

Keywords: *Saltstone*

TCLP

Retention: *Permanent*

Saltstone 4QCY09 TCLP Results

M. M. Reigel

February 2010

Savannah River National Laboratory
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions
Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under
contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or
2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or
3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America

**Prepared for
U.S. Department of Energy**

REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

AUTHOR:

M. M. Reigel, Engineering Process Development

Date

TECHNICAL REVIEW:

A. D. Cozzi, Engineering Process Development

Date

APPROVAL:

A. B. Barnes, Manager
Engineering Process Development

Date

S. L. Marra, Manager
Environmental & Chemical Process Technology Research Programs

Date

J. E. Occhipinti, Manager
Waste Solidification Engineering

Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Saltstone waste form was prepared in the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) from a Tank 50H sample and Z-Area premix material for the fourth quarter of calendar year 2009 (4QCY09). After the prescribed 28 day cure, samples of the saltstone were collected, and the waste form was shown to meet the South Carolina Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (SCHWMR) R.61-79.261.24 and R.61-79.268.48(a) requirements for a nonhazardous waste form with respect to RCRA metals and underlying hazardous constituents. These analyses met all quality assurance specifications of USEPA SW-846.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Experimental Procedure	1
2.1 Saltstone Preparation	2
2.2 Saltstone Testing.....	5
2.2.1 B&W TSG-RACL.....	5
2.2.2 GEL Laboratories, LLC	5
3.0 Results and Discussion.....	6
3.1 B&W TSG-RACL	6
3.1.1 Comparison of Results to Regulatory Limits	6
3.1.2 Quality Assurance	8
3.1.3 Blanks.....	8
3.1.4 Laboratory Control Samples	8
3.1.5 Matrix Spikes	9
3.1.6 Calibration Information.....	10
3.2 GEL Laboratories, LLC.....	10
3.2.1 Comparison of Results to Regulatory Limits	11
3.2.2 Quality Assurance	11
3.2.3 Blanks.....	12
3.2.4 Laboratory Control Samples	12
3.2.5 Matrix Spikes	12
3.2.6 Calibration Information.....	13
4.0 Conclusions	13
5.0 References	14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Sample Results of TCLP Metals from Tank 50H WAC Analysis.....	3
Table 2-2. Customer Recommended Values for Preparation of TCLP Sample	3
Table 3-1. TCLP Leachates RCRA Metal Concentrations, DLs, and QLs.	6
Table 3-2. Saltstone TCLP Results and Corresponding Regulatory Limits.....	7
Table 3-3. TCLP Blank.	8
Table 3-4. RCRA Metal Laboratory Control Sample.....	9
Table 3-5. TCLP Leachates RCRA Metal Matrix Spike and Duplicate Results.....	10
Table 3-6. Total Concentrations, DLs, and RLs.....	11
Table 3-7. Saltstone Total Results and Corresponding Regulatory Limits.	11
Table 3-8. Method Blank.....	12
Table 3-9. Laboratory Control Sample.....	12
Table 3-10. Matrix Spike Results.....	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Flowchart of Saltstone preparation and analysis.	2
Figure 2-2. Data sheet for the Saltstone mix used to prepare the 4Q09 TCLP sample [5].	4

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

B&W TSG-	B & W Technical Services Group-Radioisotope and Analytical
RACL	Chemistry Laboratory
CVAA	Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
DL	Detection Limit
DSS-HT	Decontaminated Salt Solution Hold Tank
ESS-WP	Environmental Services Section – Waste Programs
ETP	Effluent Treatment Project
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometer
ISWLF	Industrial Solid Waste Landfill
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCU	Modular Caustic Side Solvent Extraction Unit
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
QL	Quantitation Limit
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RL	Reporting Limit
RPD	Relative Percent Differences
SCDHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
SCHWMR	South Carolina Hazardous Waste Management Regulations
SDF	Saltstone Disposal Facility
SDG	Sample Delivery Group
SPF	Saltstone Production Facility
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
TCLP	Toxic Characteristic Leaching Procedure
UHC	Underlying Hazardous Constituent
UTS	Universal Treatment Standards

1.0 Introduction

The Saltstone Production Facility (SPF) receives waste from Tank 50H for treatment. In the fourth quarter of the 2009 calendar year (4QCY09), Tank 50H accepted transfers of approximately 19 kgal from the Effluent Treatment Project (ETP), approximately 7.5 kgal from Tank 710—the H-Canyon General Purpose Evaporator, approximately 6 kgal from the H-Canyon Super Kukla campaign, and approximately 147 kgal from the Modular Caustic Side Solvent Extraction Unit (MCU) Decontaminated Salt Solution Hold Tank (DSS-HT).

