

TANK 40 FINAL SB5 CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS PRIOR TO Np ADDITION

C. J. Bannochie
D. R. Click

January 2010

Savannah River National Laboratory
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions
Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under
Contract Number DE-AC09-08SR22470



DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U. S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

- 1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or**
- 2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or**
- 3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.**

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America

**Prepared For
U.S. Department of Energy**

Key Words: *DWPF, SB5, Tank 40, Waste
Acceptance*

Retention: PERMANENT

**TANK 40 FINAL SB5 CHEMICAL
CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS
PRIOR TO N_p ADDITION**

C. J. Bannochie
D. R. Click

January 2010

Savannah River National Laboratory
Savannah River Nuclear Solutions
Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Under
Contract Number DE-AC09-08SR22470



REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

AUTHOR(S):

C. J. Bannochie, Process Engineering Technology Date

D. R. Click, Analytical Development Date

TECHNICAL REVIEWERS:

D. C. Koopman, Process Engineering Technology Date

N. E. Bibler, Process Engineering Technology Date

APPROVERS

C. C. Herman, Manager, Process Engineering Technology Date

S. L. Marra, Manager, Research Programs, E&CPT Date

J. E. Occhipinti, Manager, Waste Solidification Engineering Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A sample of Sludge Batch 5 (SB5) was pulled from Tank 40 in order to obtain radionuclide inventory analyses necessary for compliance with the Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS)¹. This sample was also analyzed for chemical composition including noble metals. Prior to radionuclide inventory analyses, a final sample of the H-canyon Np stream will be added to bound the Np addition anticipated for Tank 40. These analyses along with the WAPS radionuclide analyses will help define the composition of the sludge in Tank 40 that is currently being fed to DWPF as SB5.

At the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) the 3-L Tank 40 SB5 sample was transferred from the shipping container into a 4-L high density polyethylene vessel and solids allowed to settle overnight. Supernate was then siphoned off and circulated through the shipping container to complete the transfer of the sample. Following thorough mixing of the 3-L sample, a 239 g sub-sample was removed. This sub-sample was then utilized for all subsequent analytical samples.

Eight separate aliquots of the slurry were digested, four with HNO₃/HCl (aqua regia²) in sealed Teflon[®] vessels and four in Na₂O₂ (alkali or peroxide fusion³) using Zr crucibles. Due to the use of Zr crucibles and Na in the peroxide fusions, Na and Zr cannot be determined from this preparation. Additionally, other alkali metals, such as Li and K that may be contaminants in the Na₂O₂ are not determined from this preparation. Three Analytical Reference Glass – 1⁴ (ARG-1) standards were digested along with a blank for each preparation. The ARG-1 glass allows for an assessment of the completeness of each digestion. Each aqua regia digestion and blank was diluted to 1:100 mL with deionized water and submitted to Analytical Development (AD) for inductively coupled plasma – atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analysis, inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis of masses 81-209 and 230-252, and cold vapor atomic absorption (CV-AA) analysis for Hg. Equivalent dilutions of the peroxide fusion digestions and blank were submitted to AD for ICP-AES analysis.

Tank 40 SB5 supernate was collected from a mixed slurry sample in the SRNL Shielded Cells and submitted to AD for ICP-AES. Weighted dilutions of slurry were submitted for ion chromatography (IC), total inorganic carbon/total organic carbon (TIC/TOC), and total base analyses.

The following conclusions were drawn from the analytical results reported here:

- The elemental ratios of the major elements for the SB5 WAPS sample, whose major Tank 51 Qualification sample component underwent Al dissolution, are similar to those measured for the SB4 WAPS sample.

¹ Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management, *Waste Acceptance Product Specifications for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms*, US DOE Document DOE/EM-0093, Rev. 2, (12/96).

² Coleman, C. J. *Aqua Regia Dissolution of Sludge for Elemental Analysis*, Manual L16.1, Procedure ADS-2226, Rev. 7, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).

