-02	1.10E-01	6.40E-02	0.7
Cu	5.00E-03	4.25E-01	3.00E-03	66.5
Fe	9.80E+00	7.94E-01	9.79E+00	0.1
K	2.12E+00	1.00E+00	2.26E+00	-6.4
Li	1.47E+00	9.62E-01	1.49E+00	-1.3
Mg	5.00E-01	1.42E-01	5.20E-01	-3.9
Mn	1.42E+00	9.96E-01	1.46E+00	-2.7
Na	8.62E+00	7.39E-01	8.52E+00	1.1
Ni	8.44E-01	2.18E+00	8.27E-01	2.1
Si	NA	NA	2.24E+01	NA
Ti	6.12E-01	1.39E+00	6.90E-01	-11.3
Zr	2.73E-02	6.89E+01	9.60E-02	-71.6
	Sodium	Peroxide/Hydroxide	e Fusion*	
Element	Average	%RSD	Standard Value	% Relative
	Wt%			Difference
				(Measured vs
				Standard Value)
Al	2.63E+00	1.61E+00	2.50E+00	5.2
В	2.59E+00	0.00E+00	2.69E+00	-3.7
Ca	1.27E+00	2.79E+00	1.02E+00	24.0
Cr	6.88E-02	2.06E+00	6.40E-02	7.5
Cu	<7.67E-03		3.00E-03	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
Fe	9.81E+00	1.44E-01	9.79E+00	0.2
K	2.42E+00	2.92E+00	2.26E+00	7.1
Li	1.47E+00	9.62E-01	1.49E+00	-1.3
Mg	5.31E-01	6.66E-01	5.20E-01	2.0
Mn	1.45E+00	4.89E-01	1.46E+00	-1.0
Na	NA	NA	8.52E+00	NA
Ni	8.22E-01	6.88E-01	8.27E-01	-0.6
Si	2.31E+01	3.07E-01	2.24E+01	2.9
Ti	6.97E-01	7.11E-01	6.90E-01	0.9
Zr	NA	NA	9.60E-02	NA

Table 3-8. Elemental concentrations of ARG standard from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia,
Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DWPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently
with SB8 SRAT Receipt Sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total solids basis.

DWPF Cold Chem Method*					
Element	Average	%RSD	Known Value	% Relative Difference (Measured vs Known Value)	
Al	2.43E+00	NA	2.50E+00	-2.8	
В	2.80E+00	3.03E+00	2.69E+00	4.1	
Ca	1.05E+00	4.11E+01	1.02E+00	2.9	
Cr	6.62E-02	2.03E+00	6.40E-02	3.4	
Cu	<3.29E-03		3.00E-03	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>	
Fe	9.81E+00	2.74E+00	9.79E+00	0.2	
Κ	2.22E+00	1.60E+00	2.26E+00	-2.0	
Li	1.44E+00	6.87E+00	1.49E+00	-3.4	
Mg	4.10E-01	4.47E+01	5.20E-01	-21.3	
Mn	1.40E+00	6.06E+00	1.46E+00	-4.1	
Na	8.74E+00	2.10E+00	8.52E+00	2.6	
Ni	9.09E-01	3.66E+00	8.27E-01	9.9	
Si	NA	NA	2.24E+01	NA	
Ti	6.87E-01	1.75E+00	6.90E-01	-0.5	
Zr	9.73E-02	1.38E+00	9.60E-02	1.3	

Table 3-9. Elemental concentrations of ARG standard from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia,
Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DWPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently
with SB8 SRAT Product sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total solids basis.

Aqua Regia*					
Element	Average	%RSD	Standard Value	% Relative Difference (Measured <i>vs</i> Standard Value)	
Al	2.42E+00	2.93E-01	2.50E+00	-3.4	
B	2.64E+00	0.00E+00	2.69E+00	-1.9	
Ca	1.06E+00	0.00E+00	1.02E+00	3.9	
Cr	6.48E-02	1.64E+00	6.40E-02	1.2	
Cu	<8.55E-03		3.00E-03	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>	
Fe	9.89E+00	0.00E+00	9.79E+00	1.0	
K	2.21E+00	1.28E+00	2.26E+00	-2.2	
Li	1.50E+00	4.73E-01	1.49E+00	0.3	
Mg	5.23E-01	9.47E-01	5.20E-01	0.5	
Mn	1.45E+00	0.00E+00	1.46E+00	-0.7	
Na	8.72E+00	4.87E-01	8.52E+00	2.3	
Ni	8.54E-01	3.31E-01	8.27E-01	3.3	
Si	NA	NA	2.24E+01	NA	
Ti	5.58E-01	6.21E+00	6.90E-01	-19.2	
Zr	4.08E-02	6.24E+00	9.60E-02	-57.5	
	Sodium	Peroxide/Hydroxid	e Fusion*		
Element	Average	%RSD	Known Value	% Relative Difference (Measured vs	
A1	2.44E±00	5.22E+00	2 50E±00		
B	2.44D+00	2.54E+00	2.50E+00	-2.4	
Ca	1.21E+00	2.34E+00	1.02E+00	18.6	
Cr	6.63E-02	4 27E+00	6.40E-02	3.6	
Cu	<7 57E-03		3.00E-03	NA NA	
Fe	9 95E+00	3 55E+00	9.79E+00	16	
K	2.25E+00	2.20E+00	2.26E+00	-0.7	
Li	1.49E+00	9.49E-01	1.49E+00	0.0	
Mg	5.10E-01	2.77E+00	5.20E-01	-1.9	
Mn	1.42E+00	3.50E+00	1.46E+00	-3.1	
Na	NA	NA	8.52E+00	NA	
Ni	8.73E-01	4.46E+00	8.27E-01	5.5	
Si	2.18E+01	1.20E+01	2.24E+01	-2.9	
Ti	7.00E-01	3.03E+00	6.90E-01	1.4	
7r	NA	NA	9.60E-02	NA	

DWPF Cold Chem Method*				
Element	Average	%RSD	Known Value	% Relative Difference (Measured <i>vs</i> Known Value)
Al	2.35E+00	9.05E-01	2.50E+00	-6.2
В	2.75E+00	2.06E+00	2.69E+00	2.2
Ca	1.03E+00	2.07E+00	1.02E+00	0.5
Cr	6.73E-02	6.30E-01	6.40E-02	5.2
Cu	3.13E-03	2.94E+00	3.00E-03	4.2
Fe	9.89E+00	2.86E-01	9.79E+00	1.0
Κ	2.24E+00	0.00E+00	2.26E+00	-0.9
Li	1.52E+00	9.30E-01	1.49E+00	2.0
Mg	5.25E-01	1.89E+00	5.20E-01	1.0
Mn	1.46E+00	4.86E-01	1.46E+00	-0.3
Na	8.88E+00	1.91E+00	8.52E+00	4.2
Ni	8.69E-01	1.14E+00	8.27E-01	5.1
Si	NA	NA	2.24E+01	NA
Ti	6.94E-01	0.00E+00	6.90E-01	0.6
Zr	9.79E-02	7.23E-02	9.60E-02	1.9

 Table 3-10. Elemental concentrations of ARG standard from ICP-AES analysis of Aqua Regia,

 Sodium Peroxide/Hydroxide Fusion, DWPF Cold Chem method digestions performed concurrently

 with SB8 SRAT Product sample. Values are presented on a weight percent (Wt%) total solids basis.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results presented in this report indicate the DWPF CC method is suitable to dissolve the elements in SB8 measured for process control except aluminum and thorium. Statistical differences of means was noted for many elements when the three digestion methods were compared including some minor elements (<0.2 wt%). Although there were some differences, there may not be a practical impact in terms of process control. The AA results from analysis of solutions generated by the DWPF Hg digestion method are lower than the results obtained from analysis of AR digestions, especially for the SRAT Receipt sample. Previous validation studies indicated the DWPG Hg digestion method is adequate for dissoving Hg from sludge slurry and resulted in smaller relative differences between the two digestion methods, similar to what was seen for the SB8 SRAT Product results. An additional dissolution study for Hg should be performed on the SB8 WAPS sample by SRNL which consists of the final composition of the sludge (the SB8 Blend) to evaluate if this difference will persist and how it may manifest itself during processing of SB8. It is beyond the scope of this report to determine how accurate the data needs to be to stay within melter processing parameters for SB8.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are based upon results in this report and the previous DWPF process digestion method verification report issued by SRNL (SRNL-STI-2011-00475):

- Al and Th concentrations must be determined solely by ICP-AES analysis of PF preparations. Fe, Mn and Ni can be determined from either the CC or PF method preparations but monitored closely and the highest measured concentration between the two digestions should be used.
- DWPF should investigate if comparisons between the elemental concentrations of the SME product (adjusted for frit addition) obtained by the mixed acid and peroxide fusion digestion and the SRAT Receipt and SRAT Product elemental concentrations obtained *via* the DWPF CC method provide insight into the adequacy of the CC method for analysis of the SRAT Product. If a consistent difference in elemental concentrations is revealed, another type of digestion (i.e. sodium peroxide/hydroxide fusion) should be used to determine the concentration of the element in question. Particular emphasis should be placed on monitoring Al, Fe, Ni, Mn and Th concentrations in SB8.
- The mean Hg results from AA analysis of samples prepared by the DWPF Hg digestion method was ~21% lower than AR digestions for SRAT Receipt material and ~11% lower for SRAT Product material. An additional dissolution study should be performed on the SB8 WAPS sample by SRNL which consists of the final composition of the sludge (the SB8 Blend).
- Significant foaming can occur during performance of the DWPF Hg digestion method when concentrated sulfuric acid is added to the sludge slurry resulting in loss of sample or analyte. The DWPF should insert into the operating procedure (SW4-15.201), that sulfuric acid should be added slowly and drop-wise.
- The DWPF Hg digestion method is based upon SW846, a method used for samples containing a significant amount of organic material. Since the DWPF does not have a significant amount of organic material in the feed, the DPWF should consider using a 6 M HCl/Concentrated HNO₃ acid digestion mixture in the future for Hg Analysis. The chloride concentration would be nearly equivalent to the 10 weight percent hydroxylamine, 12 weight percent sodium chloride solution used in the DWPF digestion scheme if scaled to a 1 g sludge slurry sample size. As an alternative, a concentrated nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide digestion could be performed (see SRNS-STI-2009-00315) and has been shown to give equivalent results.