The Saltstone Grout Sampling plan provides the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) with the chemical and physical characterization strategy for the salt solution which is to be disposed of in the Z-Area Solid Waste Landfill (ISWLF) [1]. During operation, samples were collected from Tank 50H and grout samples prepared to determine the non-hazardous nature of the grout to meet the requirements of the South Carolina Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (SCHWMR) R.61-79.261.24(b) and R.61-79.268.48(a).

Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) was asked to prepare saltstone from samples of Tank 50H obtained October 2 and November 13, 2009 during 4QCY09 to determine the non-hazardous nature of the grout. The samples were cured and shipped to Babcock & Wilcox Technical Services Group-Radioisotope and Analytical Chemistry Laboratory (B&W TSG-RACL) to perform the Toxic Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) [2] and subsequent extract analysis on saltstone samples for the analytes required for the quarterly analysis saltstone sample. In addition to the eight toxic metals—arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, mercury, lead, selenium and silver—analytes included the underlying hazardous constituents (UHC) antimony, beryllium, nickel, and thallium which could not be eliminated from analysis by process knowledge [3]. B&W TSG-RACL provided subsamples to GEL Laboratories, LLC for analysis for the UHCs benzene, phenols and total and amenable cyanide.

2.0 Experimental Procedure

This section is a summary of the approach taken to prepare and characterize the saltstone samples. The saltstone sample preparation was performed at SRNL. Saltstone sample characterization was performed at both B&W TSG-RACL facility in Lynchburg, Virginia and the GEL laboratory facility in Charleston, South Carolina. Figure 2-1 is a flowchart of the steps taken to prepare and characterize the saltstone samples.




Figure 2-1. Flowchart of Saltstone preparation and analysis.

2.1 Saltstone Preparation

Saltstone preparation was performed at SRNL. The weight percent solids data used for the TCLP sample was taken from the quarterly Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) analyses performed on Tank 50H [4]. Table 2-1 lists the concentration of TCLP metals of interest in the salt solution from the WAC analysis for the sample. Complete analysis of the salt solution used is in Reference 4. As shown in Table 2-1, the contents of Tank 50H exceed the regulatory limits for antimony, chromium, mercury and nickel and therefore must be treated and disposed of in a non-hazardous waste form. Table 2-2 contains the parameters used to prepare the TCLP sample [5].

Saltstone samples for TCLP were prepared with the Tank 50H blended salt solution and a premix of cement, slag, and fly ash. Figure 2-2 shows the formulation used to prepare these samples. The salt solution, admixtures and premix materials were combined in a blender and mixed at low speed for one minute, inspected for incorporation of the premix, and then mixed at high speed for an additional two minutes. After the saltstone slurry was mixed, it was cast into a polyethylene zip top bag. The bag was laid flat and the air was expelled prior to sealing. The sample was cured flat in a polypropylene bag to facilitate the size reduction step needed to conform to the particle size requirements of the TCLP method.

After curing for not less than 28 days* — 34 days for the 4Q09 sample, the saltstone was removed from the container and a portion of the saltstone was crushed to particles less than 0.9 centimeters (3/8 inch) as prescribed by Section 7.13 of the TCLP method [2]. The crushed saltstone was packaged into containers provided by Environmental Services Section – Waste Programs (ESS-WP). After the saltstone has been crushed, sieved and packaged, the sample is deemed “collected [6].” ESS-WP retrieved the samples from SRNL and transported them to B&W TSG-RACL for extraction and analysis. B&W TSG-RACL repackaged a portion of the sample and shipped the sample to GEL Laboratories to perform totals analysis for the UHCs benzene, phenol and total and amenable cyanide.

* Samples are considered ready for analysis after 28 days. Samples are not crushed until shipment has been scheduled.