³ Coleman, C. J. *Alkali Fusion Dissolutions of Sludge and Glass for Elemental and Anion Analysis*, Manual L16.1, ADS-2502, Rev. 6, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).

⁴ Smith, G. L. *Characterization of Analytical Reference Glass – 1 (ARG-1)*, PNL-8992, Pacific Northwest (National) Laboratory, Richland, WA (1993).

- The elemental composition of this sample and the analyses conducted here are reasonable and consistent with DWPF batch data measurements in light of DWPF pre-sample concentration and SRAT product heel contributions to the DWPF SRAT receipt analyses.
- Fifty percent of the sulfur in the SB5 WAPS sample is insoluble, and this represents a significantly larger fraction than that observed in previous sludge batches.
- The noble metal and Ag concentrations predicted from the measured values for the Tank 51 Confirmation sample and Tank 40 SB4 WAPS sample using a two-thirds Tank 51, one-third Tank 40 heel blend ratio used to arrive at the final SB5 composition, agree with the values for the Tank 40 SB5 WAPS sample measured for this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ACRONYMS/Abbreviations	viii
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
2.0 APPROACH AND RESULTS	3
2.1 Analytical Methods	3
2.2 Results	3
3.0 DISCUSSION	9
3.1 Carbonate	9
3.2 Anion Comparison	9
3.3 Sulfur	9
3.4 Elemental Ratios	9
3.5 Noble Metal Ratios	10
4.0 CONCLUSIONS	13
5.0 REFERENCES	15
6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Weight Percent Solids and Density for Tank 40 SB5 Samples and DWPF SRAT Receipt Batch 472 [Number of Samples Included in Average]	4
Table 2-2. Supernate Analyses for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average]	5
Table 2-3. Carbon Analysis for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average] (mg C/kg slurry).....	5
Table 2-4. Base Analysis for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average] (mol/kg slurry).....	6
Table 2-5. Elemental Concentrations* in Tank 40 SB5 Samples in Wt % of Total Solids (%RSD) [Number of Samples Included in Average]	7
Table 2-6. Noble Metal Fission Products and Silver Concentrations in Tank 40 SB5 WAPS, Qualification and Confirmation Samples in Wt % of Total Solids (%RSD)	8
Table 3-1. Comparison of Elemental Ratios for Major Insoluble Elements in the Tank 40 SB4 and SB5 Samples with DWPF Batch 472 SRAT Receipt Data.....	10
Table 3-2. Fission Yield Ratios and Measured Noble Metal Ratios in Various SB4 and SB5 Samples.....	10
Table 3-3. Predicted versus Measured SB5 WAPS Noble Metal Concentrations in Wt % of Total Solids	11

LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Analytical Development
ARG – 1	Analytical Reference Glass – 1
ASP	Analytical Study Plan
CV-AA	Cold Vapor – Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
DWPF	Defense Waste Processing Facility
IC	Ion Chromatography
ICP-AES	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Atomic Emission Spectroscopy
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry
L	Liter
M	Molar
NA	Not Available (e.g. Not Measured)
RSD	Relative Standard Deviation
SB4	Sludge Batch 4
SB5	Sludge Batch 5
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
Std. Dev.	Standard Deviation
TC	Total Carbon
TIC	Total Inorganic Carbon
TS	Total Solids
WAPS	Waste Acceptance Product Specifications
Wt %	Weight Percent

This page intentionally left blank.

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A sample of Sludge Batch 5 (SB5) was pulled from Tank 40 in order to obtain radionuclide inventory analyses necessary for compliance with the Waste Acceptance Product Specifications (WAPS)¹. This sample was also analyzed for chemical composition including noble metals. Prior to radionuclide inventory analyses, a final sample of the H-canyon Np stream will be added to bound the Np addition anticipated for Tank 40. The chemical analyses reported here along with the WAPS radionuclide analyses will help define the composition of the sludge in Tank 40 that is currently being fed to DWPF as SB5.