6.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Samadi-Dezfoulli, A. *Technical Task Request: Sludge Batch (SB) & Qualification Studies*; HLW-DWPF-TTR-2012-0009, Rev. 1; Savannah River Site: Aiken, SC, 2012.
- 2. Pareiz, J. M.; Click, D. R. *Analytical Study Plan for Sludge Batch (SB) 8 Qualification in the Shielded Cells;* SRNL-RP-2012-00561; Savannah River National Lab: Aiken, SC, 2012.
- D.R. Click, T.L. White and B.J. Wiedenman, Validation of the DWPF Hg Analysis Method and Recommendation for Oxalate Analysis by IC for SB7a, SRNL-L4140-2011-00010 Rev. 1; D.R. Click, C.J. Coleman, K.E. Zeigler, and T.B. Edwards, Sludge Batch Four (4) Defense Waste Processing (DWPF) Process Analytcial Method Verification, WSRC-STI-2006-00025, Aiken, SC 2006; C.J. Coleman, T.B. Edwards, L.C. Johnson, and A.Y. Brown, Evaluation of Sample Preparation Methods for Mercury Determinations in DWPF Sludge, SRNS-STI-2009-00315, Aiken, SC, 2009.
- 4. Gillam, J. M. SB8_103012_47KgalNO2_1.6M endpoint.xlsm, Worksheet SB8: Tank Farm Washing Spreadsheet, Savannah River Remediation: Aiken, SC 2012.
- 5. C.J. Coleman, "Alkali Fusion Dissolutions of Sludge and Glass for Elemental and Anion Analysis", ADS Procedure ADS-2502, Rev. 6.
- 6. C.J. Coleman, "Aqua Regia Dissolution of Sludge for Elemental Analysis", ADS Procedure ADS-2226, Rev. 7.
- 7. JMP Version 9.0.0, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary NC, 1989-2010.
- C.J. Coleman, F.M. Pennebaker, B.H. Burch and D.R. Click, Evaluation of the DWPF Cold 8. Chem Dissolution Method with DWPF Sludge Batch 3 Simulant, WSRC-TR-02-00496, Rev. 0.; D.R. Click, Evaluation of the DWPF Cold Chem Dissolution Method with Tank 7 and Tank 51 Radioactive Sludges, WSRC-TR-2003-00580; D.R. Click, C.J. Coleman, K.E. Zeigler and T.B. Edwards, Sludge Batch Four (4) Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) Process Analytical Method Verification, WSRC-STI-2006-00025 Rev. 0. Aiken, SC 2006; D.R. Click, H.M. Ajo, and T.B. Edwards, Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) Analytical Method Verification for the Sludge Batch 5 Qualification Sample, SRNS-STI-2008-00090, Rev. 0. Aiken, SC 2008; D. R. Click, T. B. Edwards, and M. A. Jones, Verification of the Defense Waste Processing Facility's (DWPF) Process Digestion Method for the Sludge Batch 6 Qualification Sample WSRC-STI-2010-00259 Rev. 0. Aiken, SC 2010; D. R. Click, T. B. Edwards, and M.A. Jones, and B. J. Weidenman, Verification of the Defense Waste Processing Facility's (DWPF) Process Digestion Method for the Sludge Batch 7a Qualification Sample, SRNL-STI-2011-00158 Rev. 0. Aiken, SC 2011; D. R. Click, T. B. Edwards, and M.A. Jones, and B. J. Weidenman, Verification of the Defense Waste Processing Facility's (DWPF) Process Digestion Method for the Sludge Batch 7b Blend Sample", SRNL-STI-2011-00475 Rev. 0. Aiken, SC 2011.
7.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Rita Sullivan, Jane Howard, Monica Jenkins and Phyllis Burkhalter.

Distribution Amanda Shafer/SRR/Srs Azadeh Samadi-Dezfouli/SRR/Srs Barbara Hamm/SRR/Srs Bill Holtzscheiter/SRR/Srs Carol Sherburne/SRR/Srs Connie Herman/SRNL/Srs Terri Fellinger/SRR/Srs Dan Lambert/SRNL/Srs Daniel McIlmoyle/SRR/Srs David Koopman/SRNL/Srs David Peeler/SRNL/Srs Dave Sherburne/SRR/Srs Eric Freed/SRR/Srs Hasmukh Shah/SRR/Srs Herbert Elder/SRR/Srs James Newell/SRNL/Srs Jason Vitali/SRR/Srs Jeff Ray/SRR/Srs Jeffrey Gillam/SRR/Srs John Iaukea/SRR/Srs John Pareizs/SRNL/Srs Jonathan Bricker/SRR/Srs Karthik Subramanian/SRR/Srs Keisha Martin/SRR/Srs Mark Keefer/SRR/Srs Michael Feller/SRR/Srs Michael Hay/SRNL/Srs Michael Stone/SRNL/Srs Mike Hubbard/SRR/Srs Roger Mahannah/SRR/srs Ryan McNew/SRR/Srs Sharon Marra/SRNL/Srs Tommy Edwards/SRNL/Srs

8.0 APPENDIX A. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Mean 0.7720 0.7635 0.71975

> Mean 0.59287 0.51675 0.23175

Mean 0.01165 0.01067 0.01022

Mean 2.3230 2.1725 2.0975

Mean 0.5556 0.5167 0.2317 Mean 0.0116 0.0102 0.0100

Means Comparisons Comparisons for all pairs using Tukey-Kramer HSD Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT

Levels not connected by same letter are significantly different.

All of the Data

Element=Al		Element=Fe	Element=Ni
Level	Mean	Level Mean	Level
AR A	4.7143	PF A 15.9500	PF A
PF A	4.6625	AR A 15.8333	AR A
CC A	4.4375	CC A 15.0250	CC A
Element=B		Element=K	Element=Th
Level	Mean	Level Mean	Level
CC A	0.14575	PF A 0.2735	AR A
AR B	0.01595	CC B 0.1082	PF A
PF B	0.015075	AR C 0.0893	CC B
Element=Ca		Element=Li	Element=Ti
Level	Mean	Level Mean	Level
PF A	1.16500	AR A 0.01382	PF A
AR A B	1.05317	PF A 0.01312	AR A
CC B	0.89025	CC B 0.00539	CC A
Element=Cr		Element=Mg	Element=U
Level	Mean	Level Mean	Level
PF A	0.09180	AR A 0.15930	AR A
AR A	0.08847	CC A 0.15125	CC A
CC A	0.08632	PF A 0.13875	PF A
Element=Cu		Element=Mn	
Level	Mean	Level Mean	
AR A	0.04460	AR A 5.9841	
PF B	0.02467	PF A 5.8225	
CC B	0.02157	CC A 5.7000	

Questionable AR Prep Excluded

Elem	ent=Al		Elem	ent=Fe		Elem	ent=Ni	
Level		Mean	Level	l	Mean	Leve	1	Mean
PF	А	4.662	PF	А	15.950	PF	А	0.7720
CC	А	4.437	CC	А	15.025	CC	А	0.7197
AR	А	4.402	AR	А	14.836	AR	А	0.7154
Elem	ent=B		Elem	ent=K		Elem	ent=Th	
Level		Mean	Level	l	Mean	Leve	1	Mea
CC	А	0.1457	PF	А	0.2735	AR	А	0.555
AR	В	0.0152	CC	В	0.1082	PF	А	0.516
PF	В	0.0151	AR	С	0.0819	CC	В	0.231
Elem	ent=Ca		Element=Li			Element=Ti		
Level		Mean	Level	l	Mean	Leve	1	Mea
PF	А	1.165	AR	А	0.0132	PF	А	0.011
AR	В	0.986	PF	А	0.0131	CC	В	0.010
CC	В	0.890	CC	В	0.0054	AR	В	0.010
Elem	ent=Cr		Elem	ent=Mg		Element=U		
Level		Mean	Level	-	Mean	Leve	1	Mean
PF	А	0.0918	CC	А	0.1512	CC	А	2.172
CC	A B	0.0863	AR	A B	0.1490	AR	А	2.171
AR	В	0.0828	PF	В	0.1387	PF	А	2.097
Elem	ent=Cu		Elem	ent=Mn				
Level		Mean	Level	l	Mean			
AR	А	0.04127	PF	А	5.822			
PF	В	0.0247	CC	А	5.700			
CC	С	0.0216	AR	А	5.608			

Means Comparisons Comparisons for all pairs using Tukey-Kramer HSD Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT

Levels not connected by same letter are significantly different.

Elem	ent=Al			Elem	ent=	=Fe		Eleme	ent=Ni	
Level	l		Mean	Level			Mean	Level		Mean
PF	А		5.5000	CC	А		17.675	CC	А	0.8570
CC		В	5.3275	PF		В	17.275	AR	В	0.8200
AR		С	4.8725	AR		В	17.100	PF	С	0.7997
Elem	ent=B			Element=K		Eleme	ent=Th			
Level	l	Mean		Level			Mean	Level		Mean
CC	А	0.0628		PF	А		0.2830	AR	А	0.63700000
PF	А	0.0532		CC		В	0.0932	PF	В	0.59025000
AR	В	0.0022		AR		В	0.0893	CC	В	0.58175000
Element=Ca			Element=Li		Element=Ti					
Level	l	Mean		Level			Mean	Level		Mean
PF	А	1.300		PF	Α		0.0134	PF	А	0.01400000
CC	В	1.135		AR		В	0.0063	CC	A B	0.01200000
AR	В	1.120		Elem	ent=	-Mg		AR	В	0.01152500
Elem	ent=Cr			Level		-	Mean	Element=U		
Level	l	Mean		CC	Α		0.1782	Level		Mean
PF	А	0.1107		AR		В	0.1637	AR	А	2.6350000
CC	В	0.0970		PF		В	0.1617	PF	А	2.6200000
AR	В	0.0924		Elem	ent=	-Mn		CC	В	2.3075000
Elem	ent=Cu			Level			Mean			
Level	l	Mear	1	CC	Α		6.705			
AR	А	0.0502	2	PF		В	6.527			
PF	В	0.0248	3	AR		С	6.095			
CC	С	0.0238	3							



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Al Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	4.604767	0.385262	0.111216	4.359983	4.849551	4.3492	5.6508	12
Type[RECEIPT]	5.233333	0.280886	0.081085	5.054867	5.4118	4.82	5.54	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	4.7143	0.626661	0.31333	3.717143	5.711457	4.3492	5.6508	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	4.4375	0.01893	0.009465	4.407379	4.467621	4.41	4.45	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	4.6625	0.305655	0.152828	4.176135	5.148865	4.42	5.11	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	4.8725	0.060759	0.03038	4.775819	4.969181	4.82	4.96	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	5.3275	0.048563	0.024281	5.250226	5.404774	5.28	5.39	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	5.5	0.054772	0.027386	5.412845	5.587155	5.42	5.54	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=B Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.058925	0.065704	0.018967	0.017179	0.100671	0.0148	0.177	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.039416	0.032457	0.00937	0.018793	0.060038	0.00153	0.102	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.01595	0.001561	0.00078	0.013466	0.018434	0.0148	0.0182	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.14575	0.027366	0.013683	0.102204	0.189296	0.112	0.177	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.015075	0.000263	0.000131	0.014657	0.015493	0.0148	0.0153	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.002248	0.001402	0.000701	0.000017	0.004478	0.00153	0.00435	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.062825	0.032141	0.016071	0.011681	0.113969	0.0277	0.102	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.053175	0.001624	0.000812	0.050592	0.055758	0.0517	0.0554	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Ca Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	1.036142	0.143137	0.04132	0.945196	1.127087	0.858	1.254	12
Type[RECEIPT]	1.185	0.091004	0.026271	1.127179	1.242821	1.11	1.38	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	1.053175	0.134788	0.067394	0.838698	1.267652	0.9683	1.254	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.89025	0.023472	0.011736	0.852901	0.927599	0.858	0.913	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	1.165	0.074162	0.037081	1.046992	1.283008	1.07	1.25	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	1.12	0.008165	0.004082	1.107008	1.132992	1.11	1.13	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	1.135	0.01291	0.006455	1.114457	1.155543	1.12	1.15	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	1.3	0.059442	0.029721	1.205415	1.394585	1.25	1.38	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Cr Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.088867	0.007088	0.002046	0.084363	0.09337	0.0816	0.1054	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.10005	0.009116	0.002632	0.094258	0.105842	0.092	0.122	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.088475	0.011344	0.005672	0.070425	0.106525	0.0816	0.1054	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.086325	0.000768	0.000384	0.085104	0.087546	0.0852	0.0869	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.0918	0.005886	0.002943	0.082434	0.101166	0.0858	0.0999	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.0924	0.000392	0.000196	0.091777	0.093023	0.092	0.0929	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.097	0.000744	0.000372	0.095816	0.098184	0.0965	0.0981	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.11075	0.007805	0.003902	0.098331	0.123169	0.105	0.122	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Cu Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.030283	0.011275	0.003255	0.023119	0.037447	0.0208	0.0546	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.032967	0.012739	0.003677	0.024873	0.041061	0.0235	0.0506	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.0446	0.006688	0.003344	0.033958	0.055242	0.0406	0.0546	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.021575	0.001097	0.000548	0.01983	0.02332	0.0208	0.0232	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.024675	0.001972	0.000986	0.021537	0.027813	0.0226	0.0273	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.0502	0.000271	0.000135	0.049769	0.050631	0.05	0.0506	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.02385	0.000451	0.000225	0.023132	0.024568	0.0235	0.0245	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.02485	0.000332	0.000166	0.024322	0.025378	0.0244	0.0252	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Fe

Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	15.60278	1.260807	0.363964	14.8017	16.40385	14.6349	18.8254	12
Type[RECEIPT]	17.35	0.27469	0.079296	17.17547	17.52453	17	17.7	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	15.83333	2.004852	1.002426	12.64316	19.02349	14.6349	18.8254	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	15.025	0.095743	0.047871	14.87265	15.17735	14.9	15.1	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	15.95	1.059874	0.529937	14.2635	17.6365	15.1	17.5	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	17.1	0.08165	0.040825	16.97008	17.22992	17	17.2	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	17.675	0.05	0.025	17.59544	17.75456	17.6	17.7	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	17.275	0.189297	0.094648	16.97379	17.57621	17	17.4	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=K Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.157017	0.086796	0.025056	0.101869	0.212164	0.081	0.278	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.15515	0.095117	0.027458	0.094716	0.215584	0.0835	0.309	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.0893	0.014775	0.007388	0.065789	0.112811	0.081	0.1114	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.10825	0.000957	0.000479	0.106727	0.109773	0.107	0.109	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.2735	0.00526	0.00263	0.26513	0.28187	0.268	0.278	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.089275	0.003753	0.001876	0.083304	0.095246	0.0843	0.0933	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.093175	0.011706	0.005853	0.074549	0.111801	0.0835	0.11	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.283	0.017907	0.008954	0.254506	0.311494	0.268	0.309	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Li Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.01078	0.004063	0.001173	0.008199	0.013361	0.00516	0.0158	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.008498	0.003633	0.001049	0.00619	0.010806	0.00538	0.0136	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.013825	0.001372	0.000686	0.011642	0.016008	0.0128	0.0158	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.00539	0.000407	0.000204	0.004742	0.006038	0.00516	0.006	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.013125	0.000206	0.000103	0.012797	0.013453	0.0129	0.0133	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.006278	0.00009	0.000045	0.006134	0.006421	0.00621	0.0064	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.005818	0.000392	0.000196	0.005193	0.006442	0.00538	0.00633	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.0134	0.000183	9.129e-5	0.013109	0.013691	0.0132	0.0136	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Mg Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.149767	0.014645	0.004228	0.140462	0.159071	0.127	0.1902	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.167917	0.008218	0.002372	0.162695	0.173138	0.157	0.181	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.1593	0.020703	0.010351	0.126357	0.192243	0.1467	0.1902	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.15125	0.002217	0.001109	0.147722	0.154778	0.149	0.154	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.13875	0.00818	0.00409	0.125733	0.151767	0.127	0.146	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.16375	0.0005	0.00025	0.162954	0.164546	0.163	0.164	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.17825	0.001893	0.000946	0.175238	0.181262	0.177	0.181	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.16175	0.005252	0.002626	0.153393	0.170107	0.157	0.169	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Mn Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	5.835542	0.460632	0.132973	5.54287	6.128213	5.5238	7.1111	12
Type[RECEIPT]	6.4425	0.270861	0.078191	6.270403	6.614597	6.07	6.74	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	5.984125	0.755479	0.37774	4.781989	7.186261	5.5238	7.1111	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	5.7	0.066833	0.033417	5.593654	5.806346	5.64	5.79	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	5.8225	0.385519	0.19276	5.209053	6.435947	5.53	6.39	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	6.095	0.019149	0.009574	6.06453	6.12547	6.07	6.11	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	6.705	0.033166	0.016583	6.652225	6.757775	6.66	6.74	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	6.5275	0.070887	0.035444	6.414703	6.640297	6.43	6.6	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Na Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	15.5508	1.353357	0.478484	14.41936	16.68224	14.6032	18.8254	8
Type[RECEIPT]	17.1875	0.313676	0.110901	16.92526	17.44974	16.8	17.5	8
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	15.8016	2.02302	1.01151	12.58252	19.02068	14.6032	18.8254	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	15.3	0.11547	0.057735	15.11626	15.48374	15.2	15.4	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	16.9	0.08165	0.040825	16.77008	17.02992	16.8	17	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	17.475	0.05	0.025	17.39544	17.55456	17.4	17.5	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Ni

Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.75175	0.061782	0.017835	0.712496	0.791004	0.7048	0.9079	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.825583	0.025632	0.007399	0.809298	0.841869	0.788	0.866	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.7635	0.096871	0.048435	0.609357	0.917643	0.7048	0.9079	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.71975	0.006994	0.003497	0.708621	0.730879	0.713	0.728	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.772	0.049666	0.024833	0.692971	0.851029	0.73	0.844	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.82	0.003742	0.001871	0.814046	0.825954	0.815	0.824	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.857	0.007528	0.003764	0.845022	0.868978	0.848	0.866	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.79975	0.009535	0.004768	0.784578	0.814922	0.788	0.811	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Si Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

Variability Summary for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.92475	0.078236	0.039118	0.800259	1.049241	0.81	0.986	4
Type[RECEIPT]	0.907	0.014583	0.007292	0.883795	0.930205	0.889	0.924	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.92475	0.078236	0.039118	0.800259	1.049241	0.81	0.986	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.907	0.014583	0.007292	0.883795	0.930205	0.889	0.924	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Th

Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)



	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.447125	0.171298	0.049449	0.338288	0.555962	0.139	0.7048	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.603	0.031247	0.00902	0.583147	0.622853	0.552	0.642	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.592875	0.075023	0.037511	0.473497	0.712253	0.546	0.7048	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.23175	0.066855	0.033427	0.125369	0.338131	0.139	0.292	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.51675	0.029262	0.014631	0.470188	0.563312	0.476	0.545	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.637	0.003916	0.001958	0.630769	0.643231	0.633	0.642	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.58175	0.021453	0.010727	0.547613	0.615887	0.552	0.602	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.59025	0.027281	0.01364	0.54684	0.63366	0.567	0.628	4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Ti Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.01085	0.001036	0.000299	0.010192	0.011508	0.0099	0.0128	12
Type[RECEIPT]	0.012508	0.001573	0.000454	0.011509	0.013508	0.0115	0.0167	12
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.010675	0.001357	0.000679	0.008515	0.012835	0.0099	0.0127	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.010225	9.574e-5	4.787e-5	0.010073	0.010377	0.0101	0.0103	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[PF]	0.01165	0.000819	0.000409	0.010348	0.012952	0.0109	0.0128	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.011525	0.00005	0.000025	0.011445	0.011605	0.0115	0.0116	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.012	0.000673	0.000337	0.010929	0.013071	0.0116	0.013	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[PF]	0.014	0.002005	0.001002	0.01081	0.01719	0.0122	0.0167	4

Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=U



1 Observations
8 12
7 12
8 4
9 4
7 4
5 4
4 4
7 4



Variability Gauge Process/Standard=SRAT, Element=Zr Variability Chart for Measurement (wt%)

	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Type[PRODUCT]	0.091713	0.017712	0.006262	0.076905	0.10652	0.06	0.114	8
Type[RECEIPT]	0.094075	0.053094	0.018771	0.049688	0.138462	0.037	0.145	8
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[AR]	0.0827	0.022635	0.011318	0.046682	0.118718	0.06	0.114	4
Type[PRODUCT] Prep[CC]	0.100725	0.001742	0.000871	0.097953	0.103497	0.0989	0.103	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[AR]	0.0449	0.01123	0.005615	0.02703	0.06277	0.037	0.061	4
Type[RECEIPT] Prep[CC]	0.14325	0.001708	0.000854	0.140532	0.145968	0.141	0.145	4

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Al



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.106104
Adj Rsquare	-0.09254
Root Mean Square Error	0.402694
Mean of Response	4.604767
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.1732353	0.086618	0.5341	0.6037
Error	9	1.4594608	0.162162		
C. Total	11	1.6326961			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	4.71430	0.20135	4.2588	5.1698
CC	4	4.43750	0.20135	3.9820	4.8930
PF	4	4.66250	0.20135	4.2070	5.1180

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	4.71430	0.626661	0.31333	3.7171	5.7115
CC	4	4.43750	0.018930	0.00946	4.4074	4.4676
PF	4	4.66250	0.305655	0.15283	4.1761	5.1489

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.6266607	0.4682500	0.3492000
CC	4	0.0189297	0.0137500	0.0125000
PF	4	0.3056550	0.2237500	0.1775000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.1355	2	9	0.3633
Brown-Forsythe	0.8407	2	9	0.4627
Levene	4.8837	2	9	0.0366*
Bartlett	7.5537	2		0.0005*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 1.2583 2 4.0189 0.3764

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.952529
Adj Rsquare	0.94198
Root Mean Square Error	0.015826
Mean of Response	0.058925
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.04523302	0.022617	90.2948	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00225427	0.000250		
C. Total	11	0.04748728			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.015950	0.00791	-0.0020	0.03385
CC	4	0.145750	0.00791	0.1278	0.16365
PF	4	0.015075	0.00791	-0.0028	0.03298

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.015950	0.001561	0.00078	0.01347	0.01843
CC	4	0.145750	0.027366	0.01368	0.10220	0.18930
PF	4	0.015075	0.000263	0.00013	0.01466	0.01549

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0015610	0.0011250	0.0010500
CC	4	0.0273663	0.0202500	0.0202500

00	. 0.02/0000	0.01010000	0.0202000
PF	4 0.0002630	0.0002250	0.0002250

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	2.4183	2	9	0.1444
Brown-Forsythe	7.4926	2	9	0.0121*
Levene	7.5654	2	9	0.0118*
Bartlett	15.3273	2		<.0001*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 39.7459
 2
 4.1107
 0.0020*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Ca