Table 2-1. Sample Results of TCLP Metals from Tank 50H WAC Analysis.

-	Sample Results (mg/L) ⁴	Regulatory Limits (mg/L)
-	4Q09	Toxicity^a
As	< 0.119	5
Ba	< 0.293	100
Cd	< 0.515	1
Cr	39.7	5
Pb	0.178	5
Hg	10.4	0.2
Se	< 0.239	1
Ag	< 1.21	5
--	--	UHC^b
Sb	< 11.2	1.15
Be	< 0.0719	1.22
Ni	5.35	11
Tl	< 0.119	0.20
-	-	(mg/kg)
benzene	< 0.15	10
phenol	< 0.10	5.2
cyanide (total)	NM	1.2
cyanide (amenable)	NM	0.86

Table 2-2. Customer Recommended Values for Preparation of TCLP Sample.

Parameter	4Q09
Water-to-Premix ratio	0.60
(Daratard 17) gal/Ton premix	0.00
(Dow Corning Q2-1383A) gal/Ton premix	0.27

Saltstone Mix Data Sheet

MIX # 0114		Date: 11/30/2009	
Material	%	WT%	Grams
Waste Solution: Tank 50 11/13/09* 4Q09 Wt% Solids # <u>24.073</u> Grams Water <u>218.28</u>		44.38	287.48
Admixture: <u>Q2 1383-A</u>		0.08	0.27
Admixture: _____			
Admixture: _____			
Premix		55.58	360.00
Cement (% of Premix)	10	5.56	36.00
Slag (% of Premix)	45	25.01	162.00
Fly Ash (% of Premix)	45	25.01	162.00
Total	100	100.03	647.75
Water to Premix Ratio	0.61		
<p>Calculations: *Tank 50 sample is a combination of 200 mL pulled 11/13/09 and the remaining Tank 50 sample pulled 10/2/09. Total sample: 225.812 wt % solids from cells analysis on the mixture of the two Tank 50 samples Use CBO fly ash From customer: 0.60 w/p, 0.1 gpm Q2, 34 T/hr dry feed Q2 is actual Q2 amount . In plant diluted 1:4 in water.</p>			

Figure 2-2. Data sheet for the Saltstone mix used to prepare the 4Q09 TCLP sample [5].

2.2 Saltstone Testing

Saltstone testing was performed by B&W TSG-RACL and GEL Laboratories, LLC. Activities associated with the 4QCY09 saltstone samples were:

At B&W TSG-RACL,

- performing the TCLP extraction,
- digesting the TCLP leachate, and
- analyzing the digested leachate.

At GEL

- performing extractions on solid subsamples shipped from B&W TSG-RACL and
- analyzing extracts.

2.2.1 *B&W TSG-RACL*

The samples arrived at B&W TSG-RACL, Lynchburg, Virginia on January 7, 2010 for analysis. Shipping container temperatures were documented to be within specifications. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage.

The Metals method 6020A analysis was performed on an X-7 Series Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS). The instrument measures ions produced by a radio-frequency inductively coupled plasma. Analyte species originating in a liquid are nebulized and the resulting aerosol transported by argon gas into the plasma torch. The ions produced by high temperatures are entrained in the plasma gas and introduced, by means of an interface, into a mass spectrometer. The ions produced in the plasma are sorted according to their mass-to-charge ratios and quantified with a channel electron multiplier. Mass interferences must be assessed and valid corrections applied or the data flagged to indicate problems.

The Metals method 7470A analysis was performed on a Leman PC 200 II instrument which consists of a cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometer (CVAA) set to detect mercury at a wavelength of 253.7 nm. The mercury is reduced to the elemental state and aerated from solution in a closed system. The mercury vapor passes through a cell positioned in the light path of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Absorbance (peak height) is measured as a function of mercury concentration.

A portion of the leachate from the fourth quarter sample was used as the quality control sample (matrix spike) for the ICP-MS and CVAA.

2.2.2 *GEL Laboratories, LLC*

The subsamples arrived at GEL Laboratories, LLC, Charleston, South Carolina on January 15, 2010 for analysis. Shipping container temperatures were documented to be within specifications. The samples were delivered with proper chain of custody documentation and signatures. All sample containers arrived without any visible signs of tampering or breakage.