SRNL analyses on SB5 were requested by DWPF via Technical Task Request (TTR) HLW-DWPF-TTR-2008-0010². The sample preparation work is governed by Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan (TTQAP)³, and analyses were controlled by an Analytical Study Plan⁴.

One 3-L sample of Tank 40 was pulled on December 3, 2008 following slurry operations. The sample was designated HTF-40-08-157 by H-Tank Farm Operations. Four slurry pumps were started on December 2 and stopped following sixteen hours of operation. The general protocol is for all four slurry pumps to run for eight hours before a DWPF transfer and to be kept running during the transfer, but due to the need to pull a sample, the pumps had to be shut down. The tank level was 170.2 inches after the pumps were secured and when the sample was pulled. The sample was sent to SRNL on December 4, 2008.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 APPROACH AND RESULTS

2.1 Analytical Methods

At the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL), the 3-L Tank 40 SB5 sample was transferred from the shipping container into a 4-L high density polyethylene vessel and solids allowed to settle overnight. Supernate was then siphoned off and circulated through the shipping container to complete the transfer of the sample. Following thorough mixing of the 3-L sample, a 239 g sub-sample was removed. This sub-sample was then utilized for all subsequent analytical samples.

Eight separate aliquots of the slurry were digested, four with HNO₃/HCl (aqua regia⁵) in sealed Teflon[®] vessels and four in Na₂O₂ (alkali or peroxide fusion⁶) using Zr crucibles. Due to the use of Zr crucibles and Na in the peroxide fusions, Na and Zr cannot be determined from this preparation. Additionally, other alkali metals, such as Li and K that may be contaminants in the Na₂O₂ are not determined from this preparation. Three Analytical Reference Glass – 1⁷ (ARG-1) standards were digested along with a blank for each preparation. The ARG-1 glass allows for an assessment of the completeness of each digestion. Each aqua regia digestion and blank was diluted to 1:100 mL with deionized water and submitted to Analytical Development (AD) for inductively coupled plasma – atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analysis, inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis of masses 81-209 and 230-252, and cold vapor atomic absorption (CV-AA) analysis for Hg. Equivalent dilutions of the peroxide fusion digestions and blank were submitted to AD for ICP-AES analysis.

Tank 40 SB5 supernate was collected with a 0.45 µm filter cup from a mixed slurry sample in the SRNL Shielded Cells and submitted to AD for ICP-AES. Weighted dilutions of slurry were submitted for ion chromatography (IC), total inorganic carbon/total organic carbon (TIC/TOC), and total base analyses.

2.2 Results

Table 2-1 presents the measured SB5 density and weight percent solids data⁸ collected for the SB5 WAPS sample taken in December 2008. Table 2-1 also contains data from the DWPF Sludge Receipt and Adjustment Tank (SRAT) receipt sample data for Batch #472 as a comparison. Batch #472 was selected because it was the fifth DWPF batch received from Tank 40 following the start of SB5 processing and both the SRAT heel and receipt material should represent SB5 material. The wt % total solids for the Tank 40 – WAPS sample is similar to that seen for Batch #472, and it would be expected to be lower as a result of DWPF pre-concentration of the SRAT batch prior to sampling. The total solids observed for the DWPF SRAT receipt batch should reflect the impacts of the SRAT heel and DWPF pre-sample concentration of incoming Tank 40 transfers. The SRAT heel contributes approximately 13 – 19% of the volume of slurry in the SRAT vessel and can have total solids in the range of 20 wt %. Calcine factors were also calculated by taking the ratio of the weight percent calcined solids and the weight percent total solids. The Tank 40 – WAPS Sample has a value of 0.79 grams of calcined solids per gram of dried solids.