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.677618
Adj Rsquare	0.605977
Root Mean Square Error	0.089849
Mean of Response	1.036142
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.15271593	0.076358	9.4586	0.0061*
Error	9	0.07265582	0.008073		
C. Total	11	0.22537175			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	1.05318	0.04492	0.9515	1.1548
CC	4	0.89025	0.04492	0.7886	0.9919
PF	4	1.16500	0.04492	1.0634	1.2666

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means	and	Std	Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	1.05318	0.134788	0.06739	0.8387	1.2677
CC	4	0.89025	0.023472	0.01174	0.8529	0.9276
PF	4	1.16500	0.074162	0.03708	1.0470	1.2830

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.1347876	0.1004125	0.0769750
CC	4	0.0234716	0.0162500	0.0162500
PF	4	0.0741620	0.0500000	0.0500000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.0390	2	9	0.3927
Brown-Forsythe	0.6467	2	9	0.5465
Levene	3.0365	2	9	0.0982
Bartlett	2.9491	2		0.0524

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 23.4834
 2
 4.4667
 0.0043*

SRNL-STI-2013-00096, REVISION 0

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.110154
Adj Rsquare	-0.08759
Root Mean Square Error	0.007392
Mean of Response	0.088867
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00006087	0.000030	0.5571	0.5914
Error	9	0.00049174	0.000055		
C. Total	11	0.00055261			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.088475	0.00370	0.08011	0.09684
CC	4	0.086325	0.00370	0.07796	0.09469
PF	4	0.091800	0.00370	0.08344	0.10016

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.088475	0.011344	0.00567	0.07042	0.10653
CC	4	0.086325	0.000768	0.00038	0.08510	0.08755
PF	4	0.091800	0.005886	0.00294	0.08243	0.10117

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0113435	0.0084625	0.0064250
CC	4	0.0007676	0.0005625	0.0004750

CC	4 0.0007676	0.0005625	0.0004750
PF	4 0.0058861	0.0040500	0.0036500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.1078	2	9	0.3714
Brown-Forsythe	0.8439	2	9	0.4614
Levene	4.0769	2	9	0.0549
Bartlett	5.3939	2		0.0045*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 1.5191
 2
 4.0848
 0.3212

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Cu



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.89313
Adj Rsquare	0.869381
Root Mean Square Error	0.004075
Mean of Response	0.030283
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00124902	0.000625	37.6073	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00014945	0.000017		
C. Total	11	0.00139848			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.044600	0.00204	0.03999	0.04921
CC	4	0.021575	0.00204	0.01697	0.02618
PF	4	0.024675	0.00204	0.02007	0.02928

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.044600	0.006688	0.00334	0.03396	0.05524
CC	4	0.021575	0.001097	0.00055	0.01983	0.02332
PF	4	0.024675	0.001972	0.00099	0.02154	0.02781

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0066878	0.0050000	0.0036500
CC	4	0.0010966	0.0008125	0.0006250
PF	4	0.0019721	0.0013750	0.0013750

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F O'Brien[.5] 1.2929 2 9 0.3209 Brown-Forsythe 0.7200 2 9 0.5128 Levene 4.7374 2 9 0.0393*

Bartlett	4.0319	2	0.0177*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 22.5209 2 4.9562 0.0033*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.116103
Adj Rsquare	-0.08032
Root Mean Square Error	1.310462
Mean of Response	15.60278
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	2.030170	1.01508	0.5911	0.5739
Error	9	15.455796	1.71731		
C. Total	11	17.485966			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	15.8333	0.65523	14.351	17.316
CC	4	15.0250	0.65523	13.543	16.507
PF	4	15.9500	0.65523	14.468	17.432

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	15.8333	2.00485	1.0024	12.643	19.023
CC	4	15.0250	0.09574	0.0479	14.873	15.177
PF	4	15.9500	1.05987	0.5299	14.264	17.636

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level Count Std Dev MeanAbsDif to Mean MeanAbsDif to Median

AR	4 2.004852	1.496038	1.134925
CC	4 0.095743	0.075000	0.075000
PF	4 1.059874	0.775000	0.600000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

1.0903	2	9	0.3767
0.8056	2	9	0.4766
4.4566	2	9	0.0452*
6.2801	2		0.0019*
	1.0903 0.8056 4.4566 6.2801	1.0903 2 0.8056 2 4.4566 2 6.2801 2	1.0903 2 9 0.8056 2 9 4.4566 2 9 6.2801 2 .

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 1.5704 2 4.0414 0.3129

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=K



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.991062
Adj Rsquare	0.989076
Root Mean Square Error	0.009072
Mean of Response	0.157017
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.08212841	0.041064	498.9642	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00074069	0.000082		
C. Total	11	0.08286910			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.089300	0.00454	0.07904	0.09956
CC	4	0.108250	0.00454	0.09799	0.11851
PF	4	0.273500	0.00454	0.26324	0.28376

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and S	Std Deviations
menter and a	/ L C / Marions

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.089300	0.014775	0.00739	0.06579	0.11281
CC	4	0.108250	0.000957	0.00048	0.10673	0.10977
PF	4	0.273500	0.005260	0.00263	0.26513	0.28187

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0147754	0.0110500	0.0081500
CC	4	0.0009574	0.0007500	0.0007500
PF	4	0.0052599	0.0045000	0.0045000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.2877	2	9	0.3222
Brown-Forsythe	0.8448	2	9	0.4611
Levene	5.7879	2	9	0.0242*
Bartlett	6.0253	2		0.0024*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 1650.5811
 2
 4.1443
 <.0001*</td>

SRNL-STI-2013-00096, REVISION 0

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.965451
Adj Rsquare	0.957773
Root Mean Square Error	0.000835
Mean of Response	0.01078
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00017529	0.000088	125.7479	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00000627	6.97e-7		
C. Total	11	0.00018157			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.013825	0.00042	0.01288	0.01477
CC	4	0.005390	0.00042	0.00445	0.00633
PF	4	0.013125	0.00042	0.01218	0.01407

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.013825	0.001372	0.00069	0.01164	0.01601
CC	4	0.005390	0.000407	0.00020	0.00474	0.00604
PF	4	0.013125	0.000206	0.00010	0.01280	0.01345

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0013720	0.0009875	0.0009250
CC	4	0.0004074	0.0003050	0.0002200
PF	4	0.0002062	0.0001750	0.0001750

an (
PF	4 0.0002062	0.0001750	0.00017

Test	r Katio	DERUM	DrDen	1100 - F
O'Brien[.5]	1.5420	2	9	0.2656
Brown-Forsythe	1.7772	2	9	0.2236
Levene	3.6518	2	9	0.0690
Bartlett	4.2310	2		0.0145*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 509.2147
 2
 4.8423
 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Mg



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.363612
Adj Rsquare	0.222192
Root Mean Square Error	0.012916
Mean of Response	0.149767
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00085781	0.000429	2.5712	0.1308
Error	9	0.00150132	0.000167		
C. Total	11	0.00235913			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.159300	0.00646	0.14469	0.17391
CC	4	0.151250	0.00646	0.13664	0.16586
PF	4	0.138750	0.00646	0.12414	0.15336

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means	and	Std	Deviations	

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.159300	0.020703	0.01035	0.12636	0.19224
CC	4	0.151250	0.002217	0.00111	0.14772	0.15478
PF	4	0.138750	0.008180	0.00409	0.12573	0.15177

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0207028	0.0154500	0.0116500
CC	4	0.0022174	0.0017500	0.0017500
PF	4	0.0081803	0.0058750	0.0047500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.2298	2	9	0.3372
Brown-Forsythe	0.7659	2	9	0.4930
Levene	4.4885	2	9	0.0445*
Bartlett	4.5648	2		0.0104*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 4.0853 2 4.3144 0.1010

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.069612
Adj Rsquare	-0.13714
Root Mean Square Error	0.491203
Mean of Response	5.835542
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.1624745	0.081237	0.3367	0.7228
Error	9	2.1715224	0.241280		
C. Total	11	2.3339969			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	5.98413	0.24560	5.4285	6.5397
CC	4	5.70000	0.24560	5.1444	6.2556
PF	4	5.82250	0.24560	5.2669	6.3781

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	5.98413	0.755479	0.37774	4.7820	7.1863
CC	4	5.70000	0.066833	0.03342	5.5937	5.8063
PF	4	5.82250	0.385519	0.19276	5.2091	6.4359

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.7554794	0.5634875	0.4285750
CC	4	0.0668331	0.0500000	0.0500000

u	4 0.0008331	0.0500000	0.0500000
PF	4 0.3855191	0.2837500	0.2225000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob >	F

1.1034	2	9	0.3728
0.7391	2	9	0.5044
4.1788	2	9	0.0520
4.7200	2		0.0089*
	1.1034 0.7391 4.1788 4.7200	1.1034 2 0.7391 2 4.1788 2 4.7200 2	1.1034 2 9 0.7391 2 9 4.1788 2 9 4.7200 2 .

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum

 DFPon
 Prob > F

 0.4047
 2
 4.1467

 0.6909
 0.6909

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Na



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.039248
Adj Rsquare	-0.12088
Root Mean Square Error	1.432819
Mean of Response	15.5508
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	8

t Test

CC-AR Assuming equal variances

Difference	-0.5016	t Ratio	-0.49509
Std Err Dif	1.0132	DF	6
Upper CL Dif	1.9775	Prob > t	0.6381
Lower CL Dif	-2.9807	Prob > t	0.6809
Confidence	0.95	Prob < t	0.3191

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	1	0.503205	0.50321	0.2451	0.6381	
Error	6	12.317830	2.05297			
C. Total	7	12.821035				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	15.8016	0.71641	14.049	17.555
CC	4	15.3000	0.71641	13.547	17.053

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	15.8016	2.02302	1.0115	12.583	19.021
CC	4	15.3000	0.11547	0.0577	15.116	15.484

t Test CC-AR Assuming unequal variances

Difference	-0.5016	t Ratio	-0.49509
Std Err Dif	1.0132	DF	3.019547
Upper CL Dif	2.7109	Prob > t	0.6543
Lower CL Dif	-3.7141	Prob > t	0.6728
Confidence	0.95	Prob < t	0.3272

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	2.023020	1.511900	1.119050
CC	4	0.115470	0.100000	0.100000

0.100000	0.100000
0.100000	0.100000

Test	F Patia	DFNum	DEDon	n Valua
rest	г капо	DENUM	DrDen	p-value

O'Brien[.5]	1.4515	1	6	0.2737
Brown-Forsythe	1.1755	1	6	0.3199
Levene	7.6318	1	6	0.0327*
Bartlett	11.1777	1		0.0008*
F Test 2-sided	306.9457	3	3	0.0006*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

0.2451 1 3.0195 0.6543

t Test 0.4951

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Ni



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.149773
Adj Rsquare	-0.03917
Root Mean Square Error	0.06298
Mean of Response	0.75175
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00628850	0.003144	0.7927	0.4818
Error	9	0.03569845	0.003966		
C. Total	11	0.04198695			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.763500	0.03149	0.69226	0.83474
CC	4	0.719750	0.03149	0.64851	0.79099
PF	4	0.772000	0.03149	0.70076	0.84324

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations	Means	and	Std	Deviations	
--------------------------	-------	-----	-----	------------	--

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.763500	0.096871	0.04844	0.60936	0.91764
CC	4	0.719750	0.006994	0.00350	0.70862	0.73088
PF	4	0.772000	0.049666	0.02483	0.69297	0.85103

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0968705	0.0722000	0.0555500
CC	4	0.0069940	0.0057500	0.0057500
PF	4	0.0496655	0.0360000	0.0290000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

O'Brien[.5]	1.1115	2	9	0.3703
Brown-Forsythe	0.7953	2	9	0.4808
Levene	4.1808	2	9	0.0520
Bartlett	5.2381	2		0.0053*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

2.1999 2 4.0981 0.2244

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,





Rsquare0Adj Rsquare0Root Mean Square Error0.078236Mean of Response0.92475Observations (or Sum Wgts)4

Analysis of Variance

 Source
 DF
 Sum of Squares
 Mean Square
 F Ratio
 Prob > F

 Prep
 0
 0.00000000
 .
 .
 .