The method 8260B analysis was performed with an HP7890N/HP5975C gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer using a J&W DB-624 column.

The methods 9012A and 9066 were performed using a Lachat QuickChem FIA+ 8000 Series.

3.0 Results and Discussion

Results summarized in the following tables are from the data package for these analyses [7]. Data is presented in these results as reported by the vendors.

3.1 B&W TSG-RACL

Analytes detected but at concentrations too low to determine quantitatively have been flagged with the “B” qualifier. Analytes that were not detected have been flagged with the “U” qualifier. In addition to the results, Detection Limits (DLs) have been given. The DL is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be identified, measured, and reported with 99% confidence that the concentration is above zero. The DL values given in the table are the results from this study adjusted for sample dilution. The Quantitation Limit (QL) is the lowest level at which an analyte may be accurately and reproducibly achieved.

Results in Table 3-1, when compared with the DLs and QLs, can be organized into three groups:

- Beryllium was not detected in the leachate.
- Antimony, cadmium, chromium, lead, silver and thallium were detected below the QLs.
- Arsenic, barium, mercury, nickel, and selenium were detected in the leachates at concentrations above the QLs.

Table 3-1. TCLP Leachates RCRA Metal Concentrations, DLs, and QLs.

-	Methods	Sample Limits (µg/L)	Sample Limits (µg/L)	Sample Results (µg/L)
SRS ID	-	-	-	4Q09
B&W ID	-	DL	QL	1001007-01A
Sb	3015, 6020A	0.133	11.111	^B 9.3
As	3015, 6020A	0.100	5.556	9.4
Ba	3015, 6020A	0.439	55.556	170
Cd	3015, 6020A	0.111	5.556	^B 0.333
Cr	3015, 6020A	0.306	11.111	^B 10.8
Pb	3015, 6020A	0.483	5.556	^B 1.0
Hg	7470A	0.068	0.200	3.50
Se	3015, 6020A	0.244	27.778	128
Ag	3015, 6020A	0.061	5.556	^B 1.1
Be	3015, 6020A	0.156	5.556	^U 0.156
Ni	3015, 6020A	1.6	5.556	13.5
Tl	3015, 6020A	0.206	5.556	^B 0.256

- Indicates a location in the table for which an entry would not be appropriate.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

^B Analyte is present at a concentration above the DL but less than the QL

3.1.1 Comparison of Results to Regulatory Limits

Results from the TCLP leachate analyses from Table 3-1 are replicated in Table 3-2—with units changed from µg/L to mg/L—along with the regulatory limits that may be applied to the Saltstone waste form. Table 3-2 includes the SCHWMR R.61-79.261.24(b) limits above which a

waste is to be considered characteristically hazardous for toxicity and the SCHWMR R.61-79.268.48 Universal Treatment Standards (UTS) for hazardous constituents. In addition, Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL's) from the State Primary Drinking Water Regulations[†] also have been included in Table 3-2. By comparing the sample results and the regulatory limits in Table 3-2, the following conclusions can be made:

- The saltstone waste form was not characteristically hazardous for toxicity.
- The leachate metals concentrations were below the Nonwastewater Standard for all of the metals.
- Arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, silver and thallium were below the MCL's.
- Antimony, mercury, and selenium exceeded the MCL.
- Nickel does not have a MCL.

The MCL is the limit for a constituent in drinking water. The MCL is used to determine the class of landfill required. At 10x MCL, a Class 3 landfill is required. The SDF vaults are permitted as a Class 3 landfill. None of the analyses were greater than 10x the MCL.

Table 3-2. Saltstone TCLP Results and Corresponding Regulatory Limits.