Table 2-1. Weight Percent Solids and Density for Tank 40 SB5 Samples and DWPF SRAT Receipt Batch 472 [Number of Samples Included in Average]

Property	Tank 40 – WAPS (% RSD)	DWPF SRAT Receipt for Batch 472^a
Slurry Density	1.094 (0.6) [4]	1.092
Supernate Density	1.043 (1.0) [4]	NA
Wt % Total Solids	13.36 (0.6) [4]	15.51
Wt % Calcined Solids	10.52 (0.4) [4]	NA
Wt % Dissolved Solids ^b	4.29 (0.9) [4]	NA
Wt % Insoluble Solids	9.48	NA
Wt % Soluble Solids ^c	3.88	NA

NA ≡ not measured

^a Measured in DWPF^b Also known as Uncorrected Soluble Solids^c Also known as Corrected Soluble Solids

Table 2-2 provides the anion results for the Tank 40 WAPS sample and the available DWPF SRAT receipt data for Batch #472. In order to compare the data from the two labs it was necessary to put the SRNL data on a slurry basis. The supernate sulfur result given for SRNL is calculated from total sulfur detected in the supernate by ICP-AES and is within the lower detection limit for sulfate determined by IC. The Al, B, Ca, Cr, K, Mo, Na, and S values also shown in this table were calculated from the ICP-AES data for the supernate and placed on a slurry basis using the insoluble solids content from Table 2-1. Other supernate elements measured were below the ICP-AES detection limits.

Table 2-2. Supernate Analyses for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average]

Analyte	Tank 40 – WAPS (%RSD) Molar	Tank 40 – WAPS (%RSD) mg/kg slurry	Method	SRAT Receipt for Batch 472 mg/kg slurry
NO ₃ ⁻	0.0625 (0.3) [4]	3360 (0.5) [4]	IC	6680
NO ₂ ⁻	0.176 (0.5) [4]	7020 (0.3) [4]	IC	6540
SO ₄ ²⁻	<0.0094	<790	IC	540
PO ₄ ³⁻	<0.0038	<310	IC	NA
Br ⁻	<0.0045	<310	IC	NA
Cl ⁻	<0.010	<310	IC	NA
CHO ₂ ⁻	<0.0080	<310	IC	5890
C ₂ O ₄ ²⁻	<0.0041	<310	IC	<510
F ⁻	<0.019	<310	IC	NA
Al	0.0337 (1.0) [4]	788 (1.0) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
B	0.000387 (1.1) [4]	3.63 (1.1) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
Ca	0.000233 (38) [4]	8.10 (38) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
Cr	0.000225 (0.4) [4]	10.2 (0.4) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
K	0.00103 (1.0) [4]	35.1 (1.0) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
Mo	0.0000108 (3.5) [4]	0.896 (3.5) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
Na	0.655 (1.6) [4]	13100 (1.6) [4]	ICP-AES	NA
S	0.00610 (2.0) [4]	170 (2.0) [4]	ICP-AES	NA

NA ≡ Not measured

Table 2-3 provides the total carbon (TC) and total inorganic carbon (TIC) measured for the SB5 WAPS sample. The TIC value measured for SRAT Batch #472 is only 7% lower, but no TC value is available for comparison.

Table 2-3. Carbon Analysis for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average] (mg C/kg slurry)

Analyte	Tank 40 – WAPS (%RSD)	SRAT Receipt for Batch 472 ^a
Total Inorganic Carbon	833 (4.2) [4]	775
Total Carbon	1240 (7.4) [4]	NA

NA ≡ Not measured

^a Measured in DWPF

Table 2-4 provides the base measurements made on the SB5 WAPS sample. Total base represents the value determined from an inflection endpoint titration to pH 7. Free OH⁻ represents the value determined after precipitation of carbonate with BaCl₂ and titration to the first inflection endpoint between pH 11 and 8. Further titration of this treated sample to pH 7 yields the value for other base. The total base measured for DWPF Batch #472 was in reasonable agreement.