 Error
 3
 0.01836275
 0.006121
 .
 .

 C. Total
 3
 0.01836275
 .
 .
 .

Means for Oneway Anova

 Level
 Number
 Mean
 Std Error
 Lower 95%
 Upper 95%

 PF
 4
 0.924750
 0.03912
 0.80026
 1.0492

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
PF	4	0.924750	0.078236	0.03912	0.80026	1.0492

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Th



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.898185
Adj Rsquare	0.87556
Root Mean Square Error	0.060427
Mean of Response	0.447125
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.28990838	0.144954	39.6980	<.0001*
Error	9	0.03286285	0.003651		
C. Total	11	0.32277122			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.592875	0.03021	0.52453	0.66122
CC	4	0.231750	0.03021	0.16340	0.30010
PF	4	0.516750	0.03021	0.44840	0.58510

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.592875	0.075023	0.03751	0.47350	0.71225
CC	4	0.231750	0.066855	0.03343	0.12537	0.33813
PF	4	0.516750	0.029262	0.01463	0.47019	0.56331

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0750230	0.0559625	0.0420750
CC	4	0.0668549	0.0472500	0.0472500
PF	4	0.0292617	0.0203750	0.0192500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	0.5803	2	9	0.5794
Brown-Forsythe	0.3839	2	9	0.6918
Levene	1.2712	2	9	0.3264
Bartlett	1.0653	2		0.3446

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

31.0781 2 5.041 0.0015*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

0.359441
0.217095
0.000917
0.01085
12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00000424	2.1225e-6	2.5251	0.1347
Error	9	0.00000757	8.4056e-7		
C. Total	11	0.00001181			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.010675	0.00046	0.00964	0.01171
CC	4	0.010225	0.00046	0.00919	0.01126
PF	4	0.011650	0.00046	0.01061	0.01269

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.010675	0.001357	0.00068	0.00852	0.01283
CC	4	0.010225	0.000096	4.79e-5	0.01007	0.01038
PF	4	0.011650	0.000819	0.00041	0.01035	0.01295

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level Count Std Dev MeanAbsDif to Mean MeanAbsDif to Median AR 4 0.0013574 0.0010125 0.0007750

7110	+ 0.001557+	0.0010125	0.0007750
CC	4 0.0000957	0.0000750	0.0000750
PF	4 0.0008185	0.0005750	0.0005500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.0139	2	9	0.4008
Brown-Forsythe	0.8130	2	9	0.4736
Levene	3.7378	2	9	0.0658
Bartlett	5.1741	2		0.0057*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 2 4.0735 0.0733 5.3110

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, $Element{=}U$



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.233301
Adj Rsquare	0.062924
Root Mean Square Error	0.196296
Mean of Response	2.197675
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	2	0.10552574	0.052763	1.3693	0.3026	
Error	9	0.34678963	0.038532			
C. Total	11	0.45231536				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	2.32303	0.09815	2.1010	2.5451
CC	4	2.17250	0.09815	1.9505	2.3945
PF	4	2.09750	0.09815	1.8755	2.3195

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	2.32303	0.304653	0.15233	1.8383	2.8078
CC	4	2.17250	0.017078	0.00854	2.1453	2.1997
PF	4	2.09750	0.149972	0.07499	1.8589	2.3361

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.3046526	0.2273875	0.1706250
CC	4	0.0170783	0.0125000	0.0125000
PF	4	0.1499722	0.1075000	0.1075000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F O'Brien[.5] 1.1484 2 9 0.3596 Brown-Forsythe 0.8920 2 2 9 0.4432 4.4829 9 0.0446* Levene 5.9351 2 0.0026* Bartlett .

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

0.8477 2 4.0636 0.4924

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Zr

0.12 0.11 0.09 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 AR CC Prep

Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.295904
Adj Rsquare	0.178554
Root Mean Square Error	0.016053
Mean of Response	0.091713
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	8

t Test CC-AR

- -

Assuming equal variances

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	1	0.00064980	0.000650	2.5216	0.1634
Error	6	0.00154619	0.000258		
C. Total	7	0.00219599			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.082700	0.00803	0.06306	0.10234
CC	4	0.100725	0.00803	0.08108	0.12037

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.082700	0.022635	0.01132	0.04668	0.11872
CC	4	0.100725	0.001742	0.00087	0.09795	0.10350

t Test CC-AR

Assuming unequal variances

Difference	0.01803	t Ratio	1.587943
Std Err Dif	0.01135	DF	3.03555
Upper CL Dif	0.05391	Prob > t	0.2094
Lower CL Dif	-0.01786	Prob > t	0.1047
Confidence	0.95	Prob < t	0.8953

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0226354	0.0156500	0.0143000
CC	4	0.0017424	0.0012750	0.0012750

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	p-Value
O'Brien[.5]	1.7622	1	6	0.2326
Brown-Forsythe	2.5579	1	6	0.1609
Levene	4.4281	1	6	0.0800
Bartlett	9.6533	1		0.0019*
F Test 2-sided	168.7708	3	3	0.0015*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

2.5216 1 3.0355 0.2094

t Test 1.5879

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Al



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.968716
Adj Rsquare	0.961764
Root Mean Square Error	0.054924
Mean of Response	5.233333
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.84071667	0.420358	139.3453	<.0001*
Error	9	0.02715000	0.003017		
C. Total	11	0.86786667			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	4.87250	0.02746	4.8104	4.9346
CC	4	5.32750	0.02746	5.2654	5.3896
PF	4	5.50000	0.02746	5.4379	5.5621

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	4.87250	0.060759	0.03038	4.7758	4.9692
CC	4	5.32750	0.048563	0.02428	5.2502	5.4048
PF	4	5.50000	0.054772	0.02739	5.4128	5.5872

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0607591	0.0437500	0.0375000
CC	4	0.0485627	0.0375000	0.0375000
PF	4	0.0547723	0.0400000	0.0350000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	0.0843	2	9	0.9199
Brown-Forsythe	0.0053	2	9	0.9947
Levene	0.0477	2	9	0.9537
Bartlett	0.0651	2		0.9370

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

111.1345 2 5.9499 <.0001*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.731362
Adj Rsquare	0.671664
Root Mean Square Error	0.018598
Mean of Response	0.039416
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00847516	0.004238	12.2512	0.0027*
Error	9	0.00311303	0.000346		
C. Total	11	0.01158818			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.002248	0.00930	-0.0188	0.02328
CC	4	0.062825	0.00930	0.0418	0.08386
PF	4	0.053175	0.00930	0.0321	0.07421

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations						
Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.002248	0.001402	0.00070	1.7e-5	0.00448
CC	4	0.062825	0.032141	0.01607	0.01168	0.11397
PF	4	0.053175	0.001624	0.00081	0.05059	0.05576

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0014017	0.0010513	0.0007075
CC	4	0.0321415	0.0249250	0.0249250

CC	4 0.0321415	0.0249250	0.0249250
PF	4 0.0016235	0.0011750	0.0011750

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	2.9880	2	9	0.1011
Prown Ecroutho	10 7002	2	0	0.00/11*

Brown-Forsythe	10.7992	2	9	0.0041*
Levene	11.0089	2	9	0.0038*
Bartlett	11.6973	2		<.0001*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 1004.1010 2 5.2997 <.0001* Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Ca



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.87596
Adj Rsquare	0.848396
Root Mean Square Error	0.035434
Mean of Response	1.185
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.07980000	0.039900	31.7788	<.0001*
Error	9	0.01130000	0.001256		
C. Total	11	0.09110000			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	1.12000	0.01772	1.0799	1.1601
CC	4	1.13500	0.01772	1.0949	1.1751
PF	4	1.30000	0.01772	1.2599	1.3401

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and	Std	Deviations	
-----------	-----	------------	--

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	1.12000	0.008165	0.00408	1.1070	1.1330
CC	4	1.13500	0.012910	0.00645	1.1145	1.1555
PF	4	1.30000	0.059442	0.02972	1.2054	1.3946

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0081650	0.0050000	0.0050000
CC	4	0.0129099	0.0100000	0.0100000
PF	4	0.0594418	0.0450000	0.0450000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	2.3212	2	9	0.1538
Brown-Forsythe	4.7500	2	9	0.0391*
Levene	6.3333	2	9	0.0192*
Bartlett	5.1217	2		0.0060*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 16.9467 2 5.0749 0.0057*

SRNL-STI-2013-00096, REVISION 0

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.797764
Adj Rsquare	0.752823
Root Mean Square Error	0.004532
Mean of Response	0.10005
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00072926	0.000365	17.7512	0.0008*
Error	9	0.00018487	0.000021		
C. Total	11	0.00091413			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.092400	0.00227	0.08727	0.09753
CC	4	0.097000	0.00227	0.09187	0.10213
PF	4	0.110750	0.00227	0.10562	0.11588

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.092400	0.000392	0.00020	0.09178	0.09302
CC	4	0.097000	0.000744	0.00037	0.09582	0.09818
PF	4	0.110750	0.007805	0.00390	0.09833	0.12317

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0003916	0.0003000	0.0003000
CC	4	0.0007439	0.0005500	0.0004500

00	1 010007105	0.00000000	0.000 1000
PF	4 0.0078049	0.0056250	0.0052500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.7090	2	9	0.2349
Brown-Forsythe	2.7423	2	9	0.1175
Levene	5.7289	2	9	0.0248*
Bartlett	9.7000	2		<.0001*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 61.2868
 2
 4.8262
 0.0004*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Cu



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.99935
Adj Rsquare	0.999206
Root Mean Square Error	0.000359
Mean of Response	0.032967
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00178393	0.000892	6920.405	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00000116	1.289e-7		
C. Total	11	0.00178509			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.050200	0.00018	0.04979	0.05061
CC	4	0.023850	0.00018	0.02344	0.02426
PF	4	0.024850	0.00018	0.02444	0.02526

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means	and	Std	Deviations
-------	-----	-----	------------

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.050200	0.000271	0.00014	0.04977	0.05063
CC	4	0.023850	0.000451	0.00023	0.02313	0.02457
PF	4	0.024850	0.000332	0.00017	0.02432	0.02538

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0002708	0.0002000	0.0001500
CC	4	0.0004509	0.0003250	0.0003000
PF	4	0.0003317	0.0002250	0.0002000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F O'Brien[.5] 0.3921 2 9 0.6866 Brown-Forsythe 0.3043 2 9 0.7449 Levene 0.4200 2 9 0.6693 2 0.3482 Bartlett 0.7060 .