-	Sample Results (mg/L)	Regulatory Limits		
SRS ID	4Q09	Toxicity ^a	UTS ^b	MCL ^c
B&W ID	1001007-01A	(mg/L)	Nonwastewater Standard (mg/L TCLP)	(mg/L)
Sb	^B 9.30E-03	-	1.15	0.006
As	9.40E-03	5	5	0.010
Ba	1.70E-01	100	21	2
Cd	^B 3.33E-04	1	0.11	0.005
Cr	^B 1.08E-02	5	0.6	0.1
Pb	^B 1.00E-03	5	0.75	0.015 ^d
Hg	3.50E-03	0.2	0.025	2E-03
Se	1.28E-01	1	5.7	0.05
Ag	^B 1.10E-03	5	0.14	0.1 ^e
Be	^U 1.56E-04	-	1.22	4E-03
Ni	1.35E-02	-	11	-
Tl	^B 2.56E-04	-	0.20	2E-03

- Indicates a location in the table for which an entry would not be appropriate.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

^B Analyte is present at a concentration above the DL but less than the QL.

^a R.61-79.261.24(b) "Characteristic of Toxicity."

^b R.61-79.268.48 "Universal Treatment Standards."

^c SCDHEC State Primary Drinking Water Regulation Maximum Contaminant Levels.

^d Lead action level from SCDHEC 61-58.11.B.

^e Secondary drinking water parameter.

[†] Regulations 61-58 through 61-58.15 are promulgated pursuant to S.C. Code Sections 44-55-10 et seq. and are collectively known as the State Primary Drinking Water Regulations.

3.1.2 Quality Assurance

The following subsections include summaries of results from blanks, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates. The data package also includes data for calibration verifications, interference checks, and serial dilutions.

3.1.3 Blanks

Blank concentrations are given in Table 3-3. In the TCLP Blank, arsenic and nickel were present in detectable concentrations. Antimony, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, silver and thallium were present at levels above their respective DLs, but below their respective QLs. Beryllium and mercury were found to be below the DLs.

Table 3-3. TCLP Blank.

Analyte	TCLP Blank (µg/L)
Sb	^B 0.956
As	6.0
Ba	^B 3.0
Cd	^B 0.189
Cr	^B 3.0
Pb	^B 2.4
Hg	^U 0.068
Se	^B 14.6
Ag	^B 1.3
Be	^U 0.156
Ni	103
Tl	^B 5.5

^B Analyte is present at a concentration above the DL but less than the QL.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

3.1.4 Laboratory Control Samples

Results from the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) are given in Table 3-4. The LCS post spike recoveries met USEPA SW-846 acceptance limits for all elements with the exception of beryllium. Laboratory Control Samples are clean aqueous solutions analyzed to assure integrity of the analytical technique exclusive of matrix effects.

Table 3-4. RCRA Metal Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Laboratory Control ($\mu\text{g/L}$)		Recovery (%) (80 – 120)
	True	Measured	
Sb	515.0	615.0	119
As	787.0	920.6	117
Ba	1660	1907	115
Cd	253.0	304.7	120
Cr	239.0	287.1	120
Pb	1140	1356	119
Hg	7.7	7.96	104.1
Se	1750	1938	111
Ag	362.0	431.9	119
Be	317.0	383.5	121
Ni	850.0	1008	119
Tl	815.0	961.7	118

3.1.5 Matrix Spikes

Results from analysis of the matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicates (MSD) are given in Table 3-5. The initial concentrations in the first column are reproduced from Table 3-1. These results show that:

- The percent recoveries (%R) obtained from the MS analyses met the recommended quality control acceptance criteria for percent recoveries (75 – 125%) for all applicable analytes.
- The percent recoveries (%R) obtained from the MSD analyses met the recommended quality control acceptance criteria for percent recoveries (75 – 125%) for all applicable analytes.
- The RPD(s) between the MS and MSD met the acceptance limits (0 – 20%).

Table 3-5. TCLP Leachates RCRA Metal Matrix Spike and Duplicate Results.

Analyte	Initial Concentrations (µg /L)		Spiked Sample (µg /L)		Recovery (%)		RPD (%)
	B&W ID 1001007- 01A	Spike Added	Spike	Spike Duplicate	Spike	Spike Duplicate	
-							-
Sb	^B 9.3	500.0	488.7	489.0	96	96	0
As	9.4	2000	2017	2018	100	100	0
Ba	170	2000	2039	2047	93	94	0
Cd	^B 0.333	50.0	47.0	46.4	93	92	1
Cr	^B 10.8	200.0	204.1	201.3	97	95	1
Pb	^B 1.0	500.0	515.0	512.3	103	102	1
Hg	3.50	5.00	7.69	7.96	83.8	83.8	0
Se	128	2000	2088	2094	98	98	0
Ag	^B 1.1	50.0	46.0	46.6	90	91	1
Be	^U 0.156	50.0	45.0	48.0	90	96	7
Ni	13.5	500.0	463.7	456.6	90	89	2
Tl	^B 0.256	2000	2014	2009	101	100	0

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

^B Analyte is present at a concentration above the DL but less than the QL.