Table 2-4. Base Analysis for Tank 40 SB5 Samples [Number of Samples Included in Average] (mol/kg slurry)

Analyte	Tank 40 – WAPS (%RSD)	SRAT Receipt for Batch 472 ^a
Total Base	0.303 (9.6) [4]	0.340
Free OH ⁻	0.177 (7.4) [4]	NA
Other Base	0.0441 (39) [2]	NA

NA = Not measured

^a Measured in DWPF

The elemental concentrations determined by ICP-AES, ICP-MS, and CV-AA analyses are presented in Table 2-5. For the Tank 40 – WAPS sample, results from both digestions have been combined where appropriate. Due to the use of Zr crucibles and Na₂O₂ in the alkali fusions, Zr and Na values, as well as other alkali metals, were determined from the aqua regia digestion. In the case of B, Mo, Sb, Sn, and V, both preparations yielded values below the detection limits, hence the lowest detection limit value was selected. Alkali fusion data was used to report values for Al, Mg, and Si for the Tank 40 – WAPS sample since the aqua regia preparation fails to dissolve all forms of these elements. ICP-MS analysis of the aqua regia digestion was also used to determine the concentrations of Cd, Ce, Gd, La, Pb, Th, and U. In the case of Ce the distribution of isotopes was not natural but rather the result of fission product yields from U-235. Hence the sum of the respective isotopic masses was used to determine the reported concentrations for Ce and U. The U value reported here from ICP-MS compares to a value determined by ICP-AES of 5.30 wt% of total solids. For Cd, Gd, and Pb, the reported value was determined from all measured values calculated using the various isotopes' natural abundance. In the case of La-139 and Th-232, a single isotope has 100% natural abundance and was used to calculate the values given in the table.

Table 2-5. Elemental Concentrations* in Tank 40 SB5 Samples in Wt % of Total Solids (%RSD) [Number of Samples Included in Average]

Element	Tank 40 – WAPS	Element	Tank 40 – WAPS
Al	9.02 (1.5) [4]	Mo	<0.011
B	<0.015	Na	12.2 (2.7) [4]
Ba	0.0840 (2.9) [8]	Ni	1.87 (2.3) [8]
Ca	1.38 (3.8) [8]	P	0.160 (15) [7]
Cd [‡]	0.0639 (2.9) [4]	Pb [‡]	0.0278 (4.9) [3]
Ce ^{‡‡}	0.114 (0.6) [4]	S	0.254 (11) [4]
Cr	0.0550 (5.9) [8]	Sb	<0.020
Cu	0.0467 (5.7) [8]	Si	0.912 (1.9) [4]
Fe	15.0 (2.6) [8]	Sn	<0.014
Gd [‡]	0.0609 (4.7) [4]	Sr	0.0461 (3.0) [8]
Hg [^]	1.82 (9.4) [4]	Ti	0.0186 (5.3) [8]
K	0.0494 (1.3) [3]	Th [‡]	0.0636 (1.2) [4]
La [‡]	0.0582 (1.0) [4]	U ^{‡‡}	5.02 (1.2) [4]
Li	0.0314 (2.2) [8]	V	<0.017
Mg	0.757 (1.7) [4]	Zn	0.0431 (1.7) [8]
Mn	3.48 (3.0) [8]	Zr	0.0474 (13) [3]

* ICP-AES data unless specified otherwise

‡ Calculated from MS data for Cd: Cd-111, Cd-112, Cd-114; La-139; Gd: Gd-155, Gd-156, Gd-157, Gd-158, Gd-160; Pb: Pb-206, Pb-207, Pb-208; and Th-232, respectively

‡‡ Calculated from the sum of MS data for U: U-234, U-235, U-236 and U-238; Ce: Ce-140, Ce-142

^ Calculated from CV-AA data

The fission product noble metal and silver concentrations are given in Table 2-6. The values were calculated from ICP-MS data using an Excel spreadsheet. This spreadsheet uses the fission yield for each isotope to account for the mass contribution from isotopes in the tank that could not be measured because isotopes of natural Cd interfere at this mass. An example of this is the measurement at mass 110, which is comprised of Pd-110 and Cd-110. The uncertainties were analyzed for the first time using statistical techniques appropriate for replicate measurements of non-highly correlated data. For comparison purposes, unpublished data for the SB5 Confirmation sample (Tank 51 prior to transfer to Tank 40) and the SB4 WAPS (Tank 40)⁹ sample are also given in this table and will be discussed further in the discussion section of this report.