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 8191.1162 2 5.7841 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.837349
Adj Rsquare	0.801205
Root Mean Square Error	0.122474
Mean of Response	17.35
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.69500000	0.347500	23.1667	0.0003*
Error	9	0.13500000	0.015000		
C. Total	11	0.83000000			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	17.1000	0.06124	16.961	17.239
CC	4	17.6750	0.06124	17.536	17.814
PF	4	17.2750	0.06124	17.136	17.414

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	17.1000	0.081650	0.04082	16.970	17.230
CC	4	17.6750	0.050000	0.02500	17.595	17.755
PF	4	17.2750	0.189297	0.09465	16.974	17.576

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0816497	0.0500000	0.0500000
CC	4	0.0500000	0.0375000	0.0250000
PF	4	0.1892969	0.1375000	0.1250000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F	

1.2436	2	9	0.3335
1.1471	2	9	0.3600
2.4429	2	9	0.1421
2.2626	2		0.1041
	1.2436 1.1471 2.4429 2.2626	1.2436 2 1.1471 2 2.4429 2 2.2626 2	1.2436 2 9 1.1471 2 9 2.4429 2 9 2.2626 2 .

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 66.6726 2 5.1654 0.0002*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=K



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.985779
Adj Rsquare	0.982618
Root Mean Square Error	0.01254
Mean of Response	0.15515
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.09810416	0.049052	311.9226	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00141531	0.000157		
C. Total	11	0.09951947			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.089275	0.00627	0.07509	0.10346
CC	4	0.093175	0.00627	0.07899	0.10736
PF	4	0.283000	0.00627	0.26882	0.29718

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means	and	Std	Deviations
-------	-----	-----	------------

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.089275	0.003753	0.00188	0.08330	0.09525
CC	4	0.093175	0.011706	0.00585	0.07455	0.11180
PF	4	0.283000	0.017907	0.00895	0.25451	0.31149

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0037527	0.0025750	0.0025750
CC	4	0.0117057	0.0084125	0.0076750
PF	4	0.0179072	0.0130000	0.0105000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	0.9456	2	9	0.4239
Brown-Forsythe	0.6561	2	9	0.5420
Levene	2.2871	2	9	0.1573
Bartlett	2.4015	2		0.0906

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 195.6110 2 4.5197 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.995962
Adj Rsquare	0.995065
Root Mean Square Error	0.000255
Mean of Response	0.008498
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00014458	0.000072	1109.981	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00000059	6.513e-8		
C. Total	11	0.00014517			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.006278	0.00013	0.00599	0.00657
CC	4	0.005818	0.00013	0.00553	0.00611
PF	4	0.013400	0.00013	0.01311	0.01369

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.006278	0.000090	4.5e-5	0.00613	0.00642
CC	4	0.005818	0.000392	0.00020	0.00519	0.00644
PF	4	0.013400	0.000183	9.13e-5	0.01311	0.01369

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0000900	0.0000675	0.0000675
CC	4	0.0003924	0.0002625	0.0002625

00	1 0.0003721	0.0002025	0.0002025
PF	4 0.0001826	0.0001500	0.0001500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.4758	2	9	0.2790
Brown-Forsythe	1.6351	2	9	0.2479
Levene	1.7050	2	9	0.2356
Bartlett	2.4757	2		0.0841

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 2193.5015
 2
 4.8996
 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Mg



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.873135
Adj Rsquare	0.844943
Root Mean Square Error	0.003236
Mean of Response	0.167917
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00064867	0.000324	30.9708	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00009425	0.000010		
C. Total	11	0.00074292			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.163750	0.00162	0.16009	0.16741
CC	4	0.178250	0.00162	0.17459	0.18191
PF	4	0.161750	0.00162	0.15809	0.16541

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means	and	Std	Deviations
-------	-----	-----	------------

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.163750	0.000500	0.00025	0.16295	0.16455
CC	4	0.178250	0.001893	0.00095	0.17524	0.18126
PF	4	0.161750	0.005252	0.00263	0.15339	0.17011

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0005000	0.0003750	0.0002500
CC	4	0.0018930	0.0013750	0.0012500
PF	4	0.0052520	0.0037500	0.0037500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.7460	2	9	0.2287
Brown-Forsythe	2.9068	2	9	0.1062
Levene	3.6213	2	9	0.0702
Bartlett	5.0154	2		0.0066*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 2 4.2931 0.0003* 95.4909

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Mn



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.975868
Adj Rsquare	0.970506
Root Mean Square Error	0.046518
Mean of Response	6.4425
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.78755000	0.393775	181.9756	<.0001*
Error	9	0.01947500	0.002164		
C. Total	11	0.80702500			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	6.09500	0.02326	6.0424	6.1476
CC	4	6.70500	0.02326	6.6524	6.7576
PF	4	6.52750	0.02326	6.4749	6.5801

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	6.09500	0.019149	0.00957	6.0645	6.1255
CC	4	6.70500	0.033166	0.01658	6.6522	6.7578
PF	4	6.52750	0.070887	0.03544	6.4147	6.6403

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0191485	0.0150000	0.0150000
CC	4	0.0331662	0.0225000	0.0200000
PF	4	0.0708872	0.0487500	0.0425000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

O'Brien[.5]	1.2645	2	9	0.3281
Brown-Forsythe	0.7305	2	9	0.5082
Levene	1.6051	2	9	0.2534
Bartlett	2.1025	2		0.1222

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 475.0577 2 5.1134 <.0001*

64

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Na





Rsquare	0.960073
Adj Rsquare	0.953418
Root Mean Square Error	0.0677
Mean of Response	17.1875
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	8

t Test

CC-AR Assuming equal variances

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	1	0.66125000	0.661250	144.2727	<.0001*	
Error	6	0.02750000	0.004583			
C. Total	7	0.68875000				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	16.9000	0.03385	16.817	16.983
CC	4	17.4750	0.03385	17.392	17.558

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	16.9000	0.081650	0.04082	16.770	17.030
CC	4	17.4750	0.050000	0.02500	17.395	17.555

t Test CC-AR Assuming unequal variances

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0816497	0.0500000	0.0500000
CC	4	0.0500000	0.0375000	0.0250000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	n-Value
1030	r mano	DITTUM	DIDU	p - r a

O'Brien[.5]	0.6316	1	6	0.4571
Brown-Forsythe	0.4286	1	6	0.5370
Levene	0.1579	1	6	0.7049
Bartlett	0.5951	1		0.4404
F Test 2-sided	2.6667	3	3	0.4419

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 144.2727
 1
 4.9726
 <.0001*</td>

t Test 12.0114

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Ni



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.932924
Adj Rsquare	0.918019
Root Mean Square Error	0.007339
Mean of Response	0.825583
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00674217	0.003371	62.5884	<.0001*
Error	9	0.00048475	0.000054		
C. Total	11	0.00722692			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.820000	0.00367	0.81170	0.82830
CC	4	0.857000	0.00367	0.84870	0.86530
PF	4	0.799750	0.00367	0.79145	0.80805

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Stu Deviations	Means	and	Std	Deviations
--------------------------	-------	-----	-----	------------

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.820000	0.003742	0.00187	0.81405	0.82595
CC	4	0.857000	0.007528	0.00376	0.84502	0.86898
PF	4	0.799750	0.009535	0.00477	0.78458	0.81492

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0037417	0.0025000	0.0025000
CC	4	0.0075277	0.0055000	0.0055000
PF	4	0.0095350	0.0067500	0.0067500

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

0.8409	2	9	0.4626
1.0887	2	9	0.3772
1.0974	2	9	0.3745
1.0099	2		0.3642
	0.8409 1.0887 1.0974 1.0099	0.8409 2 1.0887 2 1.0974 2 1.0099 2	0.8409 2 9 1.0887 2 9 1.0974 2 9 1.0099 2 .

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 47.4944
 2
 5.1526
 0.0005*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

Rsquare0Adj Rsquare0Root Mean Square Error0.014583Mean of Response0.007Observations (or Sum Wgts)4

Analysis of Variance

 Source
 DF
 Sum of Squares
 Mean Square
 F Ratio
 Prob > F

 Prep
 0
 0.00000000
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .

Means for Oneway Anova

 Level
 Number
 Mean
 Std Error
 Lower 95%
 Upper 95%

 PF
 4
 0.907000
 0.00729
 0.88380
 0.93020

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
PF	4	0.907000	0.014583	0.00729	0.88380	0.93020

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Th



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.659264
Adj Rsquare	0.583545
Root Mean Square Error	0.020165
Mean of Response	0.603
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00708050	0.003540	8.7067	0.0079*
Error	9	0.00365950	0.000407		
C. Total	11	0.01074000			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.637000	0.01008	0.61419	0.65981
CC	4	0.581750	0.01008	0.55894	0.60456
PF	4	0.590250	0.01008	0.56744	0.61306

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and	Std	Deviations	
-----------	-----	------------	--

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.637000	0.003916	0.00196	0.63077	0.64323
CC	4	0.581750	0.021453	0.01073	0.54761	0.61589
PF	4	0.590250	0.027281	0.01364	0.54684	0.63366

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0039158	0.0030000	0.0030000
CC	4	0.0214534	0.0148750	0.0147500
PF	4	0.0272809	0.0197500	0.0197500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.0739	2	9	0.3817
Brown-Forsythe	1.7810	2	9	0.2230
Levene	2.2679	2	9	0.1594
Bartlett	3.3307	2		0.0358*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 15.6802
 2
 4.2068
 0.0112*

SRNL-STI-2013-00096, REVISION 0

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT,



Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.506871
Adj Rsquare	0.397286
Root Mean Square Error	0.001221
Mean of Response	0.012508
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00001380	0.0000069	4.6254	0.0415*
Error	9	0.00001343	1.4919e-6		
C. Total	11	0.00002723			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.011525	0.00061	0.01014	0.01291
CC	4	0.012000	0.00061	0.01062	0.01338
PF	4	0.014000	0.00061	0.01262	0.01538

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.011525	0.000050	2.5e-5	0.01145	0.01160
CC	4	0.012000	0.000673	0.00034	0.01093	0.01307
PF	4	0.014000	0.002005	0.00100	0.01081	0.01719

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0000500	0.0000375	0.0000250
CC	4	0.0006733	0.0005000	0.0004000
PF	4	0.0020050	0.0015000	0.0015000

ost	E Datia DEN	um DEDan Duah S.E.	
F	4 0.0020050	0.0015000	0.0015000
<u> </u>	1 010000700	0.0000000	0.000.000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	2.0959	2	9	0.1789
Brown-Forsythe	4.2678	2	9	0.0497*
Levene	5.8789	2	9	0.0233*
Bartlett	8.6115	2		0.0002*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 3.4566
 2
 4.0244
 0.1337

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=U



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.757891
Adj Rsquare	0.704089
Root Mean Square Error	0.098531
Mean of Response	2.520833
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	12