3.1.6 Calibration Information

- All initial calibration requirements have been met for this sample delivery group (SDG).
- All Contract Required Detection Limit standard(s) met the referenced advisory control limits.
- All interference check samples associated with this SDG met the established acceptance criteria.
- All continuing calibration blanks bracketing this batch met the established acceptance criteria.
- All continuing calibration verifications bracketing this SDG met the acceptance criteria.

3.2 GEL Laboratories, LLC

GEL reports general chemistry analyses on the organics in the sample. If the concentrations of phenol and cyanide are not detected or below the detection limit (<MDL) the result is reported as “ND”. However, when benzene or other organics are not detected, they are reported at the limit of quantitation—the reporting limit. Analytes detected but at concentrations too low to determine quantitatively have been flagged with the “J” qualifier. Analytes that were not detected have been flagged with the “U” qualifier. In addition to the results, Detection Limits (DLs) and Reporting Limits (RLs) have been given. The DL is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be identified, measured, and reported with 99% confidence that the concentration is above zero. The DL values given in Table 3-6 are the results from this study adjusted for sample dilution. The RL is the lowest level at which an analyte may be accurately and reproducibly quantitated.

Table 3-6. Total Concentrations, DLs, and RLs.

-	Methods	Sample Limits (µg/kg)	Sample Limits (µg/kg)	Sample Results (µg/kg)
SRS ID	-	-	-	4Q09
GEL ID	-	DL	RL	244810001
benzene	5030, 8260B	29.4	98.0	^U ND
phenol	9010B, 9066	74.5	233	^J 194
cyanide (total)	9010B, 9012A	60.0	220	6530
cyanide (amenable)	9012A	67.6	249	^U ND

- Indicates a location in the table for which an entry would not be appropriate.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

^J Final concentration of the analyte was found to be \geq DL and $<$ RL.

3.2.1 Comparison of Results to Regulatory Limits

Results from the analyses from Table 3-6 are replicated in Table 3-7 — with units changed from µg/kg to mg/kg — along with the regulatory limits that may be applied to the Saltstone waste form. Table 3-7 includes the SCHWMR R.61-79.268.48 Universal Treatment Standards (UTS) for hazardous constituents. By comparing the sample results and the regulatory limits in Table 3-7, it can be concluded that for all of the analytes, the concentrations were below the Nonwastewater Standard.

Table 3-7. Saltstone Total Results and Corresponding Regulatory Limits.

-	Sample Results (mg/kg)	Regulatory Limits (mg/kg)
SRS ID	4Q09	UTS^b
GEL ID	244810001	
benzene	^U ND	10
phenol	^J 0.194	6.2
cyanide (total)	6.530	590
cyanide (amenable)	^U ND	30

- Indicates a location in the table for which an entry would not be appropriate.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

^J Final concentration of the analyte was found to be \geq DL and $<$ RL.

^b R.61-79.268.48 “Universal Treatment Standards”.

3.2.2 Quality Assurance

The following subsections include summaries of results from blanks, laboratory control samples, matrix spikes, and matrix spike duplicates. The data package for this task also includes data for calibration verifications, interference checks, and serial dilutions.

3.2.3 Blanks

Blank concentrations are given in Table 3-8. Target and non target analytes were detected in the Method Blank below the reporting limit. Amenable to chlorination cyanide is determined by subtracting the results determined in the chlorinated cyanide test from those determined in the total cyanide test. The Method Blanks analyzed with this Sample Delivery Group (SDG) met the acceptance criteria.

Table 3-8. Method Blank.

Analyte	Method Blank ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)
benzene	^U ND
phenol	^U ND
cyanide (total)	^U ND
cyanide (amenable)	--

- Indicates a location in the table for which an entry would not be appropriate.

^U Final concentration of the analyte was found to be below the DL.