Table 2-6. Noble Metal Fission Products and Silver Concentrations in Tank 40 SB5 WAPS, Qualification and Confirmation Samples in Wt % of Total Solids (%RSD)

Element	Tank 40 – SB4 WAPS⁹	SB5 Confirmation Sample	Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS
Ag (-107, -109)	0.00987 (0.5)	0.0121 (1.3)	0.0102 (2.0)
Pd (-105, -106, -107, -108, -110)	0.00125 (6.0)	0.00321 (0.6)	0.00252 (3.4)
Rh (-103)	0.00840 (4.5)	0.0201 (3.1)	0.0161 (1.1)
Ru (-101, -102, -104)	0.0313 (0.7)	0.0926 (0.8)	0.0733 (0.6)

⁹ Bannochie, C. J., *Tank 40 Final SB4 Chemical Characterization Results*, WSRC-STI-2007-00674, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008)

3.0 DISCUSSION

3.1 Carbonate

Subtracting the free OH⁻ and other base values from the total base measurement should yield the carbonate contribution to the base equivalents. The carbonate contribution determined from the titration measurements is 0.082 mol carbonate/kg slurry. This compares to a carbonate concentration via the TIC measurement of 0.069 mol carbonate/kg slurry. The agreement is good considering the large uncertainty in determining carbonate via titration.

3.2 Anion Comparison

A comparison of anion data, shown in Table 2-2, for this sample and the DWPF SRAT Receipt Batch #472 is difficult due to a number of factors. The DWPF SRAT receipt nitrite ion concentration would be expected to be reduced relative to the incoming sludge since the heel in the SRAT is reduced in nitrite ion. Similarly, the SRAT formate and nitrate ion concentration would be expected to be elevated relative to the sludge since the heel in the SRAT is greatly increased in these anions. The nitrite to nitrate ratio observed is considerably different, but is likely due to the large residual heel in the SRAT vessel and the concentration of DWPF SRAT receipt material prior to sampling. This pre-concentration of the SRAT receipt material, prior to sampling and analysis, makes a comparison of anion levels very difficult for this sludge batch. Previous new sludge batches have been higher in incoming insoluble solids and did not require this pre-concentration boil prior to routine SRAT processing.

3.3 Sulfur

The conversion of the total supernate sulfur values, as shown in Table 2-2, from molar to wt% of total solids, yields 0.127 wt% S for the Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS sample. Comparing this value with the total slurry sulfur value in Table 2-5 indicates that 50% of the sulfur in the WAPS sample is insoluble. For SB3¹⁰ and SB4⁹, 95% and 93% of the sulfur was soluble, respectively. Hence, this is the first time that a major fraction of the sulfur has been found in the insoluble solids fraction of a sludge batch. Since the total sulfur content does not exceed any glass limits, there should not be any negative consequences to the speciation of the sulfur in SB5. When the SB5 WAPS supernate sulfur value by ICP-AES (Table 2-2) is put on a slurry sulfate basis, the result is 509 mg sulfate/kg slurry. This compares well with the DWPF sulfate analysis for Batch #472 of 540 mg sulfate/kg slurry.

3.4 Elemental Ratios

A comparison of the elemental ratios of the major insoluble solids using data from Table 2-5 is given in Table 3-1. SRAT Receipt Batch #472 data is from DWPF and was used to calculate the ratios of Fe to Al, Ca, Mn, and U. These ratios should remain constant through batch processing unless an addition of material containing one or more elements of interest is made. Generally, the elemental ratios observed for SB4 and SB5 are very similar for these major elements. The agreement between the Tank 40 – WAPS sample and the DWPF Batch #472 data is more variable. The Mn/Fe and U/Fe ratios look good and there was agreement with data for other DWPF batches. The Al/Fe ratio discrepancy is probably due to our use of a DWPF Al value resulting from cold chemical digestion method that is known to be biased low for Al when the Al is present as boehmite. At the time of this report, the DWPF Al value determined from their alkali fusion data for the first 10 SRAT receipt batches was not available. The Ca/Fe ratio measured for other DWPF SB5 batches showed less difference from the WAPS sample, generally around 10% low, as compared to the 18% discrepancy for Batch #472. The cause of the low Ca measurements is unclear but appears to be improving in later SRAT batches.