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	2	0.27351667	0.136758	14.0867	0.0017*	
Error	9	0.08737500	0.009708			
C. Total	11	0.36089167				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	2.63500	0.04927	2.5236	2.7464
CC	4	2.30750	0.04927	2.1961	2.4189
PF	4	2.62000	0.04927	2.5086	2.7314

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	2.63500	0.012910	0.00645	2.6145	2.6555
CC	4	2.30750	0.120381	0.06019	2.1159	2.4991
PF	4	2.62000	0.120277	0.06014	2.4286	2.8114

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0129099	0.0100000	0.0100000
CC	4	0.1203813	0.0825000	0.0825000
PF	4	0.1202775	0.0950000	0.0950000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F O'Brien[.5] 1.2265 2 9 0.3380 Brown-Forsythe 3.0119 2 9 0.0997 Levene 3.2061 2 9 0.0889

Levene	5.2001	2	9	0.0005
Bartlett	4.2659	2		0.0140

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

12.5983 2 4.0905 0.0178*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=RECEIPT, Element=Zr



Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.980382
Adj Rsquare	0.977113
Root Mean Square Error	0.008032
Mean of Response	0.094075
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	8

t Test

CC-AR Assuming equal variances

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	1	0.01934544	0.019345	299.8442	<.0001*	
Error	6	0.00038711	0.000065			
C. Total	7	0.01973255				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.044900	0.00402	0.03507	0.05473
CC	4	0.143250	0.00402	0.13342	0.15308

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	4	0.044900	0.011230	0.00562	0.02703	0.06277
CC	4	0.143250	0.001708	0.00085	0.14053	0.14597

t Test CC-AR Assuming unequal variances

Difference	0.098350	t Ratio	17.31601
Std Err Dif	0.005680	DF	3.138683
Upper CL Dif	0.115982	Prob > t	0.0003*
Lower CL Dif	0.080718	Prob > t	0.0002*
Confidence	0.95	Prob < t	0.9998

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	4	0.0112303	0.0080500	0.0077000
CC	4	0.0017078	0.0012500	0.0012500

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen p-Value

				•
O'Brien[.5]	1.7043	1	6	0.2396
Brown-Forsythe	2.3639	1	6	0.1751
Levene	4.5613	1	6	0.0766
Bartlett	6.2389	1		0.0125*
F Test 2-sided	43.2411	3	3	0.0115*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 299.8442 1 3.1387 0.0003*

t Test

17.3160

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Al



Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.339274

 Adj Rsquare
 0.174092

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.190422

 Mean of Response
 4.509673

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.14895448	0.074477	2.0539	0.1906
Error	8	0.29008443	0.036261		
C. Total	10	0.43903890			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	4.40213	0.10994	4.1486	4.6557
CC	4	4.43750	0.09521	4.2179	4.6571
PF	4	4.66250	0.09521	4.4429	4.8821

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	4.40213	0.066085	0.03815	4.2380	4.5663
CC	4	4.43750	0.018930	0.00946	4.4074	4.4676
PF	4	4.66250	0.305655	0.15283	4.1761	5.1489

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0660849	0.0493778	0.0529333
CC	4	0.0189297	0.0137500	0.0125000
PF	4	0.3056550	0.2237500	0.1775000
Test		F Ratio	DFNum DFDen Prot	o > F

O'Brien[.5	5]	1.2666	2	8	0.3327		
Brown-Fo	orsythe	1.1684	2	8	0.3588		
Levene	4	4.8289	2	8	0.0421*		
Bartlett	(5.4949	2		0.0015*		
Warning:	Small sar	nple size	s. Use Cautio	n.			
Welch's	Гest						
Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal							
F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F				
1.2599	2	3.436	0.3887				

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=B





 Rsquare
 0.950796

 Adj Rsquare
 0.938496

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.016761

 Mean of Response
 0.062627

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.04343046	0.021715	77.2950	<.0001*
Error	8	0.00224752	0.000281		
C. Total	10	0.04567798			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.015200	0.00968	-0.0071	0.03752
CC	4	0.145750	0.00838	0.1264	0.16508
PF	4	0.015075	0.00838	-0.0043	0.03440

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.015200	0.000529	0.00031	0.01389	0.01651
CC	4	0.145750	0.027366	0.01368	0.10220	0.18930
PF	4	0.015075	0.000263	0.00013	0.01466	0.01549

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0005292	0.0004000	0.0004000
CC	4	0.0273663	0.0202500	0.0202500
PF	4	0.0002630	0.0002250	0.0002250

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

O'Brien[.5]	2.0579	2	8	0.1901
Brown-Forsythe	6.6111	2	8	0.0202*
Levene	6.6810	2	8	0.0197*
Bartlett	15.2559	2		<.0001*

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 38.8774
 2
 3.824

 0.0029*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Ca



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.891232

 Adj Rsquare
 0.86404

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.048582

 Mean of Response
 1.016336

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.15471317	0.077357	32.7755	0.0001*
Error	8	0.01888158	0.002360		
C. Total	10	0.17359475			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.98623	0.02805	0.9216	1.0509
CC	4	0.89025	0.02429	0.8342	0.9463
PF	4	1.16500	0.02429	1.1090	1.2210

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.98623	0.019090	0.01102	0.9388	1.0337
CC	4	0.89025	0.023472	0.01174	0.8529	0.9276
PF	4	1.16500	0.074162	0.03708	1.0470	1.2830

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0190896	0.0133778	0.0179333
CC	4	0.0234716	0.0162500	0.0162500
PF	4	0.0741620	0.0500000	0.0500000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F			
O'Brien[.5]	1.3958	2	8	0.3020			
Brown-Forsythe	1.5213	2	8	0.2755			
Levene	1.7172	2	8	0.2396			
Bartlett	2.3701	2		0.0935			
Warning: Small s	sample siz	zes. Use C	aution.				
Welch's Test							
Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal							
F Ratio DFNun	n DFDei	1 Prob>	F				
31.0665	2 5.0593	3 0.0014	! *				

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Cr





Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.568428

 Adj Rsquare
 0.460536

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.003705

 Mean of Response
 0.87364

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00014461	0.000072	5.2685	0.0347*
Error	8	0.00010979	0.000014		
C. Total	10	0.00025441			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.082833	0.00214	0.07790	0.08777
CC	4	0.086325	0.00185	0.08205	0.09060
PF	4	0.091800	0.00185	0.08753	0.09607

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.082833	0.001429	0.00083	0.07928	0.08638
CC	4	0.086325	0.000768	0.00038	0.08510	0.08755
PF	4	0.091800	0.005886	0.00294	0.08243	0.10117

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0014295	0.0010444	0.0012333
CC	4	0.0007676	0.0005625	0.0004750
PF	4	0.0058861	0.0040500	0.0036500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.4103	2	8	0.2988
Brown-Forsythe	1.5229	2	8	0.2751
Levene	2.8047	2	8	0.1194
Bartlett	4.4630	2		0.0115*

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 8.1041
 2
 3.9439
 0.0401*
Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Cu



Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.978602

 Adj Rsquare
 0.973253

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.00142

 Mean of Response
 0.28073

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00073730	0.000369	182.9340	<.0001*
Error	8	0.00001612	2.015e-6		
C. Total	10	0.00075342			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.041267	0.00082	0.03938	0.04316
CC	4	0.021575	0.00071	0.01994	0.02321
PF	4	0.024675	0.00071	0.02304	0.02631

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.041267	0.000651	0.00038	0.03965	0.04288
CC	4	0.021575	0.001097	0.00055	0.01983	0.02332
PF	4	0.024675	0.001972	0.00099	0.02154	0.02781

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0006506	0.0004444	0.0006333
CC	4	0.0010966	0.0008125	0.0006250
PF	4	0.0019721	0.0013750	0.0013750

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F	
O'Brien[.5]	0.9557	2	8	0.4245	
Brown-Forsythe	0.7900	2	8	0.4863	
Levene	1.1661	2	8	0.3594	
Bartlett	1.1491	2		0.3169	

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 432.7252
 2
 5.1657
 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Fe



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.428394
Adj Rsquare	0.285492
Root Mean Square Error	0.663241
Mean of Response	15.30981
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	2.6374224	1.31871	2.9978	0.1068
Error	8	3.5191120	0.43989		
C. Total	10	6.1565344			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	14.8360	0.38292	13.953	15.719
CC	4	15.0250	0.33162	14.260	15.790
PF	4	15.9500	0.33162	15.185	16.715

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	14.8360	0.24659	0.14237	14.223	15.449
CC	4	15.0250	0.09574	0.04787	14.873	15.177
PF	4	15.9500	1.05987	0.52994	14.264	17.636

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.246589	0.1834222	0.2010667
CC	4	0.095743	0.0750000	0.0750000
PF	4	1.059874	0.7750000	0.6000000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.2546	2	8	0.3358
Brown-Forsythe	0.9622	2	8	0.4222
Levene	4.4597	2	8	0.0500*
Bartlett	5.4353	2		0.0044*

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test
 Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum
 DFDen
 Prob > F

 1.9995
 2
 3.62
 0.2600

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=K





 Rsquare
 0.99889

 Adj Rsquare
 0.998812

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.003344

 Mean of Response
 0.161164

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.08050957	0.040255	3599.131	<.0001*
Error	8	0.00008948	0.000011		
C. Total	10	0.08059905			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.081933	0.00193	0.07748	0.08639
CC	4	0.108250	0.00167	0.10439	0.11211
PF	4	0.273500	0.00167	0.26964	0.27736

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.081933	0.001365	0.00079	0.07854	0.08532
CC	4	0.108250	0.000957	0.00048	0.10673	0.10977
PF	4	0.273500	0.005260	0.00263	0.26513	0.28187

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0013650	0.0010444	0.0009333
CC	4	0.0009574	0.0007500	0.0007500
PF	4	0.0052599	0.0045000	0.0045000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F O'Brien[.5] 15.1189 2 8 0.0019* Brown-Forsythe 22.3295 2 8 0.0005* Levene 45.4653 2 8 <0001*</td>

Levene	45.4055	2	0	<.0001
Bartlett	3.5667	2		0.0282

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 2169.2404
 2
 4.3244

 <0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Li





Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.993041

 Adj Rsquare
 0.991302

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.000366

 Mean of Response
 0.010324

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00015300	0.000077	570.8146	<.0001*
Error	8	0.00000107	1.34e-7		
C. Total	10	0.00015407			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.013167	0.00021	0.01268	0.01365
CC	4	0.005390	0.00018	0.00497	0.00581
PF	4	0.013125	0.00018	0.01270	0.01355

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.013167	0.000473	0.00027	0.01199	0.01434
CC	4	0.005390	0.000407	0.00020	0.00474	0.00604
PF	4	0.013125	0.000206	0.00010	0.01280	0.01345

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0004726	0.0003556	0.0003667
CC	4	0.0004074	0.0003050	0.0002200
PF	4	0.0002062	0.0001750	0.0001750

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	0.6176	2	8	0.5631
Brown-Forsythe	0.4269	2	8	0.6666
Levene	1.2694	2	8	0.3320
Bartlett	0.7593	2		0.4680

