

# **Analysis of Harrell Monosodium Titanate Lot #46000908120**

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow

January 2013

Savannah River National Laboratory  
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC  
Aiken, SC 29808

---

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under  
contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



## **DISCLAIMER**

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or
2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or
3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

**Printed in the United States of America**

**Prepared for  
U.S. Department of Energy**

**Keywords:** *MST, ISDP*

**Retention:** *Permanent*

## **Analysis of Harrell Monosodium Titanate Lot #46000908120**

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow

January 2013

Savannah River National Laboratory  
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC  
Aiken, SC 29808

---

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under  
contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



## REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

### AUTHORS:

---

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, Separations and Actinide Science Programs Date

### TECHNICAL REVIEW:

---

T. C. Shehee, Separations and Actinide Science Programs Date

### APPROVAL:

---

S. D. Fink, Manager Date  
Separations and Actinide Science Programs

---

S.L. Marra, Manager Date  
Environmental & Chemical Process Technology Research Programs

---

D. J. Martin, Manager Date  
H Tank Farm Engineering

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Monosodium titanate (MST) for use in the Actinide Removal Process (ARP) must be qualified and verified in advance. A single qualification sample for each batch of material is sent to SRNL for analysis, as well as a statistical sampling of verification samples. The Harrell Industries Lot #46000908120 qualification and the 16 verification samples failed to meet the specification for weight percent solids. All of the pails sampled and tested contained less than 15 wt % MST solids.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES .....	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	vi
1.0 Introduction .....	1
2.0 Experimental Procedure .....	1
3.0 Results and Discussion .....	1
4.0 Conclusions .....	3
5.0 References .....	4

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1. Weight Percent, pH, and Density Results for All Samples .....	2
Table 3-2. Results of the Qualification Sample Analyses .....	3

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARP	Actinide Removal Process
MST	monosodium titanate
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
SRR	Savannah River Remediation
TTQAP	Technical Task and Quality Assurance Plan
VOA	volatile organic analysis

## 1.0 Introduction

Harrell Industries is under contract with Savannah River Remediation (SRR) to provide MST for use in the Actinide Removal Process (ARP). A 1-L qualification sample from Lot #46000908120 was sent to the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) to confirm the material meets certain requirements specified in the purchase specification.<sup>1</sup>

The vendor is also obligated to send verification samples from ~10% or more of the pails of MST product for each lot. The verification samples are selected from the entire inventory of pails so that the set of verification samples represents pails filled from the beginning to the end of the pail-filling operation for the entire lot of MST. For the verification of this lot, Harrell Industries sent 16 samples, one each from pails #1, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, and 150 of 152 total pails.

SRR requested analysis of the qualification sample for weight percent MST, density, pH, volatile organics, and particle size. They also requested analysis of the verification samples for weight percent solids, density, and pH.<sup>2</sup> The work was controlled by a Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan (TTQAP).<sup>3</sup>

## 2.0 Experimental Procedure

SRNL analyzed the qualification and verification samples for density, pH, and weight percent solids. Density was measured using an electronic pipette in triplicate. The pH was measured by colorimetric pH strips, and the weight percent solids were measured in triplicate using a Mettler-Toledo Halogen Moisture Analyzer HG63 instrument.

Weight percent solids measurements performed on the samples at SRNL were lower than the value reported by Harrell Industries for this lot. Therefore, the weight percent solids measurements were confirmed for the qualification sample using the method provided by Harrell Industries. This procedure is provided in Appendix A.

Aliquots of the qualification sample were removed under well mixed conditions to provide sub-samples for each of the analyses. SRNL performed the following analyses: volatile organic analysis (VOA) and particle size using a Microtrac<sup>®</sup> S3500 analyzer.

## 3.0 Results and Discussion

The results of the weight percent, pH, and density measurements are reported in Table 3-1, while the results of the additional qualification sample analyses are reported in Table 3-2.

As seen in Table 3-1, all of the samples tested contained less than 15 wt % MST solids, and therefore, failed to meet the purchase specification. The weight percent solids ranged from 14.62 – 14.98 wt %, with an average of 14.84 wt %. This is the second consecutive lot of material received from Harrell with less than 15 wt % MST. The previous lot (#46000824120) had an average weight percent solids value of 14.72 wt %.<sup>4</sup>

Harrell reported a weight percent solids of 15.4 wt % for this lot of material. Using the method provided by Harrell (Appendix A), SRNL obtained a value of 14.57 wt % solids, consistent with the low values obtained using the normal SRNL method (Mettler-Toledo Halogen Moisture Analyzer). During performance of the Harrell method, it was found that it required approximately 3 hours of drying to reach a constant weight. This is a possible source of the discrepancy between the SRNL and Harrell reported values. If the samples are not completely dry the weight percent solids will be reported high.