Table 3-1. Comparison of Elemental Ratios for Major Insoluble Elements in the Tank 40 SB4 and SB5 Samples with DWPF Batch 472 SRAT Receipt Data

Element Ratio	Tank 40 – SB4 WAPS	Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS	DWPF SRAT Receipt Batch 472	% Difference SB5 WAPS – Batch 472
Al/Fe	0.67	0.60	0.47	27
Ca/Fe	0.099	0.092	0.078	18
Mn/Fe	0.22	0.23	0.23	-2
U/Fe	0.37	0.33	0.32	5

3.5 Noble Metal Ratios

A comparison of the fission yield ratios for Ru:Rh, Ru:Pd, and Ru:Ag with those measured for the Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS sample is provided in Table 3-2. The Tank 40 SB4 WAPS and Tank 51 SB5 Confirmation samples results are also provided for comparison. The ratios are based upon Ru due to its relatively high concentration in the sludge as compared with the other noble metals. The Ru:Rh ratio agrees reasonably well for all three samples, while the Ru:Ag ratios differ significantly from the fission yield ratios. This lack of agreement for the Ag ratios is not unexpected since the majority of the Ag is natural Ag originating from Ag saddles used in the dissolvers to scavenge radioactive iodine, while the noble metals are fission products of U-235. Consequently the relative concentration of Ag is not expected to be in proportion to the fission yields of its two isotopes. The Ru:Pd ratios agree amongst the three samples but not with that predicted by the fission yield. A possible explanation for this is that a portion of the Pd is soluble and hence has fractionated off into the salt waste, thus increasing the ratio of Ru to Pd in the sludge waste.

Table 3-2. Fission Yield Ratios and Measured Noble Metal Ratios in Various SB4 and SB5 Samples

Ratio	Fission Yield	Tank 40 – SB4 WAPS⁹	Tank 51 – SB5 Confirmation Sample	Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS
Ru:Rh	3.7	3.7	4.6	4.6
Ru:Pd	6.9	25	29	29
Ru:Ag	342	3.2	7.7	7.2

⁹ Bannochie, C. J., *Tank 40 Final SB4 Chemical Characterization Results*, WSRC-STI-2007-00674, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).

The SB5 WAPS sample in Tank 40 was comprised of a blend of the Tank 51 SB5 Confirmation sample material and the heel of the SB4 WAPS sample remaining in Tank 40. Taking the SB5 plant projected blend of two-thirds of Tank 51 and one-third of Tank 40, the noble metal concentrations of the final SB5 WAPS sample can be predicted and the values obtained are shown in Table 3-3. There is excellent agreement between the values predicted by the blend ratio from the measurements made on the two previous samples and those measured on the final SB5 WAPS sample. The fact adds confidence to the consistency of the measurements, if not the accuracy of the results that have been reported for noble metal content of DWPF sludge materials.

Table 3-3. Predicted versus Measured SB5 WAPS Noble Metal Concentrations in Wt % of Total Solids

Element	Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS Predicted	Tank 40 – SB5 WAPS Measured
Ag	0.0114	0.0102
Pd	0.00256	0.00252
Rh	0.00162	0.00161
Ru	0.0722	0.0733

This page intentionally left blank.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