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio
 DFNum

 DFDen
 Prob > F

 506.9765
 2
 4.0854

 <.0001*</td>

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Mg



Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.603508

 Adj Rsquare
 0.504386

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.005341

 Mean of Response
 0.146091

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.00034741	0.000174	6.0885	0.0247*
Error	8	0.00022824	0.000029		
C. Total	10	0.00057565			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.149000	0.00308	0.14189	0.15611
CC	4	0.151250	0.00267	0.14509	0.15741
PF	4	0.138750	0.00267	0.13259	0.14491

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.149000	0.002524	0.00146	0.14273	0.15527
CC	4	0.151250	0.002217	0.00111	0.14772	0.15478
PF	4	0.138750	0.008180	0.00409	0.12573	0.15177

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0025239	0.0018000	0.0023000
CC	4	0.0022174	0.0017500	0.0017500
PF	4	0.0081803	0.0058750	0.0047500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.1627	2	8	0.3604
Brown-Forsythe	0.5941	2	8	0.5747
Levene	2.5140	2	8	0.1422
Bartlett	2.4353	2		0.0876

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not EqualF RatioDFNumDFDenProb > F4.070524.70030.0942

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Mn



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.144789
Adj Rsquare	-0.06901
Root Mean Square Error	0.244462
Mean of Response	5.719582
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.08094213	0.040471	0.6772	0.5349
Error	8	0.47809217	0.059762		
C. Total	10	0.55903430			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	5.60847	0.14114	5.2830	5.9339
CC	4	5.70000	0.12223	5.4181	5.9819
PF	4	5.82250	0.12223	5.5406	6.1044

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	5.60847	0.096998	0.05600	5.3675	5.8494
CC	4	5.70000	0.066833	0.03342	5.5937	5.8063
PF	4	5.82250	0.385519	0.19276	5.2091	6.4359

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0969979	0.0705556	0.0846667
CC	4	0.0668331	0.0500000	0.0500000
PF	4	0.3855191	0.2837500	0.2225000

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

O Brien[.5]	1.1890	2	ð	0.3531
Brown-Forsythe	0.7906	2	8	0.4860
Levene	3.9517	2	8	0.0640
Bartlett	3.7259	2		0.0241*
Dartiett	5.1257	4	•	0.02-

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 1.0973
 2
 4.294
 0.4121

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Na



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

0.776262 Rsquare Adj Rsquare 0.731514 Root Mean Square Error 0.159169 Mean of Response 15.083 Observations (or Sum Wgts) 7

t Test CC-AR

Assuming equal variances

Difference 0.506333 t Ratio 4.165037 Std Err Dif 0.121568 DF 5 Upper CL Dif 0.818833 Prob > |t| 0.0088* Lower CL Dif 0.193834 Prob > t 0.0044* Confidence 0.95 Prob < t 0.9956

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	1	0.43949733	0.439497	17.3475	0.0088*	
Error	5	0.12667433	0.025335			
C. Total	6	0.56617166				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	
AR	3	14.7937	0.09190	14.557	15.030	
CC	4	15.3000	0.07958	15.095	15.505	

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance Means and Std Deviations

Ivies	uns	anu	Stu	Deviations	

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%			
AR	3	14.7937	0.208176	0.12019	14.277	15.311			
CC	4	15.3000	0.115470	0.05774	15.116	15.484			

t Test CC-AR Assuming unequal variances

Difference 0.506333 t Ratio 3.797364 Std Err Dif 0.133338 DF 2.925635 Upper CL Dif 0.936842 Prob > |t| 0.0335* Lower CL Dif 0.075824 Prob > t 0.0167* Confidence 0.95 Prob < t 0.9833

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.2081758	0.1481556	0.1904667
CC	4	0.1154701	0.1000000	0.1000000

T 4	ED.4.	DEN	DED	
rest	r Katio	DENUM	DrDen	p-value

O'Brien[.5]	1.2173	1	5	0.3201
Brown-Forsythe	11.5861	1	5	0.0192*
Levene	0.9545	1	5	0.3734
Bartlett	0.7036	1		0.4016
F Test 2-sided	3.2503	2	3	0.3549

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

14.4200 1 2.9256 0.0335*

t Test 3.7974

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Ni



Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.486815

 Adj Rsquare
 0.358519

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.031418

 Mean of Response
 0.737555

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	2	0.00749087	0.003745	3.7945	0.0694	
Error	8	0.00789664	0.000987			
C. Total	10	0.01538751				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.715367	0.01814	0.67354	0.75720
CC	4	0.719750	0.01571	0.68353	0.75597
PF	4	0.772000	0.01571	0.73578	0.80822

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.715367	0.013227	0.00764	0.68251	0.74822
CC	4	0.719750	0.006994	0.00350	0.70862	0.73088
PF	4	0.772000	0.049666	0.02483	0.69297	0.85103

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0132266	0.0098889	0.0105667
CC	4	0.0069940	0.0057500	0.0057500
PF	4	0.0496655	0.0360000	0.0290000

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.2482	2	8	0.3374
Brown-Forsythe	0.9342	2	8	0.4319
Levene	3.6615	2	8	0.0743
Bartlett	4.1440	2		0.0159*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

Welch's Test

Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not EqualF RatioDFNumDFDenProb > F2.033123.93160.2476

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Si



Summary of Fit

Rsquare0Adj Rsquare0Root Mean Square Error0.078236Mean of Response0.92475Observations (or Sum Wgts)4

Analysis of Variance

 Source
 DF
 Sum of Squares
 Mean Square
 F Ratio
 Prob > F

 Prep
 0
 0.00000000

Means for Oneway Anova

 Level
 Number
 Mean
 Std Error
 Lower 95%
 Upper 95%

 PF
 4
 0.924750
 0.03912
 0.80026
 1.0492

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
PF	4	0.924750	0.078236	0.03912	0.80026	1.0492

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Th



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.935448

 Adj Rsquare
 0.91931

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.044944

 Mean of Response
 0.4237

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.23417887	0.117089	57.9654	<.0001*
Error	8	0.01615991	0.002020		
C. Total	10	0.25033878			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.555567	0.02595	0.49573	0.61540
CC	4	0.231750	0.02247	0.17993	0.28357
PF	4	0.516750	0.02247	0.46493	0.56857

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

wieans and Stu Deviation	Means	and	Std	Deviations
--------------------------	-------	-----	-----	------------

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.555567	0.009550	0.00551	0.53184	0.57929
CC	4	0.231750	0.066855	0.03343	0.12537	0.33813
PF	4	0.516750	0.029262	0.01463	0.47019	0.56331

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0095500	0.0063778	0.0095333
CC	4	0.0668549	0.0472500	0.0472500
PF	4	0.0292617	0.0203750	0.0192500

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	Prob > F
O'Brien[.5]	1.2866	2	8	0.3277
Brown-Forsythe	1.6497	2	8	0.2513
Levene	2.2667	2	8	0.1660
Bartlett	2.7214	2		0.0658

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 41.4799
 2
 4.5526
 0.0012*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Ti



Excluded Rows=1 Oneway Anova

Summary of Fit

Rsquare	0.740292
Adj Rsquare	0.675364
Root Mean Square Error	0.000512
Mean of Response	0.010682
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	5.97886e-6	2.9894e-6	11.4019	0.0045*
Error	8	2.0975e-6	2.6219e-7		
C. Total	10	8.07636e-6			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.010000	0.00030	0.00932	0.01068
CC	4	0.010225	0.00026	0.00963	0.01082
PF	4	0.011650	0.00026	0.01106	0.01224

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.010000	0.000173	0.00010	0.00957	0.01043
CC	4	0.010225	0.000096	4.79e-5	0.01007	0.01038
PF	4	0.011650	0.000819	0.00041	0.01035	0.01295

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level Count Std Dev MeanAbsDif to Mean MeanAbsDif to Median

AR	3 0.0001732	0.0001333	0.0001000
CC	4 0.0000957	0.0000750	0.0000750
PF	4 0.0008185	0.0005750	0.0005500

Test F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

O'Brien[.5]	1.4546	2	8	0.2892
Brown-Forsythe	2.1402	2	8	0.1801
Levene	3.3191	2	8	0.0892
Bartlett	4.9341	2		0.0072*

 Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution.

 Welch's Test

 Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

 F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

 7.2033
 2
 3.972
 0.0477*

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=U





Rsquare	0.166082
Adj Rsquare	-0.0424
Root Mean Square Error	0.094227
Mean of Response	2.144936
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	11

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F
Prep	2	0.01414612	0.007073	0.7966	0.4836
Error	8	0.07102923	0.008879		
C. Total	10	0.08517535			

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	2.17143	0.05440	2.0460	2.2969
CC	4	2.17250	0.04711	2.0639	2.2811
PF	4	2.09750	0.04711	1.9889	2.2061

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	2.17143	0.036601	0.02113	2.0805	2.2624
CC	4	2.17250	0.017078	0.00854	2.1453	2.1997
PF	4	2.09750	0.149972	0.07499	1.8589	2.3361

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0366007	0.0253778	0.0349333
CC	4	0.0170783	0.0125000	0.0125000
PF	4	0.1499722	0.1075000	0.1075000

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F Test O'Brien[.5] 1.7555 8 0.2333 2 2 0.0783 Brown-Forsythe 3.5620 8

Levene	3.7156	2	8	0.0722
Bartlett	4.7892	2		0.0083*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F 0.4199 2 3.7862 0.6844

Oneway Analysis of Measurement (wt%) By Prep Process/Standard=SRAT, Type=PRODUCT, Element=Zr



Oneway Anova Summary of Fit

 Rsquare
 0.852647

 Adj Rsquare
 0.823176

 Root Mean Square Error
 0.006927

 Mean of Response
 0.088529

 Observations (or Sum Wgts)
 7

t Test CC-AR

Assuming equal variances

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio	Prob > F	
Prep	1	0.00138836	0.001388	28.9321	0.0030*	
Error	5	0.00023993	0.000048			
C. Total	6	0.00162829				

Means for Oneway Anova

Level	Number	Mean	Std Error	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	
AR	3	0.072267	0.00400	0.06199	0.08255	
CC	4	0.100725	0.00346	0.09182	0.10963	

Std Error uses a pooled estimate of error variance Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
AR	3	0.072267	0.010743	0.00620	0.04558	0.09895
CC	4	0.100725	0.001742	0.00087	0.09795	0.10350

t Test CC-AR Assuming unequal variances

Tests that the Variances are Equal

Level	Count	Std Dev	MeanAbsDif to Mean	MeanAbsDif to Median
AR	3	0.0107431	0.0081778	0.0077333
CC	4	0.0017424	0.0012750	0.0012750

Test	F Ratio	DFNum	DFDen	n-Value	

				-
O'Brien[.5]	2.4052	1	5	0.1816
Brown-Forsythe	2.8349	1	5	0.1531
Levene	12.4503	1	5	0.0168*
Bartlett	5.3886	1		0.0203*
F Test 2-sided	38.0170	2	3	0.0148*

Warning: Small sample sizes. Use Caution. Welch's Test Welch Anova testing Means Equal, allowing Std Devs Not Equal

F Ratio DFNum DFDen Prob > F

20.6443 1 2.0792 0.0420*

t Test 4.5436