ND – Not Detectable

3.2.4 Laboratory Control Samples

Results from the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) are given in Table 3-9. All LCS recoveries met the vendor laboratory acceptance limits except for phenol which had recoveries that were biased high.[‡] However, all affected samples were less than the practical quantitation limit (PQL) [7]. Laboratory Control Samples are clean aqueous solutions analyzed to assure integrity of the analytical technique exclusive of matrix effects.

Table 3-9. Laboratory Control Sample.

Analyte	Laboratory Control ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)		Recovery (%)
	True	Measured	
-			-
benzene	50.0	45.8	91.5
phenol	2500	2820 2930	113* 117*
cyanide (total)	67900	71500	105
cyanide (amenable)	--	--	--

* Quality Control parameter not within specifications

3.2.5 Matrix Spikes

Total cyanide is the only method where a matrix spike would be applicable. The result from analysis of the matrix spike (MS) is given in Table 12. These results show that the percent recoveries (%R) obtained from the MS analyses met the recommended quality control acceptance criteria for percent recoveries. It is important to note that, due to sample size limitations, the matrix spikes were performed on a different sample than the 4Q09 Saltstone TCLP sample.[§]

[‡] USEPA SW-846 methods use a range of 70-130% for default limits until the laboratory establishes their own limits based on the recoveries they normally achieve for spiked compounds.

[§] This approach meets SCDHEC certification guidelines/requirements.

Table 3-10. Matrix Spike Results.

Analyte	Initial Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)		Spiked Sample ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)	Recovery (%)
	GEL ID 244847002	Spike Added		
-	ND	5650	4930	87.1
cyanide (total)	ND	5770	4780	82.9

3.2.6 Calibration Information

- All initial calibration requirements have been met for this sample delivery group (SDG).
- All Contract Required Detection Limit standard(s) met the referenced advisory control limits.
- All interference check samples associated with this SDG met the established acceptance criteria.
- All continuing calibration blanks bracketing this batch met the established acceptance criteria.
- All continuing calibration verifications bracketing this SDG met the acceptance criteria.

4.0 Conclusions

Preparation of the 4QCY09 saltstone samples and the subsequent TCLP analyses showed that:

- The saltstone waste form disposed of in the Saltstone Disposal Facility in 4QCY09 was not characteristically hazardous for toxicity.
- The concentrations of the eight RCRA metals and UHCs identified as possible in the saltstone waste form were present at levels below the UTS.
- Analyses met all quality assurance specifications of USEPA SW-846.

The saltstone waste form placed in the Saltstone Disposal Facility in 4QCY09 met the SCHWMM R.61-79.261.24(b) RCRA metals requirements for a nonhazardous waste form. The TCLP leachate concentrations were less than 5x the MCLs in SCDHEC Regulations R.61-107.16, Subpart A, 16.5.

The saltstone waste form placed in the Saltstone Disposal Facility in 4QCY09 met the R.61-79.268.48(a) non wastewater treatment standards.

Analyses met all USEPA SW-846 quality assurance requirements with the exception of the laboratory control sample recoveries from GEL Laboratories. All other limits on holding times, laboratory control sample recoveries, matrix spike recoveries, serial dilution results when applicable, calibration verification, and interference checks were within the quality assurance requirements.

5.0 References

1. Liner, K.R., "Saltstone Grout Sampling (U)," ESH-EPG-2004-00318.
2. "Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure," EPA SW-846, Procedure 1311.
3. Britt, T.E., "Assessment of Regulated Organics under 40 CFR Part 268, Section 48, Universal Treatment Standards, Relative to SRS Tank Farm Waste," LWO-LWE-2007-00052.
4. DiPrete, C.C., Bibler, N.E., and Reigel, M.M., "Tables Containing Results for the Fourth Quarter 2009 Tank 50 WAC Slurry Sample: Chemical and Radionuclide Contaminant Results," SRNL-L3100-2009-00305 rev. 1.
5. Reigel, M.M., "Saltstone TCLP" SRNL-NB-2009-00076.
6. Liner, K.R., 11/28/2007, Private Communication.
7. Reigel, M.M., "Data Package from Vendor for 4QCY09 TCLP Analysis," SRNL-L3100-2010-00029.