- The elemental ratios of the major elements for the SB5 WAPS sample, whose major Tank 51 Qualification sample component underwent Al dissolution, are similar to those measured for the SB4 WAPS sample.
- The elemental composition of this sample and the analyses conducted here are reasonable and consistent with DWPF batch data measurements in light of DWPF pre-sample concentration and SRAT product heel contributions to the DWPF SRAT receipt analyses.
- Fifty percent of the sulfur in the SB5 WAPS sample is insoluble, and this represents a significantly larger fraction than that observed in previous sludge batches.
- The noble metal and Ag concentrations predicted from the measured values for the Tank 51 Confirmation sample and Tank 40 SB4 WAPS sample using the two-thirds Tank 51, one-third Tank 40 heel blend ratio used to arrive at SB5, agree with the values for the Tank 40 SB5 WAPS sample measured for this report.

This page intentionally left blank.

5.0 REFERENCES

- ¹ Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management, *Waste Acceptance Product Specifications for Vitrified High-Level Waste Forms*, US DOE Document DOE/EM-0093, Rev. 2, (12/96).
- ² Fellingner, T. L. *Sludge Batch 5 SRNL Shielded Cells Testing*, HLW-DWPF-TTR-2008-0010, Rev. 2, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ³ Bannochie, C. J., Pareizs, J. M. *Qualification of DWPF Sludge Batch 5 in the SRNL Shielded Cells: Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan*, WSRC-RP-2008-00137, Rev. 2, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ⁴ Bannochie, C. J., Pareizs, J. M. *Qualification of DWPF Sludge Batch 5 in the SRNL Shielded Cells: Analytical Study Plan*, WSRC-RP-2008-00138, Rev. 2, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ⁵ Coleman, C. J. *Aqua Regia Dissolution of Sludge for Elemental Analysis*, Manual L16.1, Procedure ADS-2226, Rev. 7, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ⁶ Coleman, C. J. *Alkali Fusion Dissolutions of Sludge and Glass for Elemental and Anion Analysis*, Manual L16.1, ADS-2502, Rev. 6, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ⁷ Smith, G. L. *Characterization of Analytical Reference Glass – 1 (ARG-1)*, PNL-8992, Pacific Northwest (National) Laboratory, Richland, WA (1993).
- ⁸ Marek, J. C., *Correction Factor for Soluble and Insoluble Solids*, SRTC-PTD-92-0040, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (1992).
- ⁹ Bannochie, C. J., *Tank 40 Final SB4 Chemical Characterization Results*, WSRC-STI-2007-00674, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2008).
- ¹⁰ Bannochie, C. J., Fellingner, T. L., and Pareizs, J. M. *Tank 40 Final SB3 Chemical Characterization Results*, WSRC-TR-2005-00049, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC 29808 (2005).

This page intentionally left blank.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to acknowledge the support of the SRNL Shielded Cells technicians and supervision. Additionally, we would like to thank Tommy Edwards (SRNL-SCS) for useful discussions and guidance in the calculation of silver and noble metal concentration standard deviations.

Distribution:

S. L. Marra, 773-A
A. B. Barnes, 999-W
D. A. Crowley, 773-43A
S. D. Fink, 773-A
C. W. Gardner, 773-A
B. J. Giddings, 786-5A
C. C. Herman, 999-W
F. M. Pennebaker, 773-42A
J. P. Vaughan, 773-41A
D. J. McCabe, 773-42A
D. K. Peeler, 999-W
M. E. Stone, 999-W
N. E. Bibler, 773-A
J. M. Pareizs, 773-A
D. C. Koopman, 999-W

J. E. Occhipinti, 704-S
D. C. Sherburne, 704-S
J. W. Ray, 704-S
H. J. Kunis, 704-S
A. J. Cross, 704-71S
J. F. Iaukea, 704-30S
M. A. Broome, 704-29S
R. T. McNew, 704-27S
A. R. Shafer, 704-27S
M. C. Clark, 704-27S
J. M. Bricker, 704-27S
T. L. Fellingner, 704-26S
H. H. Elder, 704-24S
H. B. Shah, 766-H
J. M. Gillam, 766-H
B. A. Hamm, 766-H
D. D. Larsen, 766-H