**Table 3-1. Weight Percent, pH, and Density Results for All Samples**

Sample ID	Weight % Solids (Standard Deviation)	pH <sup>a</sup>	Density <sup>b</sup> (g/mL) (%RSD)
Qualification	14.90 (±0.232) %	12.5	1.123 (0.10%)
Pail #1	14.96 (±0.087) %	12.0	1.121 (0.09%)
Pail #10	14.80 (±0.046) %	12.0	1.122 (0.10%)
Pail #20	14.84 (±0.081) %	12.0	1.119 (0.13%)
Pail #30	14.89 (±0.040) %	12.0	1.118 (0.09%)
Pail #40	14.96 (±0.029) %	12.0	1.119 (0.06%)
Pail #50	14.70 (±0.093) %	12.0	1.119 (0.04%)
Pail #60	14.87 (±0.012) %	12.0	1.116 (0.06%)
Pail #70	14.98 (±0.180) %	12.0	1.117 (0.09%)
Pail #80	14.83 (±0.057) %	12.0	1.116 (0.06%)
Pail #90	14.80 (±0.087) %	12.0	1.117 (0.05%)
Pail #100	14.82 (±0.053) %	12.0	1.116 (0.03%)
Pail #110	14.77 (±0.078) %	12.0	1.117 (0.00%)
Pail #120	14.71 (±0.070) %	12.0	1.118 (0.05%)
Pail #130	14.92 (±0.029) %	12.0	1.120 (0.04%)
Pail #140	14.83 (±0.121) %	12.0	1.112 (0.06%)
Pail #150	14.62 (±0.373) %	12.0	1.115 (0.05%)
Average	14.84 (±0.098) %	12.0	1.118 (0.24%)
Acceptable Range <sup>1</sup>	15-17 %	> 10	no requirement
Harrell Method <sup>c</sup>	14.57%	n/a	n/a

a) The uncertainty of the pH measurement is 0.5 pH units.

b) Density measurements taken at 23 °C.

c) Performed at SRNL using the qualification sample from this lot and the method provided by Harrell. Note total drying time to reach the constant weight was approximately 3 hours.



**Table 3-2.** Results of the Qualification Sample Analyses

<b>Property</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Specification</b>	<b>Pass ?</b>
Volatile Organics	VOA	1.9 ppm <sup>1</sup>	n/a <sup>2</sup>	n/a
Particle Size, < 0.8 μm	Microtrac <sup>®</sup>	4.51 vol %	<10 vol %	YES
Particle Size, > 37 μm	Microtrac <sup>®</sup>	0 vol %	<1 vol %	YES
Particle Size, geometric standard deviation (absorbance mode)	Microtrac <sup>®</sup>	2.98	≤3.5	YES

The “Particle Size, geometric standard deviation” is defined as the 50th percentile result divided by the 16th percentile result. Microtrac<sup>®</sup> results have a 10% analytical uncertainty. VOA results have a 20% analytical uncertainty.

#### **4.0 Conclusions**

Analyses of the Harrell Lot #46000908120 MST material indicate the material meets the specifications, with the exception of weight percent solids. The pails all contain less than 15 wt % solids (the lower limit of the specification).

<sup>1</sup> Isopropanol = 1.9 ppm, all other analytes = < 0.25 ppm

<sup>2</sup> Purchase specification does not include a specification for volatile organics, only total alcohol content of < 500 ppm.

## 5.0 References

1. Specification for Purchase of 15 wt % Monosodium Titanate (MST) for 96-H ARP, Specification No. X-SPP-H-00012, Rev. 6, November 2010.
2. C. Duffey, "MST Qualification and Verification", X-TTR-H-00017, Rev. 0, February 2012.
3. K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, "Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan for Monosodium Titanate (MST) Qualification and Verification", SRNL-RP-2012-00094, Rev. 0, March 2012.
4. K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, "Analysis of Harrell Monosodium Titanate Lot #46000824120", SRNL-STI-2012-00651, Rev. 0, January 2013.

**Appendix A. Harrell Weight Percent Solids Procedure**

PROCEDURE: WEIGHT PERCENT

PURPOSE: To determine the weight percent of monosodium titanate in an aqueous slurry

EQUIPMENT: Oven  
Analytical balance  
Porcelain crucible

METHOD:

1. Thoroughly clean a porcelain crucible and dry in a 105 °C oven. Cool to room temperature in a desiccator. Weigh crucible and record weight to 0.0001 g.
2. Thoroughly suspend the MST slurry by shaking the sample bottle. Open the bottle and stir with a glass rod to make sure no chunks of MST remain unsuspended. Add approximately 1 g monosodium titanate slurry to crucible and record weight to 0.0001g.
3. Place crucible in 105 °C oven for one hour. Remove from oven and cool to room temperature in desiccator.
4. Weigh dish and record weight to 0.0001g.
5. Place crucible back in oven for 30 minutes, remove to desiccator, cool, and reweigh. Continue until weight percent does not change from one measurement to the next.

CALCULATION:

$$\text{Weight \%} = \frac{(\text{weight dried slurry} - \text{weight dish})}{(\text{weight wet slurry} - \text{weight dish})} \times 100$$

SPECIFICATION: 15 – 17 wt %

**Distribution:**

T. B. Brown, 773-A  
D. R. Click, 773-A  
S. D. Fink, 773-A  
C. C. Herman, 999-W  
E. N. Hoffman, 999-W  
S. L. Marra, 773-A  
F. M. Pennebaker, 773-42A  
W. R. Wilmarth, 773-A  
Records Administration (EDWS)

M. T. Keefer, 241-156H  
D. J. Martin, 241-152H  
M. W. Geeting, 241-152H  
T. A. Le, 766-H  
A. R. Shafer, 704-27S  
C. K. Chiu, 704-27S  
S. E. Campbell, 241-152H  
B. A. Gifford, 704-56H  
R. M. Wolfenden, 704-56 H  
K. L. Lang, 704-27S

P. R. Jackson, DOE-SR, 703-46A  
K. H. Subramanian, 766-H

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, 773-A  
T. C. Shehee, 773-A  
T. B. Peters, 773-42A  
M. R. Poirier, 773-42A  
F. F. Fondeur, 773-A  
D. T. Hobbs, 773-A